H 9256

Committee on Veterans' Affairs. The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

curity, the Committee on Resources,

the Committee on Science, and the

Mr. WISE. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, I might note that all of these committees are sitting. We would like to actually have them sitting a little more and holding hearings on Medicare and Medicaid rather than the one hearing on Medicare they will be getting and the no hearings on Medicaid. Apparently, they are not going to sit. We are not going to delay that process, but everyone should know the train is rolling here. We think it is a sad day when you cannot have hearings on health matters that affect 70 million Americans.

Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

Mr. GIBBONS. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, and I may object, I think it is a legislative sin and a shame that you have been in control of this House for 10 months, you have been promising a Medicare bill for 10 months, and today nobody has seen a copy of that bill. That is the most complicated piece of legislation that this Congress will take up this year.

You are trying to sneak it past us, very cleverly, very stealthily, without any hearings. No one will understand it. No one will understand it.

Look at me now, how can you do such a thing and then come here and ask unanimous consent that people can work while other things are going on? That is the most ridiculous argument I have ever heard.

The silence, the silence of the Republican side is typical of the silence that they have had all along on this Medicare proposal. They are going to take \$270 billion out of the pockets of Medicare people and put it into the hands of the very rich constituents. You know that is what you are doing, and you will not give us any hearings, 1 day, and we do not even have a bill to have a hearing on. What a joke. What a joke.

We are going to have a Medicare hearing on Thursday, and we do not even have a bill. Shame.

Mr. Speaker, I object.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Objection is heard. The Chair will state that it requires 10 Members to object.

Mr. GIBBONS. Will the gentleman from Texas tell us where the bill is?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman is out of order.

(Messrs. WISE, WAXMAN, GIBBONS, FAZIO of California, FROST, LEVIN, BONIOR, HEFNER, OBEY, and SABO also objected.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. A sufficient number has objected. Objection is heard.

MOTION FOR PERMISSION FOR ALL COMMITTEES AND SUB-COMMITTEES TO SIT TODAY AND THE REMAINDER OF THE WEEK DURING THE 5-MINUTE RULE

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I offer a privileged motion.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion.

The Clerk read as follows:

Pursuant to clause 2(I) of rule XI, Mr. ARMEY moves that all committees and subcommittees of the House be permitted to sit today and for the remainder of the week while the House is meeting in the Committee of the Whole House under the 5-minute rule.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. WISE. Mr. Speaker, parliamentary inquiry

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Texas [Mr. ARMEY] is recognized for 1 hour.

Mr. WISE. Mr. Speaker, parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. ARMEY. I am sorry. It has been necessarv

Mr. WISE. Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from West Virginia will state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I do not yield for that purpose.

Mr. WISE. For a parliamentary inquiry?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman does not yield.

Mr. WISE. Mr. Speaker, parliamentary inquiry. The Chair makes that decision. Parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Let us have order.

Mr. WISE. The gentleman does not yield for a parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. GIBBONS. In other words, we have been gagged, we have been stonewalled, and now we are being gagged. Is that it? Is that it, I ask the gentleman from Texas [Mr. ARMEY], stonewalling us? You have been stonewalling us for 10 months.

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I believe I control the time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair is ready to rule. The parliamentary inquiry was sought before the time was given to the majority leader.

Mr. WISE. I thank the Chair. Parliamentary inquiry, is the Chair or is the gentleman going to, or does this side receive the customary 30 minutes in debate on this matter?

Mr. LINDER. That is not a parliamentary inquiry. Furthermore, the gentleman from Texas did not yield for that.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. That is up to the majority leader.

Does the majority leader yield?

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I do appreciate the inquiry made by the gentleman from West Virginia, and for whatever time I speak on my privileged

motion, I will see to it that the gentleman from West Virginia is given twice as much time as I take.

Mr. Speaker, am I recognized to speak on my motion?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Texas [Mr. ARMEY] is recognized for 1 hour.

□ 1115

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, let me again reiterate the fact that whatever time I spend speaking on this motion I will grant to the gentleman from West Virginia twice as much time as I take. This is an important motion. I am sorry it has become necessary to do so. We do want to, at least when we are operating within the Chamber, do so in an orderly fashion while we allow the remainder of work of Congress to go forward.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time with the reservation that the timekeeper report to me such time as I used to this point, and I will vield that amount of time to the gentleman from West Virginia [Mr. WISE] for purposes of debate only.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. DICKEY). The gentleman from Texas [Mr. ARMEY] consumed 30 seconds.

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, for purposes of debate only, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from West Virginia [Mr. WISE]

Mr. WISE. Mr. Speaker, what is at issue here and what the gentleman from Florida [Mr. GIBBONS] has raised is the fact that we are just going to approve a number of committees sitting. Now they are sitting on some important matters, some not as important. None of them is as important as health care that affects 70 million Americans. Thirty-seven million Americans affected by Medicare, that receives one hearing on Thursday, Medicaid, which is being marked up, as I understand, today by the Committee on Commerce; the bill dropped on the floor yesterday affects roughly 31 to 32 million Americans.

Mr. Speaker, clearly it is outrageous that we can have 6 days of hearings on the National Highway System that we will take up in a minute, we can only have 1 day of hearings on Medicare, and none on Medicaid, and both make the National Highway System, as important as I think it is, pale by significance in dollars and in impact.

So, I would just urge Members to reflect on this and urge that we go ahead with the Dingell resolution, which will provide 4 weeks of hearings. I think, if we are going to change the health programs that affect the largest number of Americans, have been in place for 30 years, we should get more than 1 day of hearings.

SPEAKER pro tempore. The The ` time of the gentleman from West Virginia [Mr. WISE] has expired.

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question. VerDate 20-SEP-95 07:02 Sep

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—HOUSE

White

Wicker

Wolf

Whitfield

Young (AK)

Young (FL)

Waldholtz

Wamp Watts (OK)

Weldon (FL)

Walker

Walsh

Weller

Geren

Green

Harman

Hayes

Hefner

Hilliard

Hinchey

Holden

Kaptur

Kennelly

Kildee

Klink

Kleczka

LaFalce

Lantos

Lincoln

Lipinski

Lofgren

Lowey

Luther

Maloney

Manton

Markey

Martinez

Mascara

Matsui

McHale

McNulty

Meehan

Mineta

Minge

Mink

Moran

Murtha

Nadler

Oberstar

Neal

Meek

Chapman

Clayton

Clement

Jefferson

Flake

Fields (TX)

Levin

Hoyer

Gibbons

Gonzalez

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the motion.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Texas Mr. ARMEY].

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, on that, I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered. The vote was taken by electronic de-

vice, and there were-yeas 243, nays 175, not voting 16, as follows:

Allard Fowler Archer Fox Armey Bachus Baker (CA) Baker (LA) Ballenger Barr Barrett (NE) Bartlett Barton Bass Bateman Bereuter Bilbray Bilirakis Bliley Goss Blute Boehlert Boehner Bonilla Bono Brownback Bryant (TN) Bunn Bunning Burr Burton Buyer Callahan Hefley Calvert Herger Camp Canady Castle Chabot Hoke Chambliss Horn Chenoweth Christensen Chrysler Hunter Clinger Coble Hvde Coburn Inglis Collins (GA) Istook Jacobs Combest Cooley Cox Crane Jones Kasich Crapo Cremeans Kelly Cubin Kim Cunningham King Davis Deal Klug DeLay Diaz-Balart Kolbe Dickey Dooley Doolittle Dornan Dreier Duncan Lazio Dunn Leach Ehlers Ehrlich Emerson English Ensign Everett Ewing Fawell Lucas Flanagan Foley McCollum Forbes

[Roll No. 673] YEAS-243 McCrerv McDade Franks (CT) McHugh Franks (NJ) McInnis Frelinghuysen McIntosh Frisa Funderburk McKeon Metcalf Gallegly Meyers Ganske Gekas Mica Miller (FL) Gilchrest Molinari Montgomery Moorhead Gillmor Gilman Goodlatte Morella Goodling Myers Myrick Gordon Nethercutt Graham Neumann Greenwood Ney Gunderson Norwood Gutknecht Nussle Hall (TX) Oxley Packard Hamilton Hancock Parker Hansen Paxon Hastert Petri Hastings (WA) Pombo Hayworth Porter Portman Heineman Pryce Quillen Hilleary Quinn Radanovich Hobson Hoekstra Rahall Ramstad Regula Hostettler Riggs Roberts Houghton Rogers Hutchinson Rohrabacher Ros-Lehtinen Rose Roth Roukema Johnson (CT) Royce Johnson, Sam Saľmon Sanford Saxton Scarborough Schaefer Schiff Seastrand Kingston Sensenbrenner Knollenberg Shadegg Shaw LaHood Shays Largent Latham Shuster Skeen LaTourette Skelton Laughlin Smith (MI) Smith (NJ) Smith (TX) Lewis (CA) Smith (WA) Lewis (KY) Solomon Lightfoot Souder Linder Livingston Spence Stearns LoBiondo Stockman Longley Stump Talent Manzullo Tate Martini

Tauzin Taylor (NC)

Гhomas	
Thornberry	
Fiahrt	
Γorkildsen	
Γorricelli	
Fraficant	
Jpton	
/ucanovich	

Abercrombie Ackerman Andrews Baesler Baldacci Barcia Barrett (WI) Becerra Beilenson Bentsen Berman Bevill Bishop Bonio Borski Boucher Brewster Browder Brown (CA) Brown (FL) Brown (OH) Bryant (TX) Cardin Clay Clyburn Coleman Collins (IL) Collins (MI) Condit Conyers Costello Covne Cramer Danner de la Garza DeFazio DeLauro Dellums Deutsch Dicks Dingell Dixon Doggett Doyle Durbin Edwards Engel Eshoo Evans Farr Fattah Fazio Fields (LA) Filner Foglietta Ford Frank (MA) Frost Furse

Weldon (PA) Zeliff Zimmer NAYS-175 Gejdenson Obey Gephardt Olver Ortiz Orton Owens Pallone Gutierrez Pastor Hall (OH) Payne (VA) Peľosi Hastings (FL) Peterson (FL) Peterson (MN) Pickett Pomerov Poshard Rangel Reed Jackson-Lee Johnson (SD) Richardson Rivers Johnson, E. B. Roemer Johnston Roybal-Allard Kanjorski Rush Kennedy (RI) Sabo Sanders Sawyer Schroeder Schumer Scott Serrano Skaggs Slaughter Lewis (GA) Spratt Stark Stenholm Stokes Studds Stupak Tanner Taylor (MS) Tejeda Thornton Thurman McCarthy Torres McDermott Towns Velazouez McKinnev Vento Visclosky Volkmer Menendez Ward Miller (CA) Watt (NC) Waxman Williams Mollohan Wilson Wise Woolsey Wyden Wynn Yates NOT VOTING-16 Kennedy (MA) Sisisky Thompson

Mfume Moakley

Tucker

Waters

1137

Payne (NJ) Reynolds

Messrs. KENNEDY of Rhode Island, SPRATT, and CONYERS changed their vote from "vea" to "nav.

So the motion was agreed to. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM DESIGNATION ACT OF 1995

Mr. QUILLEN. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 224 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 224

Resolved. That at any time after the adoption of this resolution the Speaker may, pursuant to clause 1(b) of rule XXIII, declare the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for consideration of the bill (H.R. 2274) to amend title 23, United States Code, to designate the National Highway System, and for other purposes. The first reading of the bill shall be dispensed with. Points of order against consideration of the bill for failure to comply with section 302(f) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 are waived. General debate shall be confined to the bill and shall not exceed one hour equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure. After general debate the bill shall be considered for amendment under the five-minute rule. In lieu of the amendment recommended by the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure now printed in the bill, it shall be in order to consider as an original bill for the purpose of amendment under the five-minute rule an amendment in the nature of a substitute consisting of the text of H.R. 2349. That amendment in the nature of a substitute shall be considered by title rather than by section. The first two sections and each title shall be considered as read. Points of order against that amendment in the nature of a substitute for failure to comply with clause 1(q)(10) of rule X, clause 5(a) of rule XXI, or section 302(f) of the congressional Budget Act of 1974 are waived. Before consideration of any other amendment it shall be in order to consider the amendment printed in the report of the Committee on Rules accompanying this resolution, if offered by Representative Shuster of Pennsylvania or his designee That amendment shall be considered as read, may amend portions of the bill not yet read for amendment, shall be debatable for ten minutes equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, shall not be subject to amendment, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question in the House or in the Committee of the Whole. All points of order against that amendment are waived. After disposition of that amendment, the provisions of the bill as then perfected shall be considered as original text. During further consideration of the bill for amendment, the Chairman of the Committee of the whole may accord priority in recognition on the basis of whether the Member offering an amendment has caused it to be printed in the portion of the Congressional Record designated for that purpose in clause 6 of rule XXIII. Amendments so printed shall be considered as read. At the conclusion of consideration of the bill for amendment the Committee shall rise and report the bill to the House with such amendments as may have been adopted. Any Member may demand a separate vote in the House on any amendment adopted in the Committee of the Whole to the bill or to the amendment in the nature of a substitute made in order as original text. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill and amendments thereto to final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommit with or without instructions

Mr. QUILLEN. Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the gentleman from Texas [Mr. FROST], pending which I yield myself such time as I may consume. During consideration of thisVerDate 20-SE