Docket (/docket/COLC-2017-0007) / Document (COLC-2017-0007-0070) (/document/COLC-2017-0007-0070) / Comment



Class_07_Reply_White

Posted by the U.S. Copyright Office on Mar 15, 2018

View More Comments (181) (/document/COLC-2017-0007-0070/commen	nt)
View Related Comments 249 (/docket/COLC-2017-0007/comments)	Share ▼

Comment

I support the renewal of motor vehicles exemption discussed in section E. As a private citizen I have long kept my vehicles maintained and operational well beyond the historical average or median times for vehicle ownership. To do so, I have relied on independent vehicle repair, maintenance, and specialty performance shops. Allowing vehicle manufacturers and sanctioned dealerships to have a vertical monopoly on such services would be detrimental to me and the rest of the vehicle owning public.

I also support the renewal of section F which allows for legitimate security research to take place. This need for this in the renewal period and beyond is blatantly critical and obvious given our increasing dependence on vehicles containing software that if left unsecure could allow hackers to threaten our safety, or economic, or social lives with access to information collected by the software in question.

Comment ID COLC-2017-0007-0229 **Tracking Number** 1k2-90x3-6tx8 **Comment Details** Submitter Info **Submitter Name** Andrew White

3/25/22, 4:33 PM Regulations.gov



Your Voice in Federal Decision Makina

About Agencies Learn

(/about) (/agencies) (/learn)

Reports FAQ

(https://resources.regulations.gov/public/component/main?main=Reports) (/faq)

Privacy & Security Notice (/privacy-notice) | User Notice (/user-notice) | Accessibility Statement (/accessibility) | Developers (https://open.gsa.gov/api/regulationsgov/)

Support (/support) Provide Site Feedback