

Docket (/docket/COLC-2017-0007) / Document (COLC-2017-0007-0070) (/document/COLC-2017-0007-0070) / Comment

 PUBLIC SUBMISSION

Class_12_Reply_Ellenburg

Posted by the **U.S. Copyright Office** on Mar 15, 2018

View More Comments 181 (/document/COLC-2017-0007-0070/comment)

View Related Comments 249 (/docket/COLC-2017-0007/comments)

Share 

Comment


I am commenting in support of the "Weinberg Comment" from Michael Weinberg regarding the proposed Class 12 3D Printing exemption to the DMCA to allow third-party filament, and encourage the Copyright Office to remove the following language:

"The exemption shall not extend to any computer program on a 3D printer that produces goods or materials for use in commerce the physical production of which is subject to legal or regulatory oversight..."

Any 3D printer can produce aircraft parts (subject to FAA approval) and medical devices (subject to FDA approval).

Any object produced on a 3D printer that is subject to regulatory oversight is already regulated there's no reason to drag the Copyright Office into the world of 3D printed ventilation masks or turbine blades.

Comment ID
COLC-2017-0007-0247

 **Tracking Number**
1k1-90ih-5w5a

Comment Details	Submitter Info

Submitter Name

George Ellenburg



Your Voice in Federal Decision Making

[About](#) [Agencies](#) [Learn](#)
(/about) (/agencies) (/learn)

[Reports](#) [FAQ](#)
(<https://resources.regulations.gov/public/component/main?main=Reports>) (/faq)

[Privacy & Security Notice \(/privacy-notice\)](#) | [User Notice \(/user-notice\)](#) |

[Accessibility Statement \(/accessibility\)](#) | [Developers \(https://open.gsa.gov/api/regulationsgov/\)](https://open.gsa.gov/api/regulationsgov/)

[Support \(/support\)](#) [Provide Site Feedback](#)