

Weekly Summary for Meetings with Elections Infrastructure Officials

Region	State	Meeting Location	Meeting Date	DHS POC	Key Attendees & Affiliation other than POC	Key Discussion Points	Issues or Concerns	Follow-up Required	For NPPD Leadership Awareness	Leadership Action	Next Scheduled Engagement	Notes / Comments
5	Indiana				(b) (6)		(b) (5)	(b) (5)	(b) (5)			
5	Illinois				(b) (6)		(b) (5)	(b) (5)	(b) (5)			
5	WI comm				(b) (6)		(b) (5)	(b) (5)	(b) (5)			
5	Michigan				(b) (6)	(b) (5)	(b) (5)	(b) (5)	(b) (5)			
5	Ohio				(b) (6)	(b) (5)	(b) (5)	(b) (5)	(b) (5)			
1	Minnesota	TRD										

Weekly Summary for Meetings with Elections Infrastructure Officials

Region	State	Meeting Location	Meeting Date	DHS POC	Key Attendees & Affiliation other than POC	Key Discussion Points	Issues or Concerns	Follow-up Required	For NPPD Leadership Awareness	Leadership Action	Next Scheduled Engagement	Notes / Comments
8	Colorado				(b) (6)	Discussed EI designation, introduction to DHS team and capabilities / resources available to support EI efforts, discussed council structure, security clearance process	(b) (5)	Will continue engagement				
8	North Dakota				(b) (6)		(b) (5) including EI officials has diverse representation, include rural states, cyber integrity of EI is primarily at the county level where polling machines and IT interface reside.	CSA w/ I follow up late November w/ th State CSO, PSA invited to speak at annual meeting in Feb, PSA to review current physical security documents and provide options for consideration.	(b) (5)	Please follow up with IA on additional clearances, Please provide details on potential make-up of EI councils.		
8	Wyoming				(b) (6)		Questions from SoS: How (b) (5) to section emergency commission? If there is a breach at county level would the SoS be notified? Additionally, the SoS was interested in understanding what this designation means, and the types of services we could offer. SoS was impressed with the resource guide we provided and intends to submit additional personnel and himself for clearance.	CSA w/ I follow up again with (b) (5) Technology Director. PSA and (b) (5) need to speak at future County Clerk meeting to discuss EI security and best practices	Overall meeting was positive with a good path forward.			
8	Montana		10/13/2017			Awaiting AAR						
8	Utah		10/12/2017									
8												

Weekly Summary for Meetings with Elections Infrastructure Officials

Region	State	Meeting Location	Meeting Date	DHS POC	Key Attendees & Affiliation other than POC	Key Discussion Points	Issues or Concerns	Follow-up Required	For NPPD Leadership Awareness	Leadership Action	Next Scheduled Engagement	Notes / Comments
4	Tennessee	Tennessee State Capitol, Nashville office	09/21/17	(b) (6)	(b) (6)	<p>is part of a total GCC stand up</p> <p>GA capabilities as they relate to local, Fusion Center functions, etc.</p> <p>MS-ASAC and their services, the scanning and incident response.</p>	<p>Communication channels and PSA between DHS and state election officials. So, in the event they have an issue, who do they contact - who will contact them? NC CI, CSA, PSA?</p> <p>Building availability and host across state with federal officials. What is the cost to put Albert Sasser in all 91 counties? Will there be grant funding available to support implementation?</p>	<p>CSA needs to send out sheets and detailed briefing sheets with cyber services. Can discuss with (b) (6) to send their county elections teams to receive a presentation. CSA. Get sheets to reach with both regional and HQ exercise teams. CSA to provide best practices documents and link to federal VTL.</p>	(b) (5)			
4	North Carolina	North Carolina State Capitol, Raleigh Office	09/26/17	(b) (6)	(b) (6)	<p>Overview of DHS cyber capabilities, evaluations and information sharing and info response.</p> <p>GA capabilities as they relate to local, Fusion Center functions, etc.</p> <p>MS-ASAC and their services, the scanning and incident response.</p>	<p>NC personnel had no complaints and reported only positive interaction with DHS, and relayed a high level message to work with DHS program and personnel to engage on various programmatic and protective opportunities.</p> <p>GA capabilities as they relate to local, Fusion Center functions, etc.</p> <p>MS-ASAC and their services, the scanning and incident response.</p> <p>How do they inform the SCC of those state standards like there are higher than NIST standards?</p> <p>Dialogue around the state reliance and coordination with county election officials (i.e. those 100 county agencies, who own the voting and election system development), DHS, upon query, stated that the same level and types of cyber services, physical, and info response services were available to local government partners.</p>	<p>CSA needs to send out sheets and detailed briefing sheets with cyber services. Can discuss with (b) (6) to send their county elections teams to receive a presentation. CSA. Get sheets to reach with both regional and HQ exercise teams. CSA to provide best practices documents and link to federal VTL.</p>	(b) (5)			
4	South Carolina	South Carolina State Capitol, Columbia Office	09/27/17	(b) (6)	(b) (6)	<p>MS-ASAC and their services, the scanning and incident response.</p> <p>2. NPPD capabilities (PSA Program/physical security capabilities, etc.)</p> <p>3. Overview of DHS cyber capabilities, evaluations, information sharing and response.</p> <p>4. GCC/SCC</p> <p>5. ASAC capabilities as they relate to local, Fusion Center functions</p> <p>6. CI designation</p>	<p>Want to be member of GCC, but haven't been asked to participate. Would like to know how they could access content/physical/cyber training for election personnel.</p>	<p>Follow up meeting with CSA to go to some depth on cyber resources. State with PSA - security training and surveys. More info on PCI program and a conference call with the PCI program office. Would like to know if NCATS scan results are PCI protected and (b) (6)</p>	(b) (5)			
4	Florida	Florida State Capitol, Tallahassee office	10/5/2017	(b) (6)	(b) (6)	<p>1. MS-ASAC and their services, the scanning and incident response.</p> <p>2. NPPD capabilities (PSA Program/physical security capabilities, etc.)</p> <p>3. Overview of DHS cyber capabilities, evaluations, information sharing and response.</p> <p>4. GCC/SCC</p> <p>5. ASAC capabilities as they relate to local, Fusion Center functions</p> <p>6. CI designation</p>	<p>They want to have good understanding of the notification process for incidents.</p> <p>Disposition of election systems for legacy systems on a national level - do that system in one state can be sold to another state.</p> <p>Who has control of the data for the PCI program?</p> <p>Do we have resources/services - is actually provided. Issue services across the nation with the current cybersecurity as it?</p>	<p>Want to delegate security clearance responsibility to the CofC. Deputy S&I already has a clearance. RD to make necessary arrangements.</p> <p>Talk with exercise program because he state is doing cyber exercises soon and wants to sync with our program.</p> <p>December 4 meeting with the county chief election officials across the state in Orlando - For CSA and PSA to attend. For GCC - request that they develop a check list of best practices for state election systems. Confirm that this is in their work. Very appreciative for this outreach. Sign figure will request to partner with DHS. They will volunteer for pilot programs and additional training opportunities. They want to be a great partner.</p>				
4	Kentucky	Kentucky State Capitol, Louisville office	10/13/2017	(b) (6)	(b) (6)	<p>1. MS-ASAC and their services, the scanning and incident response.</p> <p>2. NPPD capabilities (PSA Program/physical security capabilities, etc.)</p> <p>3. Overview of DHS cyber capabilities, evaluations, information sharing and response.</p> <p>4. Security clearances</p> <p>5. CI designation</p>	<p>1. PCI testing and phishing - what is the data process on those services offerings. If not PCI, then what is it?</p> <p>2. How to implement a type of cyber security controls we have making it more difficult for resources targeted countries.</p> <p>3. State is concerned about federal government role in making mandatory state data for election systems.</p> <p>4. How are we going to communicate incidents or immediate response actions report by the system of state or clearances.</p>	<p>CSA - Over MS-ASAC contact. Promotions for PCI testing and phishing. National Association of Election Officials January event - can we attend?</p> <p>RD - combination on when is going to receive the clearance for the state (b) (6)</p>				
4	Mississippi	Mississippi State Capitol, Jackson Office	10/03/17	(b) (6)	(b) (6)	<p>1. NPPD capabilities (PSA Program/physical security capabilities, etc.)</p> <p>2. Overview of DHS cyber capabilities, evaluations, information sharing and response.</p> <p>3. GCC/SCC</p> <p>4. Security clearances</p> <p>5. CI designation</p>	<p>1. no discussion process, specifically with it as possible need.</p> <p>2. cyber training for state register staff</p>	<p>1. RD - Check on status of security clearances.</p> <p>2. CSA/PSA - Both cyber and traditional awareness training - everything we can bring to the table.</p> <p>3. CSA - Coordinate with (b) (6) on multiple level on cyber services.</p> <p>4. They have / regional training events where they would like DHS to provide cyber and physical security training during 2018.</p> <p>5. RD - MS-ASAC POC for (b) (6) language for external dependencies or contract.</p> <p>6. CSA - Get answer re: if a county requests DHS cyber services, is it covered under PCI or can we in the State that the county has request services? (Physical security systems are covered by PCI program.)</p>				
4	Alabama	Alabama State Capitol, Montgomery office	10/04/17	(b) (6)	(b) (6)	<p>1. NPPD capabilities (PSA Program/physical security capabilities, etc.)</p> <p>2. Overview of DHS cyber capabilities, evaluations, information sharing and response.</p> <p>3. GCC/SCC</p> <p>4. Security clearances</p> <p>5. CI designation</p>	<p>1. no discussion process, specifically with it as possible need.</p> <p>2. cyber training for state register staff</p>	<p>1. RD - Check on status of security clearances.</p> <p>2. CSA/PSA - Both cyber and traditional awareness training - everything we can bring to the table.</p> <p>3. CSA - Coordinate with (b) (6) on multiple level on cyber services.</p> <p>4. They have / regional training events where they would like DHS to provide cyber and physical security training during 2018.</p> <p>5. RD - MS-ASAC POC for (b) (6) language for external dependencies or contract.</p> <p>6. CSA - Get answer re: if a county requests DHS cyber services, is it covered under PCI or can we in the State that the county has request services? (Physical security systems are covered by PCI program.)</p>				
4	Georgia	THD		(b) (6)	(b) (6)	<p>1. NPPD capabilities (PSA Program/physical security capabilities, etc.)</p> <p>2. Overview of DHS cyber capabilities, evaluations, information sharing and response.</p> <p>3. GCC/SCC</p> <p>4. Security clearances</p> <p>5. CI designation</p>	<p>1. no discussion process, specifically with it as possible need.</p> <p>2. cyber training for state register staff</p>	<p>1. RD - Check on status of security clearances.</p> <p>2. CSA/PSA - Both cyber and traditional awareness training - everything we can bring to the table.</p> <p>3. CSA - Coordinate with (b) (6) on multiple level on cyber services.</p> <p>4. They have / regional training events where they would like DHS to provide cyber and physical security training during 2018.</p> <p>5. RD - MS-ASAC POC for (b) (6) language for external dependencies or contract.</p> <p>6. CSA - Get answer re: if a county requests DHS cyber services, is it covered under PCI or can we in the State that the county has request services? (Physical security systems are covered by PCI program.)</p>				

Weekly Summary for Meetings with Elections Infrastructure Officials

Region	State	Meeting Location	Meeting Date	DEIS POC	Key Attendees & Affiliation other than POC	Key Discussion Points	Issues or Concerns	Follow-up Required	For NPPD Leadership Awareness	Leadership Action	Next Scheduled Engagement	Notes / Comments
6	New Mexico				(b) (6)	Discussed DEIS/EP mission, CUI and Indian nation, the E.I. subsector and awareness of potential vulnerabilities both by cyber and physical. Provided overview of related support in their protection efforts through information sharing avenues. CNA provided overview of Cyber asset assets and discussed current vulnerability fix to their system.	They are very interested in physical and cyber security. They are not sure if they are on the list of other states that they were open to any assistance. They are also interested in the physical and cyber security of their state. They are also interested in the physical and cyber security of their state. They are also interested in the physical and cyber security of their state.	Will work with state officials to ensure that they are included in the support of our region. We will work with state officials to ensure that they are included in the support of our region. We will work with state officials to ensure that they are included in the support of our region.	DEIS state structure officials very open to DEIS as interest and membership in GUC.			
6	Oklahoma				(b) (6)	Discussed DEIS/EP mission, CUI and Indian nation, the E.I. subsector and awareness of potential vulnerabilities both by cyber and physical. Provided overview of related support in their protection efforts through information sharing avenues. CNA provided overview of Cyber asset assets and discussed current vulnerability fix to their system.	They are very interested in the proposed DEIS/EP mission and GUC membership. They are also interested in the physical and cyber security of their state. They are also interested in the physical and cyber security of their state. They are also interested in the physical and cyber security of their state.	Will work with state officials to ensure that they are included in the support of our region. We will work with state officials to ensure that they are included in the support of our region. We will work with state officials to ensure that they are included in the support of our region.	DEIS state structure officials very open to DEIS as interest and membership in GUC.			
4	Arkansas				(b) (6)	Discussed DEIS/EP mission, CUI and Indian nation, the E.I. subsector and awareness of potential vulnerabilities both by cyber and physical. Provided overview of related support in their protection efforts through information sharing avenues. CNA provided overview of Cyber asset assets and discussed current vulnerability fix to their system.	They are very interested in the proposed DEIS/EP mission and GUC membership. They are also interested in the physical and cyber security of their state. They are also interested in the physical and cyber security of their state. They are also interested in the physical and cyber security of their state.	Will work with state officials to ensure that they are included in the support of our region. We will work with state officials to ensure that they are included in the support of our region. We will work with state officials to ensure that they are included in the support of our region.	DEIS state structure officials very open to DEIS as interest and membership in GUC.			

Weekly Summary for Meetings with Elections Infrastructure Officials

Region	State	Meeting Location	Meeting Date	DHS POC	Key Attendees & Affiliation other than POC	Key Discussion Points	Issues or Concerns	Follow-up Required	For NPPD Leadership Awareness	Leadership Action	Next Scheduled Engagement	Notes / Comments
8	Colorado				(b) (6)	Discussed EI designation, introduction to DHS team and capabilities / resources available to support EI efforts, discussed council structure, security clearance process	(b) (5)	Will continue engagement				
8	North Dakota				(b) (6)		(b) (5) including EI officials has diverse representation, include rural states, cyber integrity of EI is primarily at the county level where polling machines and IT interface reside.	CSA w/ I follow up late November w/ th State CSO, PSA invited to speak at annual meeting in Feb, PSA to review current physical security documents and provide options for consideration.	(b) (5)	Please follow up with IA on additional clearances, Please provide details on potential make-up of EI councils.		
8	Wyoming				(b) (6)		Questions from SoS: How (b) (5) to section integrity by commission? If there is a breach at county level would the SoS be notified? Additionally, the SoS was interested in understanding what this designation means, and the types of services we could offer. SoS was impressed with the resource guide we provided and intends to submit additional personnel and himself for clearance.	CSA w/ I follow up again with (b) (5) Technology Director. PSA and (b) (5) to speak at future County Clerk meeting to discuss EI security and best practices	Overall meeting was positive with a good path forward.	Overall this was a positive meeting and we made a good contact with the SoS and Technology Director		
8	Montana		10/3/2017			Awaiting AAR						
8	Utah		10/12/2017									
8												

Weekly Summary for Meetings with Elections Infrastructure Officials

Region	State	Meeting Location	Meeting Date	DHS POC	Key Attendees & Affiliation other than POC	Key Discussion Points	Issues or Concerns	Follow-up Required	For NPPD Leadership Awareness	Leadership Action	Next Scheduled Engagement	Notes / Comments
9	Hawaii				(b) (6)	Both HSA and CEO were appreciative of the early coordination and emphasized being kept in the loop and in the know. (b) (6) was seeking expectations of the State and an understanding of the overall goal, beyond the shared interest in protecting the election process. At the conclusion of the call, he said he had a good understanding of the capabilities and options for support and would be keeping the Governor apprised of the collective planning.	The State asked if we had the resources to do additional assessments this year; agreed to work together on prioritizing which infrastructure elements to assess. All agreed the fusion center is the central hub of information and that the CEO's office would ensure proper distribution of relevant information from the MS-ISAC.	(b) (5) CSAs and FSA will meet with CIO and CEO later this month and RD will reengage at next opportunity to be on the island (later this fall). GETBACK: Best Practices/Guidance on milestones recommended leading up to an election. ETF FORAC.	Overall, very positive meeting and all signpost to a continued successful partnership on this issues as well as others.	None Required.	TBD - RD (b) (6) follow back up in person at next opportunity to be on island.	During the 9/22 notification call to the CEO, (b) (6) RD (b) (6) relayed that CSAs (b) (6) would be on island the following week and would arrange to meet to discuss this service. Subsequently, the evening before, the CSAs were instructed to cancel this engagement. RD (b) (6) will (b) (5)
9	Arizona		10/3/2017	(b) (6)	(b) (6)	The discussion started around what election infrastructure as a designated CIK sector means. We spent some time explaining the coordinating council structure, where (b) (6) expressed interest in having her (or her office) directly engaged on the GCC. (b) (5) Discussion also included general best practices (Cyber Hygiene, identifying critical services and managing assets, training/awareness, etc.) as well as DHS's commitment to improving information sharing and the notification process going forward. We encouraged the SoS office to work closely with the State CISO as well. Although a grueling schedule, it paid dividends to do the extra outreach with the State CISO, FBI and HSA near the same time as our SoS meeting. They all expressed extreme appreciation for the inclusion and each offered advice and guidance on how we can best support the State with respect to elections.	(b) (5)	GETBACK (1): (b) (6) repeatedly requested the DHS formally advise on the safety/security of having the nation's voter information sent centrally to the Presidential Advisory Commission on Election Integrity. ETF FORAC. GETBACK: Return (b) (6) with the best points of contact for Secretary Reagan to proactively engage in the GCC. ETF / RD (b) (6) FORAC.	Related media reports post meeting: http://kat.com/story/1772379/sos-arizona-election-hacking-was-much-ado-about-nothing/ http://www.azfamily.com/story/36578063/nari-copa-county-recorder-confident-in-election-security By now we have learned that the SoS / CEO is not the only apparatus protecting election infrastructure. Recommendation to ensure the forming GCC includes representation from non-SoS/CEO type organizations, such as Governor's offices, HSA offices, CISO, etc.	See GETBACK (1).	TBD.	
9	Nevada	Las Vegas	11-Oct	(b) (6)	(b) (6)	The Secretary's staff are interested in understanding the victim notification process, with particular interest in ensuring that the Secretary's office is made aware of incidents even when they are not the system owner. We acknowledged this was the intention going forward and that details on new information sharing protocols were underway. They recognized that these protocols will be a key focus of the GCC and SCC, and look forward to seeing progress in that forum, as well. The Secretary and her team inquired about the MS-ISAC, if it would be the official sector ISAC and if the Secretary should have their own 'membership'. We explained that direct membership was an option, the services of the MS-ISAC are available to the Secretary's office, and committed to returning MS-ISAC POC information. Overall, the Secretary was appreciative of our meeting and of our budding partnership. She was thankful for our past support (PSA supported last year's election via the Election Integrity Taskforce). She ask for returned information on the full listing of our capabilities, points of contact and other relevant products mentioned during the meeting. She - and her team - were understanding of the threats and vulnerabilities to elections and election infrastructure and asked that we advise where we can on how they can improve.	(b) (5)	GETBACK (1): Return points of contact for MS-ISAC and fusion center; send capabilities summary of DHS services. RD (b) (6) FORAC. GETBACK (2): Provide feedback to NCATS from SoS team and return follow up with SoS office appropriate. CS (b) (6) FORAC. GETBACK (3): (b) (5) ETF FORAC.	Nevada repeatedly emphasized improving information sharing protocols and will be looking for noticeable change in that process in the near term.	None Required.	TBD.	
9	American Samoa			(b) (6)								(b) (5)
9	Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands			(b) (6)								(b) (5)
9	Guam			(b) (6)								(b) (5)

Weekly Summary for Meetings with Elections Infrastructure Officials

Region	State	Meeting Location	Meeting Date	DHS POC	Key Attendees & Affiliations other than POC	Key Discussion Points	Issues or Concerns	Follow-up Required	For NPPD Leadership Awareness	Leadership Action	Next Scheduled Meeting	Notes / Comments
18	Washington				(b) (6)		<p>1. The DHS also expressed interest in learning more about the state election system through the very gracious and generous assistance and support provided by the state of Washington during the meeting.</p> <p>2. The DHS also expressed interest in learning more about the state election system through the very gracious and generous assistance and support provided by the state of Washington during the meeting.</p> <p>3. The DHS also expressed interest in learning more about the state election system through the very gracious and generous assistance and support provided by the state of Washington during the meeting.</p> <p>4. The DHS also expressed interest in learning more about the state election system through the very gracious and generous assistance and support provided by the state of Washington during the meeting.</p>	<p>The following is a short summary of the technical assistance and services that the state of Washington provides from DHS to help state election systems:</p> <p>1. The DHS provides technical assistance and services to help state election systems.</p> <p>2. The DHS provides technical assistance and services to help state election systems.</p> <p>3. The DHS provides technical assistance and services to help state election systems.</p> <p>4. The DHS provides technical assistance and services to help state election systems.</p>				
18	Idaho				(b) (6)	(b) (5)						
18	Idaho				(b) (6)	(b) (5)						
18	Idaho				(b) (6)	(b) (5)						