Brent O. Hatch (5715)
bhatch@hjdlaw.com
Mark F. James (5295)
mjames@hjdlaw.com
HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, PC
10 West Broadway, Suite 400
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101
Telephone: (801) 363-6363
Facsimile: (801) 363-6666

David Boies (admitted pro hac vice)

dboies@bsfllp.com

Robert Silver (admitted pro hac vice)

rsilver@bsfllp.com

Edward Normand (admitted pro hac vice)
enormand@bsfllp.com

BOIES SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP

333 Main Street

Armonk, New York 10504

Telephone: (914) 749-8200

Stuart Singer (admitted pro hac vice) ssinger@bsfllp.com
Sashi Bach Boruchow (admitted pro hac vice) sboruchow@bsfllp.com
BOIES SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 401 East Las Olas Blvd.
Suite 1200

Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301 Telephone: (954) 356-0011 Facsimile: (954) 356-0022

Attorneys for Plaintiff, The SCO Group, Inc.

Facsimile: (914) 749-8300

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH

THE SCO GROUP, INC., by and through the Chapter 11 Trustee in Bankruptcy, Edward N. Cahn,

Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant,

VS.

NOVELL, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff.

SCO'S OPPOSITION TO "NOVELL'S MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 10 TO PRECLUDE SCO FROM PRESENTING ARGUMENT RELATING TO ISSUES STAYED PENDING ARBITRATION"

Civil No. 2:04 CV-00139

Judge Ted Stewart

Consistent with the stay previously ordered, SCO agrees that neither party should introduce evidence or make arguments at trial relating to the stayed claims that Novell has violated the APA or TLA by distributing SUSE Linux, that Novell's distribution of SUSE Linux infringes on SCO's copyrights, or that Novell has misappropriated SCO's UNIX technology in SUSE Linux. In addition, neither party should refer to the SuSE arbitration proceeding as to which the above claims have been stayed. SCO submits that any order issued to this affect should specifically apply to both parties.

Insofar as Novell's motion suggests otherwise, however, SCO does not agree that neither party may introduce evidence or make arguments regarding the meaning and interpretation of the TLA (independent of whether Novell has breached it), because that meaning and interpretation is relevant to the meaning and interpretation of the amended APA.

Conclusion

SCO submits that the Court should order both parties (i) that they may not introduce evidence or make arguments at trial relating to the stayed claims that Novell has violated the APA or TLA by distributing SUSE Linux, that Novell's distribution of SUSE Linux infringes on SCO's copyrights, or that Novell has misappropriated SCO's UNIX technology in SUSE Linux. In addition; and (ii) that neither party should refer to the SuSE arbitration proceeding as to which the above claims have been stayed. The Court should further order, to the extent it deems necessary, that the parties may introduce evidence and make arguments regarding the meaning and interpretation of the TLA (independent of whether Novell has breached it).

DATED this 19th day of February, 2010.

By: /s/ Brent O. Hatch HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, P.C. Brent O. Hatch Mark F. James

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP David Boies Robert Silver Stuart H. Singer Edward Normand Sashi Bach Boruchow

Counsel for The SCO Group, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Brent O. Hatch, hereby certify that on this 19,th day of February, 2010, a true and correct copy of the foregoing SCO'S OPPOSITION TO "NOVELL'S MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 10" was filed with the Court and served via electronic mail to the following recipients:

Sterling A. Brennan
David R. Wright
Kirk R. Harris
Cara J. Baldwin
WORKMAN | NYDEGGER
1000 Eagle Gate Tower
60 East South Temple
Salt Lake City, UT 84111

Thomas R. Karrenberg Heather M. Sneddon ANDERSON & KARRENBERG 700 Bank One Tower 50 West Broadway Salt Lake City, UT 84101

Michael A. Jacobs Eric M. Aker Grant L. Kim MORRISON & FOERSTER 425 Market Street San Francisco, CA 94105-2482

Counsel for Defendant and Counterclaim-Plaintiff Novell, Inc.

By: /s/ Brent O. Hatch HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, P.C. Brent O. Hatch