## Leveraging Big Data

http://www.cohenwang.com/edith/bigdataclass2013

## Edith Cohen <br> Instructors: Amos Fiat Haim Kaplan Tova Milo

Disclaimer: This is the first time we are offering this class (new material also to the instructors!)

- EXPECT many glitches
- Ask questions


## What is Big Data ?

Huge amount of information, collected continuously: network activity, search requests, logs, location data, tweets, commerce, data footprint for each person ....
What's new ?

- Scale: terabytes -> petabytes -> exabytes -> ...
- Diversity: relational, logs, text, media, measurements
- Movement: streaming data, volumes moved around

Eric Schmidt (Google) 2010: "Every 2 Days We Create As Much Information As We Did Up to 2003"

## The Big Data Challenge

To be able to handle and leverage this information, to offer better services, we need

- Architectures and tools for data storage, movement, processing, mining, ....
- Good models


## Big Data Implications

- Many classic tools are not all that relevant - Can't just throw everything into a DBMS
- Computational models:
- map-reduce (distributing/parallelizing computation)
- data streams (one or few sequential passes)
- Algorithms:
- Can't go much beyond "linear" processing
- Often need to trade-off accuracy and computation cost
- More issues:
- Understand the data: Behavior models with links to Sociology, Economics, Game Theory, ...
- Privacy, Ethics


## This Course

Selected topics that

- We feel are important
- We think we can teach
- Aiming for breadth
- but also for depth and developing good working understanding of concepts
http://www.cohenwang.com/edith/bigdataclass2013


## Today

- Short intro to synopsis structures
- The data streams model
- The Misra Gries frequent elements summary
- Stream algorithm (adding an element)
- Merging Misra Gries summaries
- Quick review of randomization
- Morris counting algorithm
- Stream counting
- Merging Morris counters
- Approximate distinct counting


## Synopsis (Summary) Structures

A small summary of a large data set that (approximately) captures some statistics/properties we are interested in.

Examples: random samples, sketches/projections, histograms, ...


## Query a synopsis: Estimators

A function $\hat{f}$ we apply to a synopsis $\boldsymbol{S}$ in order to obtain an estimate $\hat{f}(\boldsymbol{S})$ of a property/statistics/function $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ of the data $\boldsymbol{x}$


## Synopsis Structures

A small summary of a large data set that (approximately) captures some statistics/properties we are interested in.

Useful features:

- Easy to add an element
- Mergeable : can create summary of union from summaries of data sets
- Deletions/"undo" support
- Flexible: supports multiple types of queries


## Mergeability



Enough to consider merging two sketches

## Why megeability is useful



## Synopsis Structures: Why?

## Data can be too large to:

- Keep for long or even short term
- Transmit across the network
- Process queries over in reasonable time/computation


Data, data, everywhere. Economist 2010

## The Data Stream Model

- Data is read sequentially in one (or few) passes
- We are limited in the size of working memory.
- We want to create and maintain a synopsis which allows us to obtain good estimates of properties


## Streaming Applications

- Network management: traffic going through high speed routers (data can not be revisited)
- I/O efficiency (sequential access is cheaper than random access)

- Scientific data, satellite feeds


## Streaming model

Sequence of elements from some domain $<x 1, x 2, x 3, x 4, \ldots . .>$

- Bounded storage:
working memory << stream size usually $\mathrm{O}\left(\log ^{k} n\right)$ or $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{\alpha}\right)$ for $\alpha<1$
- Fast processing time per stream element


## What can we compute over a stream ?

32, 112, 14, 9, 37, 83, 115, 2,

Some functions are easy: min, max, sum, ...
We use a single register $s$, simple update:

- Maximum: Initialize $\boldsymbol{s} \leftarrow \mathbf{0}$

For element $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{s} \leftarrow \max \boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{x}$

- Sum: Initialize $\boldsymbol{s} \leftarrow 0$

For element $x, s \leftarrow s+x$
The "synopsis" here is a single value.
It is also mergeable.

## Frequent Elements

$$
32,12,14,32,7,12,32,7,6,12,
$$

- Elements occur multiple times, we want to find the elements that occur very often.
- Number of distinct element is $\boldsymbol{n}$
- Stream size is $m$


## Frequent Elements

$$
32,12,14,32,7,12,32,7,6,12,4,
$$

Applications:

- Networking: Find "elephant" flows
- Search: Find the most frequent queries

Zipf law: Typical frequency distributions are highly skewed: with few very frequent elements.
Say top $10 \%$ of elements have $90 \%$ of total occurrences.
We are interested in finding the heaviest elements

## Frequent Elements: Exact Solution

$$
32,12,14,32,7,12,32,7,6,12,4,
$$

Exact solution:

- Create a counter for each distinct element on its first occurrence
- When processing an element, increment the counter

| 32 | 12 | 14 | 7 | 6 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |

Problem: Need to maintain $n$ counters. But can only maintain $k \ll n$ counters

## Frequent Elements: Misra Gries 1982

$$
32,12,14,32,7,12,32,7,6,12,4,
$$

Processing an element $\boldsymbol{x}$

- If we already have a counter for $\boldsymbol{x}$, increment it
- Else, If there is no counter, but there are fewer than $k$ counters, create a counter for $x$ initialized to 1 .
- Else, decrease all counters by $\mathbf{1}$. Remove $\mathbf{0}$ counters.



## Frequent Elements: Misra Gries 1982

$$
32,12,14,32,7,12,32,7,6,12,4,
$$

Processing an element $\boldsymbol{x}$

- If we already have a counter for $\boldsymbol{x}$, increment it
- Else, If there is no counter, but there are fewer than $k$ counters, create a counter for $x$ initialized to 1 .
- Else, decrease all counters by $\mathbf{1}$. Remove $\mathbf{0}$ counters.

Query: How many times $x$ occurred ?

- If we have a counter for $x$, return its value
- Else, return 0.

This is clearly an under-estimate. What can we say precisely?

## Misra Gries 1982 : Analysis

How many decrements to a particular $x$ can we have?
$\Leftrightarrow$ How many decrement steps can we have ?

- Suppose total weight of structure (sum of counters) is $m^{\prime}$
- Total weight of stream (number of occurrences) is $m$
- Each decrement step results in removing $k$ counts from structure, and not counting current occurrence of the input element. That is $k+1$ "uncounted" occurrences.
- $\Rightarrow$ There can be at most $\frac{m-m^{\prime}}{k+1}$ decrement steps
$\Rightarrow$ Estimate is smaller than true count by at most $\frac{m-m^{\prime}}{k+1}$


## Misra Gries 1982 : Analysis

Estimate is smaller than true count by at most $\frac{\boldsymbol{m}-\boldsymbol{m}^{\prime}}{k+1}$
$\Rightarrow$ We get good estimates for $x$ when the number
of occurrences >> $\frac{m-m \prime}{k+1}$

- Error bound is inversely proportional to $k$
- The error bound can be computed with summary: We can track $m$ (simple count), know $m$ ' (can be computed from structure) and $k$.
- MG works because typical frequency distributions have few very popular elements "Zipf law"


# Merging two Misra Gries Summaries [ACHPWY 2012] 

Basic merge:

- If an element $x$ is in both structures, keep one counter with sum of the two counts
- If an element $x$ is in one structure, keep the counter

Reduce: If there are more than $\boldsymbol{k}$ counters

- Take the $(k+1)^{\text {th }}$ largest counter
- Subtract its value from all other counters
- Delete non-positive counters


## Merging two Misra Gries Summaries

Basic Merge:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
32 & 12 & 14 & 7 & 6 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\
0 & 0 & 0 & & \\
& & 0 & &
\end{array}
$$

## Merging two Misra Gries Summaries



Reduce since there are more than $\boldsymbol{k}=3$ counters :

- Take the $(k+1)^{\text {th }}=4^{\text {th }}$ largest counter
- Subtract its value (2) from all other counters
- Delete non-positive counters


## Merging MG Summaries: Correctness

Claim: Final summary has at most $k$ counters Proof: We subtract the $(k+1)^{\text {th }}$ largest from everything, so at most the $k$ largest can remain positive.

Claim: For each element, final summary count is smaller than true count by at most $\frac{m-m \prime}{k+1}$

## Merging MG Summaries: Correctness

Claim: For each element, final summary count is smaller than true count by at most $\frac{m-m \prime}{k+1}$
Proof: "Counts" for element $x$ can be lost in part1, part2, or in the reduce component of the merge We add up the bounds on the losses
Part 1:
Total occurrences: $m_{1}$
Part 2:
Total occurrences: $m_{2}$
In structure: $m_{1}{ }^{\prime}$
In structure: $m_{2}{ }^{\prime}$
Count loss: $\leq \frac{m_{1}-m_{1}{ }^{\prime}}{k+1}$
Count loss: $\leq \frac{m_{2}-m_{2}{ }^{\prime}}{k+1}$
Reduce loss is at most $X=(\boldsymbol{k}+\mathbf{1})^{\text {th }}$ largest counter

## Merging MG Summaries: Correctness

$\Rightarrow$ "Count loss" of one element is at most

$$
\frac{m_{1}-m_{1}^{\prime}}{k+1}+\frac{m_{2}-m_{2}^{\prime}}{k+1}+\underset{\uparrow}{X}
$$

Part 1:
Total occurrences: $m_{1}$
Total occurrences: $m_{2}$
In structure: $m_{1}{ }^{\prime} \quad$ In structure: $m_{2}{ }^{\prime}$
Count loss: $\leq \frac{m_{1}-m_{1}{ }^{\prime}}{k+1}$
Count loss: $\leq \frac{m_{2}-m_{2}{ }^{\prime}}{k+1}$
Reduce loss is at most $X=(\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{1})^{\text {th }}$ largest counter

## Merging MG Summaries: Correctness

Counted occurrences in structure:

- After basic merge and before reduce: $m_{1}^{\prime}+m_{2}{ }^{\prime}$
- After reduce: $m^{\prime}$

Claim: $\mathrm{m}_{1}^{\prime}+\mathrm{m}_{2}^{\prime}-m^{\prime} \geq X(k+1)$
Proof: $X$ are erased in the reduce step in each of the $k+1$ largest counters. Maybe more in smaller counters.
"Count loss" of one element is at most

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{m_{1}-m_{1}^{\prime}}{k+1}+\frac{m_{2}-m_{2^{\prime}}}{k+1}+X \leq \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\boldsymbol{k + 1}}\left(\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{1}}+\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{2}}-\boldsymbol{m}^{\prime}\right) \\
m-m^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ at most $\frac{m}{k+1}$ uncounted occurrences

## Using Randomization

- Misra Gries is a deterministic structure
- The outcome is determined uniquely by the input
- Usually we can do much better with randomization


## Randomization in Data Analysis

Often a critical tool in getting good results

- Random sampling / random projections as a means to reduce size/dimension
- Sometimes data is treated as samples from some distribution, and we want to use the data to approximate that distribution (for prediction)
- Sometimes introduced into the data to mask insignificant points (for robustness)


## Randomization: Quick review

- Random variable (discrete or continuous) $X$
- Probability Density Function (PDF)
$f_{X}(\boldsymbol{x})$ : Probability/density of $X=x$
$>$ Properties: $f_{X}(x) \geq 0 \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X}(x) d x=1$
- Cumulative Distribution Function (CDF)
$F_{X}(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{x} f_{X}(t) d t:$ probability that $X \leq x$
$>$ Properties: $F_{X}(x)$ monotone non-decreasing from 0 to 1


## Quick review: Expectation

- Expectation: "average" value of $X$ :

$$
\mu=E[X]=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_{X}(x) d x
$$

- Linearity of Expectation:

$$
E[a X+b]=a E[X]+b
$$

For random variables $\boldsymbol{X}_{1}, \boldsymbol{X}_{2}, \boldsymbol{X}_{3}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$

$$
E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} X_{i}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{k} E\left[X_{i}\right]
$$

## Quick review: Variance

- Variance

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{V}[X] & =\sigma^{2}=E\left[(X-\mu)^{2}\right] \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(x-\mu)^{2} f_{X}(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

- Useful relations: $\sigma^{2}=E\left[x^{2}\right]-\mu^{2}$

$$
V[a X+b]=a^{2} V[X]
$$

- The standard deviation is $\sigma=\sqrt{V[X]}$
- Coefficient of Variation $\frac{\sigma}{\mu}$


## Quick review: CoVariance

- CoVariance (measure of dependence between two random variables) $\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{Y}$
$\operatorname{Cov}[X, Y]=\sigma(X, Y)=E\left[\left(X-\mu_{X}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{Y}-\mu_{Y}\right)\right]$

$$
=\mathrm{E}[\mathrm{XY}]-\mu_{X} \mu_{Y}
$$

- $\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{Y}$ are independent $\Rightarrow \sigma(X, Y)=0$
- Variance of the sum of $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}$
$\mathrm{V}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} X_{i}\right]=\sum_{i, j=1}^{k} \operatorname{Cov}\left[X_{i}, X_{j}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{k} V\left[X_{i}\right]+\sum_{i \neq j}^{k} \operatorname{Cov}\left[X_{i}, X_{j}\right]$
When (pairwise) independent


## Back to Estimators

A function $\hat{f}$ we apply to "observed data" (or to a "synopsis") $\boldsymbol{S}$ in order to obtain an estimate $\hat{f}(\boldsymbol{S})$ of a property/statistics/function $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ of the data $\boldsymbol{x}$

## Data $\boldsymbol{x}$

Synopsis $S$

$$
\text { ? } f(\boldsymbol{x}) \longrightarrow \hat{f}(\boldsymbol{S})
$$

## Quick Review: Estimators

A function $\hat{f}$ we apply to "observed data" (or to a "synopsis") $\boldsymbol{S}$ in order to obtain an estimate $\hat{f}(\boldsymbol{S})$ of a property/statistics/function $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ of the data $\boldsymbol{x}$

- Error $\operatorname{err}(\hat{f})=\hat{f}(\boldsymbol{S})-f(\boldsymbol{x})$
- Bias $\operatorname{Bias}[\hat{f} \mid \boldsymbol{x}]=\mathrm{E}[\operatorname{err}(\hat{f})]=E[\hat{f}]-f(\boldsymbol{x})$
- When Bias $=0$ estimator is unbiased
- Mean Square Error (MSE):

$$
\mathrm{E}\left[\operatorname{err}(\hat{f})^{2}\right]=V[\hat{f}]+\operatorname{Bias}[\hat{f}]^{2}
$$

- Root Mean Square Error (RMSE): $\sqrt{M S E}$


## Back to stream counting

$$
1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1 \text {, }
$$

- Count: Initialize $\boldsymbol{s} \leftarrow \mathbf{0}$

For each element, $s \leftarrow s+1$
Register (our synopsis) size (bits) is $\left\lceil\log _{2} n\right\rceil$
where $n$ is the current count
Can we use fewer bits? Important when we have many streams to count, and fast memory is scarce (say, inside a backbone router)

What if we are happy with an approximate count?

## Morris Algorithm 1978

The first streaming algorithm

$$
1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1 \text {, }
$$

Stream counting:
Stream of +1 increments
Maintain an approximate count
Idea: track $\log n$ instead of $n$
Use $\log \log n$ bits instead of $\log n$ bits

## Morris Algorithm

Maintain a "log" counter $x$

- Increment: Increment with probability $2^{-x}$
- Query: Output $2^{x}-1$

| Stream: |  | 1, | 1, | 1, | 1, | 1, | 1, | 1, | 1, |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count $n:$ |  | 1, | 2, | 3, | 4, | 5, | 6, | 7, | 8, |
| $p=2^{-x}:$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{8}$ | $\frac{1}{8}$ |
| Counter $\boldsymbol{x}:$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Estimate $\widehat{\boldsymbol{n}}:$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 7 |

## Morris Algorithm: Unbiasedness

- When $n=1, x=1$,

$$
\text { estimate is } \hat{n}=2^{1}-\mathbf{1}=\mathbf{1}
$$

- When $n=2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { with } p=\frac{1}{2}, x=1, \hat{n}=1 \\
& \text { with } p=\frac{1}{2}, x=2, \hat{n}=2^{2}-1=3
\end{aligned}
$$

Expectation: $\mathrm{E}[\widehat{n}]=\frac{1}{2} * 1+\frac{1}{2} * 3=2$

- $n=3,4,5 \ldots$ by induction....


## Morris Algorithm: ...Unbiasedness

- $X_{n}$ is the random variable corresponding to the counter $x$ when the count is $n$
- We need to show that

$$
\mathrm{E}[\hat{n}]=\mathrm{E}\left[2^{X_{n}}-1\right]=n
$$

- That is, to show that $\mathrm{E}\left[2^{X_{n}}\right]=n+1$

$$
\mathrm{E}\left[2^{X_{n}}\right]=\sum_{j \geq 1} \operatorname{Prob}\left[X_{n-1}=j\right] \mathrm{E}\left[2^{X_{n}} \mid X_{n-1}=j\right]
$$

- We next compute: $\mathrm{E}\left[2^{X_{n}} \mid \boldsymbol{X}_{n-1}=j\right]$


## Morris Algorithm: ...Unbiasedness

Computing $\mathrm{E}\left[2^{X_{n}} \mid X_{n-1}=j\right]$ :

- with probability $p=1-2^{-j}: x=j, 2^{x}=2^{j}$
- with probability $p=2^{-j}: x=j+1,2^{x}=2^{j+1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}\left[2^{X_{n}} \mid X_{n-1}=j\right]=\left(1-2^{-j}\right) 2^{j}+2^{-j} 2^{j+1} \\
& \quad=2^{j}-1+2=2^{j}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Morris Algorithm: ...Unbiasedness

$$
\mathrm{E}\left[2^{X_{n}} \mid X_{n-1}=j\right]=2^{j}+1
$$

$$
\mathrm{E}\left[2^{X_{n}}\right]=\sum_{j \geq 1} \operatorname{Prob}\left[X_{n-1}=j\right] \mathrm{E}\left[2^{X_{n}} \mid X_{n-1}=j\right]
$$

$$
=\sum_{j \geq 1} \operatorname{Prob}\left[X_{n-1}=j\right]\left(2^{\mathrm{j}}+1\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{j \geq 1} \operatorname{Prob}\left[X_{n-1}=j\right]\left(2^{\mathrm{j}}-1\right)+\sum_{j \geq 1} \operatorname{Prob}\left[X_{n-1}=j\right] 2 \\
& \left.X_{n-1}-1\right]=n-1 \text { by induction hyp. } \\
& \quad=n+1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Morris Algorithm: Variance

How good is the estimate?

- The r.v.'s $\hat{n}=2^{X_{n}}-1$ and $\hat{n}+1=n=2^{X_{n}}$ have the same variance $\mathrm{V}[\hat{n}]=V[\hat{n}+1]$
- $V[\hat{n}+1]=E\left[2^{2 X_{n}}\right]-(n+1)^{2}$
- We can show $E\left[2^{2 X_{n}}\right]=\frac{3}{2} n^{2}+\frac{3}{2} n+1$
- This means $V[\hat{n}] \approx \frac{1}{2} n^{2}$ and $\mathrm{CV}=\frac{\sigma}{\mu} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

How to reduce the error ?

## Morris Algorithm: Reducing variance 1

$V[\hat{n}]=\sigma^{2} \approx \frac{1}{2} n^{2}$ and $\mathrm{CV}=\frac{\sigma}{\mu} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$
Dedicated Method: Base change IDEA: Instead of counting $\log _{2} n$, count $\log _{b} n$
$>$ Increment counter with probability $b^{-x}$ When $b$ is closer to 1 , we increase accuracy but also increase counter size.

## Morris Algorithm: Reducing variance 2

$V[\hat{n}]=\sigma^{2} \approx \frac{1}{2} n^{2}$ and $C V=\frac{\sigma}{\mu} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$
Generic Method:

- Use $\boldsymbol{k}$ independent counters $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{k}$
- Compute estimates

$$
Z_{i}=2^{y_{i}}-1
$$

- Average the estimates

$$
\widehat{n}^{\prime}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} Z_{i}}{k}
$$

## Reducing variance by averaging

$\boldsymbol{k}$ (pairwise) independent estimates $Z_{i}$ with expectation $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^{2}$.
The average estimator $\widehat{n}^{\prime}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} z_{i}}{k}$

- Expectation: $E\left[\widehat{n^{\prime}}\right]=\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} E\left[Z_{i}\right]=\frac{1}{k} k \mu=\mu$
- Variance: $\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} V\left[Z_{i}\right]=\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{2} k \sigma^{2}=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{k}$
- CV $: \frac{\sigma}{\mu}$ decreases by a factor of $\sqrt{\boldsymbol{k}}$


## Merging Morris Counters

- We have two Morris counters $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}$ for streams $X, Y$ of sizes $n_{x}, n_{y}$
- Would like to merge them: obtain a single counter $Z$ which has the same distribution (is a Morris counter) for a stream of size $n_{x}+n_{y}$



## Merging Morris Counters

- Morris-count stream $X$ to get $\boldsymbol{x}$
- Morris-count stream $Y$ to get $\boldsymbol{y}$

Merge the Morris counts $x, y$ (into $x$ ):

- For $i=1 \ldots y$
- Increment $x$ with probability $\mathbf{2}^{-x+i-1}$

Correctness for $x=0$ : at all steps we have we $x=i-1$ and probability=1. In the end we have $x=y$

Correctness (Idea): We will show that the final value of $x$ "corresponds" to counting $Y$ after X

## Merging Morris Counters: Correctness

We want to achieve the same effect as if the Morris counting was applied to a concatenation of the streams $X Y$

- We consider two scenarios :

1. Morris counting applied to $Y$
2. Morris counting applied to $Y$ after $X$

We want to simulate the result of (2) given $y$ (result of (1)) and $x$

## Merging Morris Counters: Correctness

 Restated Morris (for sake of analysis only)Associate an (independent) random $\mathrm{u}(z) \sim U[0,1]$ with each element $z$ of the stream

- Process element $z$ : Increment $x$ if $u(z)<2^{-x}$
- We "map" executions of (1) and (2) by looking at the same randomization $u$.
- We will see that each execution of (1), in terms of the set of elements that increment the counter, maps to many executions of (2)


## Merging algorithm: Correctness Plan

- We fix the whole run (and randomization) on $X$.
- We fix the set of elements that result in counter increments on $Y$ in (1)
- We work with the distribution of u: $Y$ conditioned on the above.
- We show that the corresponding distribution over executions of (2) (set of elements that increment the counter) emulates our merging algorithm.


## What is the conditional distribution?

- Elements that did not increment counter when counter value was $x$ have $u(z) \geq 2^{-x}$
- Elements that did increment counter have $u(z) \leq 2^{-x}$

| $u$ | $[0,1]\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right][0$, | $\left.\frac{1}{2}\right]\left[\frac{1}{4}, 1\right]\left[\frac{1}{4}, 1\right]\left[\frac{1}{4}, 1\right][0$, | $\left.\frac{1}{4}\right]\left[\frac{1}{8}, 1\right]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stream: | 1, | 1, | 1, | 1, | 1, | 1, | 1, | 1, |  |
| $p=2^{-x}:$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{8}$ | $\frac{1}{8}$ |

Merge the Morris counts $x, y$ (into $x$ ):

- For $i=1$... $y$
- Increment $x$ with probability $\mathbf{2}^{-x+i-1}$

To show correctness of merge, suffices to show:

- Elements of $Y$ that did not increment in (1) do not increment in (any corresponding run of) (2)
- Element $z$ that had the $i^{\text {th }}$ increment in (1), conditioned on $x$ in the simulation so far, increments in (2) with probability $2^{-x+i-1}$
We show this inductively.
Also show that at any point $x \geq y^{\prime}$, where $y^{\prime}$ is the count in (1).

Merge the Morris counts $x, y$ (into $x$ ):

- For $i=1$... $y$
- Increment $x$ with probability $\mathbf{2}^{-x+i-1}$

The first element of $Y$ incremented the counter in (1). It has $u(z) \in[0,1]$.

- The probability that it gets counted in (2) is

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left[u(z) \leq 2^{-x} \mid u(z) \in[0,1]\right]=2^{-x}
$$

- Initially, $x \geq \mathrm{y}^{\prime}=0$. After processing, $\boldsymbol{y}^{\prime}=\mathbf{1}$. If $x$ was initially 0 , it is incremented with probability 1 , so we maintain $x \geq y^{\prime}$.

Merge the Morris counts $x, y$ (into $x$ ):

- For $i=1$... $y$
- Increment $x$ with probability $\mathbf{2}^{-x+i-1}$
- Elements of $Y$ that did not increment in (1) do not increment in (any corresponding run of) (2)

Proof: An element $z$ of $Y$ that did not increment the counter when its value in (1) was $y^{\prime}$, has $u(z) \in$ $\left[2^{-y \prime}, 1\right]$.
Since we have $x \geq y^{\prime}$, this element will also not increment in (2), since $u(z) \geq 2^{-y^{\prime}} \geq 2^{-x}$.
The counter in neither (1) nor (2) changes after processing $z$, so we maintain the relation $x \geq y^{\prime}$.

Merge the Morris counts $x, y$ (into $x$ ):

- For $i=1$... $y$
- Increment $x$ with probability $\mathbf{2}^{-x+i-1}$
- Element $z$ that had the $i^{\text {th }}$ increment in (1), conditioned on $x$ in the simulation so far, increments in (2) with probability $\mathbf{2}^{-x+\boldsymbol{i - 1}}$
Proof: Element $z$ has $u(z) \in\left[0,2^{-(i-1)}\right]$ (we had $\mathrm{y}^{\prime}=i-1$ before the increment).
Element $z$ increments in $(2) \Leftrightarrow u(z) \in\left[0,2^{-x}\right]$.

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left[u(z) \in\left[0,2^{-x}\right] \mid u(z) \in\left[0,2^{-(i-1)}\right]\right]=2^{-x+i-1}
$$

- If we had equality $x=y^{\prime}=i-1, x$ is incremented with probability 1 , so we maintain the relation $x \geq y^{\prime}$


## Random Hash Functions

Simplified and Idealized
For a domain $D$ and a probability distribution $F$ over $\boldsymbol{R}$
A distribution over a family $\boldsymbol{H}$ of hash functions $h: \boldsymbol{D} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$ with the following properties:

- Each function $h \in H$ has a concise representation and it is easy to choose $h \sim H$
- For each $x \in D$, when choosing $h \sim H$
- $h(x) \sim \boldsymbol{F}(h(x)$ is a random variable with distribution $\boldsymbol{F})$
- The random variables $h(x)$ are independent for different $x \in D$.

We use random hash functions as a way to attach a "permanent" random value to each identifier in an execution

## Counting Distinct Elements

$$
32,12,14,32,7,12,32,7,6,12,
$$

Elements occur multiple times, we want to count the number of distinct elements.

- Number of distinct element is $\boldsymbol{n}$ (= 6 in example)
- Number of elements in this example is 11


## Counting Distinct Elements: Example Applications

$32,12,14,32,7,12,32,7,6,12,4$,

- Networking:
- Packet or request streams: Count the number of distinct source IP addresses
- Packet streams: Count the number of distinct IP flows (source+destination IP, port, protocol)
- Search: Find how many distinct search queries were issued to a search engine each day


## Distinct Elements: Exact Solution



Exact solution:

- Maintain an array/associative array/ hash table
- Hash/place each element to the table
- Query: count number of entries in the table

Problem: For $n$ distinct elements, size of table is $\Omega(n)$ But this is the best we can do (Information theoretically) if we want an exact distinct count.

## Distinct Elements: Approximate Counting

$$
32,12,14,32,7,12,32,7,6,12,4,
$$

IDEA: Size-estimation/Min-Hash technique :
[Flajolet-Martin 85, C 94]

- Use a random hash function $h(x) \sim U[0,1]$ mapping element IDs to uniform random numbers in $[0,1]$
- Track the minimum $h(x)$

Intuition: The minimum and $n$ are very related :

- With $n$ distinct elements, expectation of the minimum $\mathrm{E}[\min h(\mathrm{x})]=\frac{1}{n+1}$
- Can use the average estimator with $k$ repetitions
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