

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

APPLE INC., a California corporation,
Plaintiff,

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a
Korean corporation; SAMSUNG
ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New
York corporation; and SAMSUNG
TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA,
LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,
Defendants.

Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK
**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
SAMSUNG’S RENEWED MOTION
TO SEAL**

1 Samsung filed a renewed administrative motion to seal certain documents addressed in the
2 Court's March 17 Order (ECF No. 2278). Apple timely filed a declaration and Memorandum of
3 Points and Authorities in support of sealing-in-part documents that contain Apple's confidential
4 information. The Court finds that Apple's sealing requests are narrowly tailored and justified.
5 Therefore, the following documents may be sealed-in-part consistent with Apple's proposed
6 redactions covering its confidential information as reflected in the Wheeler Declaration
7 supporting Samsung's motion:

- 8 • Exhibit O to the Martin Declaration in Support of Samsung's Opposition to
9 Apple's Motion to Exclude Testimony of Samsung's Experts (originally filed
10 under ECF No. 999).
- 11 • Exhibit J to the Ward Declaration in Support of Samsung's Opposition to Apple's
12 Motion to Strike (originally filed under ECF No. 1000).
- 13 • Exhibit EE to the Ward Declaration in Support of Samsung's Opposition to
14 Apple's Motion to Strike (originally filed under ECF No. 1000).
- 15 • Exhibit FF to the Ward Declaration in Support of Samsung's Opposition to
16 Apple's Motion to Strike (originally filed under ECF No. 1000).

17
18 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

19 Dated: _____

20 Hon. Lucy H. Koh
21 United States District Judge
22
23
24
25
26
27
28