1	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151)			
2	charles R. Vernoeven (Bar No. 170131) charles verhoeven @quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22 nd Floor			
3	San Francisco, California 94111			
4	Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700			
5	Kevin P.B. Johnson (Bar No. 177129 kevinjohnson@quinnemanuel.com			
6	Victoria F. Maroulis (Bar No. 202603)			
7	victoriamaroulis@quinnemanuel.com 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5 th Floor			
8				
9	Facsimile: (650) 801-5100			
10	Michael T. Zeller (Bar No. 196417)			
11	Los Angeles, California 90017			
12				
13	Facsimile: (213) 443-3100			
14	Attorneys for SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS			
15	TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION			
16				
17				
18				
19	APPLE INC., a California corporation,	CASE NO. 11-cv-01846-LHK (PSG)		
20	Plaintiff,	STATEMENT OF RECENT DECISION		
21	vs.	REGARDING FINAL OFFICE ACTION BY THE USPTO REJECTING U.S. PATENT NO. 7,469,381 (L.R. 7-3(d))		
22	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a Korean business entity; SAMSUNG			
23	ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York corporation; SAMSUNG			
24	TELECOMMUNICATIONS			
25	AMERICA, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,			
26	Defendant.			
27		1		
28				

02198.51855/5245119.1

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2291 Filed04/01/13 Page2 of 3

1	Pursuant to Local Rule 7-3(d), Defendants Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung		
2	Electronics America, Inc., and Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC (collectively		
3	"Samsung") bring to the Court's attention a Final Office Action by the United States Patent and		
4	Trademark Office ("USPTO") in the <i>ex parte</i> reexamination of U.S. Patent Number 7,469,381 (the		
5	"381 patent") dated October 15, 2012.		
6	This Final Office Action by the USPTO is relevant because it finally rejects multiple		
7	claims of the '381 patent as being anticipated under 35 U.S.C. §102 by PCT Publication No. WC		
8	03/081458 to Lira. This final rejection includes claim 19, which is the only claim of the '381		
9	patent at issue in this action. The jury found at trial that 21 accused Samsung products infringed		
10	claim 19 of the '381 patent—specifically, the Captivate, Continuum, Droid Charge, Epic 4G.		
11	Exhibit 4G, Fascinate, Galaxy Ace, Galaxy Prevail, Galaxy S, Galaxy S 4G, Galaxy S II (AT&T)		
12	Galaxy S II (i9100), Galaxy Tab, Galaxy Tab 10.1 (WiFi), Gem, Indulge, Infuse 4G, Mesmerize,		
13	Nexus S 4G, Replenish, and Vibrant. The jury awarded damages as to 18 of these products.		
14	Some, but not all, of these damages awards have been vacated for new trial.		
15	To the best of Samsung's knowledge, this Final Office Action was published on the		
16	USPTO's Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) website on or after March 29, 2013. A		
17	copy of the Final Office Action is attached hereto as Exhibit A.		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

02198.51855/5245119.1

1	DATED: April 1, 2013	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
2		SULLIVAN, LLP
3		
4		By /s/ Victoria F. Maroulis
5		Charles K. Verhoeven Kevin P.B. Johnson
6		Victoria F. Maroulis Michael T. Zeller
7		
8		Attorneys for SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., and SAMSUNG
9		TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
02198.51855/5245119.1		-2- Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK (PSG) STATEMENT OF RECENT DECISION (L.R. 7-3(d))