

1 HAROLD J. MCELHINNY (CA SBN 66781)  
hmcclhinny@mofo.com  
2 MICHAEL A. JACOBS (CA SBN 111664)  
mjacobs@mofo.com  
3 RACHEL KREVANS (CA SBN 116421)  
rkrevans@mofo.com  
4 ERIK OLSON (CA SBN 175815)  
jtaylor@mofo.com  
5 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
425 Market Street  
6 San Francisco, California 94105-2482  
Telephone: (415) 268-7000  
7 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522

8  
9 Attorneys for Plaintiff and  
Counterclaim-Defendant APPLE INC.

WILLIAM F. LEE  
william.lee@wilmerhale.com  
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING  
HALE AND DORR LLP  
60 State Street  
Boston, MA 02109  
Telephone: (617) 526-6000  
Facsimile: (617) 526-5000

MARK D. SELWYN (SBN 244180)  
mark.selwyn@wilmerhale.com  
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING  
HALE AND DORR LLP  
950 Page Mill Road  
Palo Alto, California 94304  
Telephone: (650) 858-6000  
Facsimile: (650) 858-6100

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
13 SAN JOSE DIVISION

14  
15 APPLE INC., a California corporation,

16 Plaintiff,

17 v.

18 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a  
Korean corporation; SAMSUNG  
19 ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New  
York corporation; and SAMSUNG  
20 TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA,  
LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,

21 Defendants.  
22

Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK (PSG)

**APPLE'S UNOPPOSED MOTION  
FOR LEAVE TO FILE ONE-PAGE  
REPLY SUPPORTING ITS  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION  
SEEKING AN APRIL 3 CASE  
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE**

1 **UNOPPOSED ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE REPLY BRIEF**

2 Apple applies, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-11, for leave to file a one-page reply brief in  
3 support of its Administrative Motion Seeking an April 3 Case Management Conference.

4 Samsung does not oppose this request. (*See* Jacobs Decl., ¶ 2.)

5 Apple's proposed reply brief focuses on the purpose of Apple's Motion—which requests  
6 that the Court hold a case management conference on April 3, with joint statements to be filed on  
7 March 27, 2013. The reply shows that Samsung's lengthy Opposition does not engage Apple's  
8 straightforward request, and instead confirms the need for a case management conference by  
9 arguing Samsung's positions about the scope and procedures for the new trial required by the  
10 Court's March 1 Order re: Damages. The reply further shows that April 3 is not too soon for a  
11 conference, as the Opposition makes clear that Samsung has developed its views about whether  
12 and how the case should proceed, and Samsung will have filed its reply on its motion for partial  
13 judgment by March 29.

14 The proposed reply does not respond to the substance of Samsung's arguments, which  
15 Apple will address in the meet and confer process and the parties' joint statements in connection  
16 with the case management conference.

17 The proposed reply is attached as Exhibit A.

18  
19 Dated: March 24, 2013

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

20  
21 By: /s/ Michael A. Jacobs  
Michael A. Jacobs

22 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
23 APPLE INC.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28