

EXHIBIT 1

From: Hung, Richard S. J. [RHung@mofo.com]
Sent: Friday, November 16, 2012 11:42 AM
To: Robert Becher
Cc: Samsung v. Apple; AvSSNDCPostTrial; 'WHAppleSamsungNDCalService@wilmerhale.com'
Subject: Re: Motion for Injunction

Hi Rob -

A couple of clarifications, after reviewing the agreement:

- (1) The notice period is ten business days; and
- (2) We will produce after that time absent objection from HTC.

We cannot make the stipulation as stated, as HTC may object within the notice period. If you have an alternative formulation, please let us know.

Rich

From: Robert Becher [mailto:robertbecher@quinnemanuel.com]
Sent: Friday, November 16, 2012 10:19 AM
To: Hung, Richard S. J.
Cc: Samsung v. Apple <Samsungv.Apple@quinnemanuel.com>; AvSSNDCPostTrial; 'WHAppleSamsungNDCalService@wilmerhale.com' <WHAppleSamsungNDCalService@wilmerhale.com>
Subject: RE: Motion for Injunction

Thanks for the update Rich. Would Apple be willing to file a stipulation stating that it will produce the license/settlement agreement in no later than 10 days? Please let me know right away.

From: Hung, Richard S. J. [mailto:RHung@mofo.com]
Sent: Friday, November 16, 2012 9:55 AM
To: Robert Becher
Cc: Samsung v. Apple; AvSSNDCPostTrial; 'WHAppleSamsungNDCalService@wilmerhale.com'
Subject: RE: Motion for Injunction

Also, in case this is helpful:

We intend to produce the HTC agreement. The agreement requires that we give HTC 10 days' notice before producing it. We have asked HTC if we can expedite the production, but have not yet received a response.

Richard S.J. Hung
Morrison & Foerster LLP
rhung@mofo.com
(415) 268-7602

From: Hung, Richard S. J.
Sent: Friday, November 16, 2012 9:53 AM
To: Robert Becher
Cc: Samsung v. Apple; AvSSNDCPostTrial; 'WHAppleSamsungNDCalService@wilmerhale.com'
Subject: RE: Motion for Injunction

Rob – We would be fine with a shorter stipulation that deletes the following text:

WHEREAS, Apple filed declarations of Dr. Karan Singh, Dr. John Hauser, and Marylee Robinson in connection with its reply briefs in support of Apple's Motion for a Permanent Injunction and Damages Enhancement and Apple's Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law, New Trial and Amended Judgment (Dkt. Nos. 2127-03, 2129, 2130);

WHEREAS, Apple has recently announced an agreement with HTC to license certain patents;

WHEREAS, Samsung has informed Apple that it intends to file motions on November 16, 2012 by noon seeking an order compelling the depositions of Dr. Singh, Dr. Hauser, and Ms. Robinson by November 27, 2012, each to last not longer than three hours, compelling production of Apple's recent license agreement with HTC by November 27, 2012, and seeking leave to submit a supplemental memorandum of not more than 5 pages addressing any discovery provided in response to its motions by no later than November 30, 2012.

WHEREAS, the parties have agreed to a shortened schedule to brief Samsung's motions;
NOW THEREFORE,

Richard S.J. Hung
Morrison & Foerster LLP
rhung@mofo.com
(415) 268-7602

From: Robert Becher [<mailto:robertbecher@quinnemanuel.com>]
Sent: Friday, November 16, 2012 9:02 AM
To: Hung, Richard S. J.
Cc: Samsung v. Apple; AvSSNDCPostTrial; 'WHAppleSamsungNDCalService@wilmerhale.com'
Subject: RE: Motion for Injunction

Rich—

Thank you. Attached is a stipulation for your review. As you will see, we intend to file two motions--one to compel the HTC settlement and one to compel the depositions. Given the noon deadline for filing our briefs, please get back to me by 10 a.m. with any comments on the stipulation.

Regards,

Rob

From: Hung, Richard S. J. [<mailto:RHung@mofo.com>]
Sent: Thursday, November 15, 2012 5:52 PM
To: Robert Becher
Cc: Samsung v. Apple; AvSSNDCPostTrial; 'WHAppleSamsungNDCalService@wilmerhale.com'
Subject: RE: Motion for Injunction

Rob –

This is fine.

Rich

Richard S.J. Hung
Morrison & Foerster LLP
rhung@mofo.com
(415) 268-7602

From: Robert Becher [<mailto:robertbecher@quinnemanuel.com>]
Sent: Thursday, November 15, 2012 12:14 PM
To: Hung, Richard S. J.
Cc: Samsung v. Apple; AvSSNDCPostTrial; 'WHAppleSamsungNDCalService@wilmerhale.com'
Subject: RE: Motion for Injunction

Rich—

We have decided to postpone the filing of this motion to allow some more time to try to resolve the issue of the HTC license. We propose to file our motion tomorrow by noon and propose that Apple will have an additional day to file its opposition. As a result, Apple's opposition would be due by November 20, 2012. Please let me know if Apple agrees to this schedule. Please also let me know when Apple expects to hear back from HTC.

Regards,
Rob

From: Hung, Richard S. J. [<mailto:RHung@mofo.com>]
Sent: Thursday, November 15, 2012 11:08 AM
To: Robert Becher
Cc: Samsung v. Apple; AvSSNDCPostTrial; 'WHAppleSamsungNDCalService@wilmerhale.com'
Subject: RE: Motion for Injunction

Hi Rob,

I do not anticipate that we will have an answer before your noon filing.

Rich

Richard S.J. Hung
Morrison & Foerster LLP
rhung@mofo.com
(415) 268-7602

From: Robert Becher [<mailto:robertbecher@quinnemanuel.com>]
Sent: Thursday, November 15, 2012 9:17 AM
To: Hung, Richard S. J.
Cc: Samsung v. Apple; AvSSNDCPostTrial; 'WHAppleSamsungNDCalService@wilmerhale.com'
Subject: RE: Motion for Injunction

Rich—

When do you expect to have a response on the request for the HTC license? Please let me know.

Thanks, Rob

From: Hung, Richard S. J. [<mailto:RHung@mofo.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, November 14, 2012 2:30 PM

To: Robert Becher
Cc: Samsung v. Apple; AvSSNDCPostTrial; 'WHAppleSamsungNDCalService@wilmerhale.com'
Subject: RE: Motion for Injunction

Hi Rob,

The timeline you propose below (11/15 at noon for opening; 11/19 for opposition; no reply and submitted on papers) is fine.

We will be producing the backup material for paragraph 29.

Rich

Richard S.J. Hung
Morrison & Foerster LLP
rhung@mofo.com
(415) 268-7602

From: Robert Becher [<mailto:robertbecher@quinnemanuel.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, November 14, 2012 2:04 PM
To: Hung, Richard S. J.
Cc: Samsung v. Apple; AvSSNDCPostTrial; 'WHAppleSamsungNDCalService@wilmerhale.com'
Subject: RE: Motion for Injunction

Rich,

We would be willing to agree to accommodate Apple's request to file its opposition on November 19 and to forego a reply brief provided that Apple agrees that Samsung can file its moving papers by noon tomorrow--November 15, 2012. Please get back to me by 3 p.m. regarding this proposal.

Also, please confirm that Apple is not willing to produce the backup material for paragraph 29 of Dr. Hauser's reply declaration. And please let us know as soon as you hear back from HTC.

Regards, Rob

From: Hung, Richard S. J. [<mailto:RHung@mofo.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, November 14, 2012 12:44 PM
To: Robert Becher
Cc: Samsung v. Apple; AvSSNDCPostTrial; 'WHAppleSamsungNDCalService@wilmerhale.com'
Subject: RE: Motion for Injunction

Rob –

Thanks for your patience. To respond with Apple's position:

Samsung's requests for further discovery and briefing are improper. The record for Apple's permanent injunction motion is closed. Moreover, the Court previously set the briefing limits and denied Apple's request (to which Samsung objected) to enlarge them. Samsung thus is not entitled to a sur-reply.

As a courtesy, Apple will provide the backup materials to Exhibit E to Dr. Hauser's reply declaration. Apple continues to consider Samsung's request for the HTC agreement, but notes that it will need to seek HTC's consent to produce the agreement. As for additional deposition time, Apple does not agree to this request.

In terms of a briefing schedule, please confirm that Samsung will not file a reply. We agree to the schedule, except that we propose Monday, November 19 for our opposition instead.

Rich

Richard S.J. Hung
Morrison & Foerster LLP
rhung@mofo.com
(415) 268-7602

From: Robert Becher [<mailto:robertbecher@quinnemanuel.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, November 14, 2012 8:37 AM
To: Robert Becher; Hung, Richard S. J.
Cc: Samsung v. Apple; AvSSNDCPostTrial; 'WHAppleSamsungNDCalService@wilmerhale.com'
Subject: RE: Motion for Injunction

Rich,

Given the need to have the issues raised in my email resolved far in advance of the upcoming December 6 hearing, we will propose the following briefing schedule to the Court so a motion to compel can be heard on shortened time:

Samsung's opening brief: November 14
Apple's opposition brief: November 16
No hearing/submission on the papers

Please confirm whether Apple agrees to this schedule. And, of course, please let me know if Apple is willing to agree to any of Samsung's requests.

Regards,
Rob

From: Robert Becher
Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2012 4:19 PM
To: 'Hung, Richard S. J.'
Cc: Samsung v. Apple; 'AvSSNDCPostTrial'; 'WHAppleSamsungNDCalService@wilmerhale.com'
Subject: RE: Motion for Injunction

Rich—

I am checking in to see if you have a response.

Thanks, Rob

From: Hung, Richard S. J. [<mailto:RHung@mofo.com>]
Sent: Monday, November 12, 2012 5:27 PM
To: Robert Becher
Cc: Samsung v. Apple; AvSSNDCPostTrial; 'WHAppleSamsungNDCalService@wilmerhale.com'
Subject: RE: Motion for Injunction

Rob –

We are considering Samsung's requests, but will not be able to respond today on such short notice.

Rich

Richard S.J. Hung
Morrison & Foerster LLP
rhung@mofo.com
(415) 268-7602

From: Robert Becher [<mailto:robertbecher@quinnemanuel.com>]
Sent: Monday, November 12, 2012 2:32 PM
To: Hung, Richard S. J.
Cc: Samsung v. Apple; AvSSNDCPostTrial; 'WHAppleSamsungNDCalService@wilmerhale.com'
Subject: Motion for Injunction

Dear Rich:

Apple's Reply in Support of its Motion for Permanent Injunction and for Damages Enhancements relies on declarations from three of its experts that raise new issues Samsung has not had the opportunity to test. Dr. Singh's declaration raises an entirely new theory of infringement of the '915 patent to support its claim that Samsung's design-around continues to infringe. Dr. Hauser, whose declaration Apple chose not to submit with its moving papers, has now submitted what amounts to a 19-page supplemental report addressing his conjoint study. And Marylee Robinson has supplemented her prior declaration with numerous additional opinions and entirely new calculations that Samsung would have questioned her on during her recent deposition had they been disclosed in a timely manner.

Accordingly, consistent with the rationale behind the Court's recent ruling granting Apple's request for post-trial depositions, Samsung requests an opportunity to depose each of these witnesses, limited to 3 hours, and to submit a supplemental opposition not to exceed 5 pages addressing their supplemental testimony and its impact on the issues raised in the parties' briefs.

In addition, Samsung requests the backup material for Exhibit E to Dr. Hauser's reply declaration and paragraph 29 of Dr. Hauser's reply declaration. Because Exhibit E and paragraph 29 reflect new work performed by Dr. Hauser, Samsung is entitled to receive the supporting materials.

Finally, we request that Apple produce its recent license with HTC, which likely covers at least the '381 and '915 patents at issue in this case based on the fact that these two patents were at issue between Apple and HTC. As you know, the issue of Apple's willingness to license its patents was briefed in Samsung's Opposition to Apple's Motion for Permanent Injunction. This license has direct bearing on the question of irreparable harm and whether monetary remedies are adequate. The HTC license bears directly on Apple's assertion in its reply brief that "Apple considers these patents 'unique to its user experience' and unavailable for licensing, particularly to competitors." Reply at 7:21-22.

Please let me know by close of business today whether Apple will consent to these requests, as Samsung will otherwise bring a motion to compel.

Regards,
Rob

To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, Morrison & Foerster LLP informs you that, if any advice concerning one or more U.S. Federal tax issues is contained in this communication (including any attachments), such advice is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

For information about this legend, go to <http://www.mofo.com/Circular230/>

=====

This message contains information which may be confidential and privileged. Unless you are the addressee (or authorized to receive for the addressee), you may not use, copy or disclose to anyone the message or any information contained in the message. If you have received the message in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail @mofo.com, and delete the message.

To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, Morrison & Foerster LLP informs you that, if any advice concerning one or more U.S. Federal tax issues is contained in this communication (including any attachments), such advice is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

For information about this legend, go to <http://www.mofo.com/Circular230/>

=====

This message contains information which may be confidential and privileged. Unless you are the addressee (or authorized to receive for the addressee), you may not use, copy or disclose to anyone the message or any information contained in the message. If you have received the message in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail @mofo.com, and delete the message.

To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, Morrison & Foerster LLP informs you that, if any advice concerning one or more U.S. Federal tax issues is contained in this communication (including any attachments), such advice is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

For information about this legend, go to <http://www.mofo.com/Circular230/>

=====

This message contains information which may be confidential and privileged. Unless you are the addressee (or authorized to receive for the addressee), you may not use, copy or disclose to anyone the message or any information contained in the message. If you have received the message in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail @mofo.com, and delete the message.

To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, Morrison & Foerster LLP informs you that, if any advice concerning one or more U.S. Federal tax issues is contained in this communication (including any attachments), such advice is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

For information about this legend, go to
<http://www.mofo.com/Circular230/>

=====
This message contains information which may be confidential and privileged. Unless you are the addressee (or authorized to receive for the addressee), you may not use, copy or disclose to anyone the message or any information contained in the message. If you have received the message in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail @mofo.com, and delete the message.

To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, Morrison & Foerster LLP informs you that, if any advice concerning one or more U.S. Federal tax issues is contained in this communication (including any attachments), such advice is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

For information about this legend, go to
<http://www.mofo.com/Circular230/>

=====
This message contains information which may be confidential and privileged. Unless you are the addressee (or authorized to receive for the addressee), you may not use, copy or disclose to anyone the message or any information contained in the message. If you have received the message in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail @mofo.com, and delete the message.

To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, Morrison & Foerster LLP informs you that, if any advice concerning one or more U.S. Federal tax issues is contained in this communication (including any attachments), such advice is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

For information about this legend, go to
<http://www.mofo.com/Circular230/>

=====
This message contains information which may be confidential and privileged. Unless you are the addressee (or authorized to receive for the addressee), you may not use, copy or disclose to anyone the message or any information contained in the message. If you have received the message in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail @mofo.com, and delete the message.

To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, Morrison & Foerster LLP informs you that, if any advice concerning one or more U.S. Federal tax issues is contained in this communication (including any attachments), such advice is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

For information about this legend, go to
<http://www.mofo.com/Circular230/>

=====
This message contains information which may be confidential and privileged. Unless you are the addressee (or authorized to receive for the addressee), you may not use, copy or disclose to anyone the message or any information contained in the message. If you have received the message in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail @mofo.com, and delete the message.
