Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page1 of 44

Estrich Declaration

Exhibit 9

Г	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page2 of 44 ²⁶⁵¹
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	SAN JOSE DIVISION
4	
5	
6	APPLE INC., A CALIFORNIA) C-11-01846 LHK CORPORATION,)
7) SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA PLAINTIFF,)
8) AUGUST 15, 2012 VS.)
9) VOLUME 9 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO.,)
10	LTD., A KOREAN BUSINESS) PAGES 2651-2965 ENTITY; SAMSUNG)
11	ELECTRONICS AMERICA,) INC., A NEW YORK)
12	CORPORATION; SAMSUNG) TELECOMMUNICATIONS)
13	AMERICA, LLC, A DELAWARE) LIMITED LIABILITY)
14	COMPANY,)
15	DEFENDANTS.)
16	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
17	BEFORE THE HONORABLE LUCY H. KOH UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
18	
19	
20	APPEARANCES ON NEXT PAGE
21	
22	
23	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER: LEE-ANNE SHORTRIDGE, CSR, CRR
24	CERTIFICATE NUMBER 9595 IRENE RODRIGUEZ, CSR, CRR
25	CERTIFICATE NUMBER 8074

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Docu	Iment2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page3 of 44 2652
1	APPEARANCE	S:
2	FOR PLAINTIFF APPLE:	MORRISON & FOERSTER BY: HAROLD J. MCELHINNY
3		MICHAEL A. JACOBS RACHEL KREVANS
4		425 MARKET STREET
5		SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105
6		WILMER, CUTLER, PICKERING, HALE AND DORR
7		BY: WILLIAM F. LEE
8		60 STATE STREET BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02109
9		BY: MARK D. SELWYN 950 PAGE MILL ROAD
10		PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA 94304
11		QUINN, EMANUEL, URQUHART, OLIVER & HEDGES
12		BY: CHARLES K. VERHOEVEN
13		ALBERT P. BEDECARRE 50 CALIFORNIA STREET, 22ND FLOOR
14		SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111
15		BY: VICTORIA F. MAROULIS KEVIN P.B. JOHNSON 555 TWIN DOLPHIN DRIVE
16		SUITE 560
17		REDWOOD SHORES, CALIFORNIA 94065
18		BY: MICHAEL T. ZELLER WILLIAM C. PRICE
19		JOHN B. QUINN 865 SOUTH FIGUEROA STREET 10TH FLOOR
20		LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017
21	FOR INTERVENOR REUTERS:	RAM, OLSON, CEREGHINO & KOPCZYNSKI
22		BY: KARL OLSON
23		555 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 820 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111
24		
25		JAMES YIM VICTORY ANN PARK ALBERT KIM

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12	Page	e4 of 44 2653
1			
2	INDEX OF WITNESSES		
3	DEFENDANT 'S		
4	MARKUS PALTIAN VIDEO DEPOSITION PLAYED	Ρ.	2670
5			2671
6	ANDRE ZORN		
7	VIDEO DEPOSITION PLAYED		2671 2672
8		Ρ.	2072
9	TIM ARTHUR WILLIAMS DIRECT EXAM BY MR. VERHOEVEN		
10	CROSS-EXAM BY MR. LEE	Ρ.	2739
11	JIN SOO KIM		
12	DIRECT EXAM BY MR. QUINN CROSS-EXAM BY MR. MCELHINNY		2787 2821
13	REDIRECT EXAM BY MR. QUINN		
14	RICHARD HOWARTH		
	DIRECT EXAM BY MR. PRICE		2838
15	CROSS-EXAM BY MR. MCELHINNY	Ρ.	2842
16	ANDRIES VAN DAM		
17	DIRECT EXAM BY MR. JOHNSON CROSS-EXAM BY MS. KREVANS		2845 2873
18	REDIRECT EXAM BY MR. JOHNSON RECROSS-EXAM BY MS. KREVANS		2883 2884
19		- •	2001
20	STEPHEN GRAY	P	2002
21			2893 2924
22			
23			
24			
25			

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page5 of 44 2859
1	THE COURT: WE CAN GO A LITTLE BIT
2	LONGER, BUT I DON'T WANT OUR COURT REPORTERS TO
3	HAVE TO GO TWO HOURS STRAIGHT. THEY'VE BEEN GOING
4	SINCE 1:03.
5	MR. JOHNSON: THIS IS A PERFECT PLACE.
6	THE COURT: IS THIS A GOOD PLACE. OKAY.
7	IT'S NOW 2:52. WE'LL TAKE A 15-MINUTE BREAK. SAME
8	ADMONITION, PLEASE KEEP AN OPEN MIND, DON'T DISCUSS
9	THE CASE WITH ANYONE. PLEASE DON'T READ ABOUT THE
10	CASE OR DO ANY RESEARCH. YOU CAN LEAVE YOUR
11	BINDERS ON YOUR CHAIRS, AND WE'LL SEE YOU BACK IN
12	15 MINUTES. THANK YOU.
13	(WHEREUPON, THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS
14	WERE HELD OUT OF THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)
15	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
16	(WHEREUPON, A RECESS WAS TAKEN.)
17	(WHEREUPON, THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS
18	WERE HELD OUT OF THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)
19	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WELCOME BACK,
20	PLEASE TAKE A SEAT.
21	IF YOU WOULD PLEASE BRING OUR JURY BACK.
22	(WHEREUPON, THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS
23	WERE HELD IN THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)
24	THE COURT: OKAY. PLEASE TAKE A SEAT.
25	WELCOME BACK.

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page6 of 44 2860
1	IT IS 3:07. GO AHEAD, PLEASE.
2	MR. JOHNSON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
3	YOUR HONOR, I'D LIKE TO START BY MOVING
4	IN SDX 3964.15, WHICH IS THE VIDEO WE JUST WATCHED.
5	MS. KREVANS: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
6	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 3964.015, NOT A,
7	JUST 015.
8	MR. JOHNSON: THE VIDEO.
9	THE COURT: WHAT WAS THE NUMBER FOR THAT?
10	MR. JOHNSON: IT SHOULD BE .015A.
11	THE COURT: IT IS A, OKAY.
12	MR. JOHNSON: YES.
13	THE COURT: THANK YOU. THAT'S ADMITTED.
14	(WHEREUPON, DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT NUMBER
15	3964.015A, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY MARKED
16	FOR IDENTIFICATION, WAS ADMITTED INTO
17	EVIDENCE.)
18	BY MR. JOHNSON:
19	Q PROFESSOR VAN DAM, CAN YOU SHOW US HOW
20	TABLECLOTH MEETS THE NEXT LIMITATION OF CLAIM 19?
21	A SURE. IT'S A VERY SHORT ELEMENT, AND IT SAYS
22	THAT YOU MUST HAVE INSTRUCTIONS FOR DISPLAYING A
23	FIRST PORTION OF AN ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT.
24	IT DOESN'T TELL YOU HOW YOU ARRIVE AT
25	THAT FIRST PORTION. SO LET'S ZOOM IN AGAIN AND NOW

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page7 of 44 2861
1	WE'LL SEE A BIT OF SCROLLING, AND THEN SOMEWHERE
2	WE'LL STOP AND SAY THAT'S OUR FIRST PORTION AND
3	ANNOTATE IT AS SUCH.
4	Q OKAY. WHAT ABOUT THE NEXT LIMITATION,
5	INSTRUCTIONS FOR TRANSLATING?
6	A SO HERE WE'VE TRANSLATED JUST A LITTLE BIT
7	MORE, SHOWING THAT THERE ARE INSTRUCTIONS FOR
8	TRANSLATING.
9	AND THAT TRANSLATION DIRECTION IS CALLED
10	THE FIRST DIRECTION, THE UPWARD DIRECTION IN THE
11	CASE OF TABLECLOTH AND IN THIS DEMONSTRATION.
12	NOW, WHEN YOU SCROLL, INEVITABLY YOU'RE
13	GOING TO DISPLAY A DIFFERENT PORTION OF THE
14	ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT. AND THAT IS CALLED THE SECOND
15	PORTION IN THE CLAIM LANGUAGE.
16	NOW, I WANT TO POINT OUT THAT THIS
17	DISCUSSION OF FIRST PORTION, SECOND PORTION, AND
18	YOU'LL SOON SEE A THIRD AND FOURTH IS THE PATENT'S
19	WAY OF TEXTUALLY DESCRIBING WHAT YOU'RE GOING TO
20	SEE VISUALLY. IT IS NOT, IN FACT, A SEPARATE
21	DESIGN FEATURE. IT'S A CONSEQUENCE OF THE
22	SCROLLING MOTION.
23	SO WHAT ELSE IS REQUIRED? WELL, BECAUSE
24	YOU'RE SCROLLING, YOU'RE GOING TO SEE A DIFFERENT
25	PORTION, AND, THEREFORE, THE SECOND PORTION WILL BE

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page8 of 44 2862
1	DIFFERENT FROM THE FIRST PORTION.
2	AND YOU CAN CLEARLY SEE THAT, FOR
3	EXAMPLE, IF YOU LOOK AT THE AMOUNT OF SKY IN THE
4	SECOND PORTION VERSUS THE AMOUNT IN THE FIRST
5	PORTION.
6	SO WE CAN CHECK OFF THAT ENTIRE ELEMENT.
7	Q OKAY. CAN YOU SHOW US HOW TABLECLOTH MEETS
8	THE NEXT LIMITATION OF THE CLAIM.
9	A SURE. SO IN THE CASE WE'RE GOING TO SCROLL,
10	AS WE'VE SEEN BEFORE, UNTIL WE REACH THE EDGE AND
11	THEN SCROLL A LITTLE BIT MORE TO OVER SCROLL, SO GO
12	BEYOND THE EDGE, AT WHICH POINT WE HAVE TO SEE
13	SOMETHING NOT PART OF THE ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT,
14	WHICH THE PATENT CALLS AREA BEYOND THE EDGE.
15	NEXT, AGAIN, INEVITABLY BECAUSE OF THE
16	SCROLLING ACTION, WE'LL SEE YET A DIFFERENT PORTION
17	OF THE ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT CALLED THE THIRD
18	PORTION, AND THE PATENT DESCRIBES THAT, AGAIN,
19	INEVITABLY, THAT THE PORTION HAS TO BE SMALLER THAN
20	THE FIRST PORTION, NOT JUST DIFFERENT, BUT SMALLER.
21	WHY? WELL, BECAUSE WE HAVE TO MAKE ROOM
22	FOR THE AREA BEYOND THE EDGE AND THUS THE RED
23	RECTANGLE IS SMALLER THAN THE ORANGE RECTANGLE.
24	THE IMAGE IS JUST SMALLER.
25	Q AND CAN YOU DESCRIBE FOR US HOW TABLECLOTH

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page9 of 44 2863
1	MEETS THE NEXT LIMITATION AS DESCRIBED IN CLAIM 34?
2	A SO THE NEXT ELEMENT IS GOING TO BE SHOWN HERE,
3	AND THIS IS SETTING US UP FOR THE SNAP-BACK. WHAT
4	IS THE SNAP-BACK? IT MEANS TRANSLATING IN ANOTHER
5	DIRECTION, A SECOND DIRECTION, HERE THE OPPOSITE
6	DIRECTION GOING DOWNWARD.
7	AND WHAT ARE YOU TRYING TO ACCOMPLISH?
8	WELL, YOU'RE TRYING TO GET RID OF THAT AREA BEYOND
9	THE EDGE, AND WHEN YOU DO, YOU SNAP BACK.
10	NOW, ADDITIONALLY, BECAUSE YOU'RE LOOKING
11	AT YET A DIFFERENT PORTION OF THE ELECTRONIC
12	DOCUMENT, YOU'RE GOING TO SEE A FOURTH PORTION AND
13	THE PATENT REQUIRES THAT IT BE DIFFERENT FROM WHERE
14	YOU STARTED THE ANALYSIS.
15	AND JUST TO REMIND YOU, WE HAVE
16	WHOOPS A COMBINATION OF TWO IMAGES IN THE FIRST
17	PORTION OVER HERE AND A SINGLE IMAGE ON THE RIGHT
18	AS OUR FOURTH PORTION.
19	AND THAT COMPLETES THE LAST ELEMENT, AND
20	SO WE'VE SHOWN THAT ALL OF THE ELEMENTS OF CLAIM 19
21	ARE FOUND IN TABLECLOTH.
22	MR. JOHNSON: YOUR HONOR, WE ASK THAT SDX
23	3964.026 THROUGH 38, THAT THOSE SLIDES THAT
24	PROFESSOR VAN DAM JUST REFERRED TO BE ADMITTED.
25	MS. KREVANS: NO OBJECTION.

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page10 of 44 ²⁸⁶⁴
1	THE COURT: I'M SORRY. GIVE ME THAT
2	BATES RANGE AGAIN.
3	MR. JOHNSON: IT'S 3964.026 THROUGH .038.
4	THE COURT: OKAY. AND NO ONE WILL BE
5	ABLE TO GET ANY DEMONSTRATIVES ADMITTED IN THEIR
6	REBUTTAL CASES BECAUSE THIS IS FAR EXCEEDING ALL
7	THE EXHIBIT LIMITS. OKAY?
8	(WHEREUPON, DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT NUMBERS
9	3964.026038, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY
10	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION, WERE ADMITTED
11	INTO EVIDENCE.)
12	BY MR. JOHNSON:
13	Q PROFESSOR VAN DAM, WHEN IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING
14	OF WHEN TABLECLOTH WAS CREATED?
15	A IT WAS CREATED, AS DR. FORLINES TESTIFIED, BY
16	HIS COLLEAGUE AT MITSUBISHI ELECTRIC RESEARCH LAB
17	DURING THE HOLIDAY WINTER VACATION, AND IT WAS THUS
18	AVAILABLE EARLY IN JANUARY, MID-JANUARY IT WAS
19	SHOWN TO THE PUBLIC AT THE NEW SCHOOL FOR SOCIAL
20	RESEARCH, AND I UNDERSTAND FROM HIS TESTIMONY THAT
21	ONE OF THE ATTENDEES WAS SENATOR KERREY.
22	Q WHAT YEAR WAS THAT?
23	A THAT WAS 2005.
24	Q OKAY. LET'S TURN OUR ATTENTION TO LAUNCHTILE.
25	NOW, CAN YOU TAKE US THROUGH A DISCUSSION

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page11 of 44 ²⁸⁶⁵
1	OF HOW LAUNCHTILE MEETS EACH AND EVERY LIMITATION
2	OF CLAIM 19?
3	A I WILL. HERE WE SEE THE DEVICE THAT
4	DR. BEDERSON DEMONSTRATED ALREADY. IT'S AN ARRAY
5	OF 36 TILES, EACH OF WHICH IS MEANT, IN THIS
б	PROTOTYPE, EVENTUAL TO LAUNCH AN APPLICATION.
7	AND, AGAIN, THE FIRST THING WE HAVE TO DO
8	IS IDENTIFY WHAT OUR ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT IS GOING
9	TO BE.
10	BY THE COURT'S CONSTRUCTION, IT CAN BE
11	ANYTHING THAT HAS A DIGITAL REPRESENTATION. I
12	COULD PICK A SINGLE TILE. I COULD PICK TWO, FOUR,
13	EIGHT OR THE ENTIRE SET OF TILES.
14	FOR THE PURPOSES OF MY INVALIDITY
15	ANALYSIS, I PICKED TWO ADJACENT ZONES. I'LL ZOOM
16	IN TO MAKE THOSE SHOW UP.
17	AND THE TWO ZONES I'LL PICK ARE THE
18	LEFT-MOST ONES.
19	SO THERE IT IS. IT'S KIND OF SMALL, SO
20	LET ME GO IN A LITTLE BIT. AND TO ILLUSTRATE WHAT
21	I MEAN BY THE ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT, I'M GOING TO
22	SHOW YOU A SCHEMATIC OF THOSE TWO ZONES OF FOUR
23	TILES EACH, TOGETHER, AS YOU CAN THINK OF THEM AS
24	TWO PHOTOGRAPHS OR EIGHT PHOTOGRAPHS. IT'S OUR
25	ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT, AND THAT'S HOW WE'RE GOING TO

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page12 of 44 ²⁸⁶⁶
1	DO OUR ANALYSIS. WE'RE GOING TO START IN THE LEFT
2	ZONE, THE LEFT-MOST PART OVER HERE, AND WE'RE GOING
3	TO SEE THE DOCUMENTS SCROLL IN THE LEFT DIRECTION.
4	EVENTUALLY WE'RE GOING TO COME TO THE
5	EDGE OVER HERE.
6	Q WHY DOES THE AREA BEYOND THE EDGE?
7	A WELL, HERE IT IS ANYTHING THAT IS NOT PART OF
8	THE ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT, SO IT'S WHATEVER LIES
9	OUTSIDE THAT WHITE BOX DESIGNATING THE ELECTRONIC
10	DOCUMENT.
11	Q HAVE YOU PREPARED A VIDEO THAT DESCRIBES HOW
12	THIS PARTICULAR DEVICE MEETS THE LIMITATIONS?
13	A I HAVE, YES.
14	Q AND CAN YOU EXPLAIN WHAT WE SEE?
15	A SO
16	Q AND WE'RE LOOKING AT 3964.043 AT THIS POINT.
17	A WE START OFF BY HAVING ONLY A PORTION IN VIEW,
18	JUST AS IN THE CASE OF TABLECLOTH. MOTION IN
19	LIMINE ELECTRONIC DOCUMENTS ARE TOO MUCH TO BE SEEN
20	IN THEIR ENTIRETY IN WHATEVER DISPLAY YOU USE,
21	WHETHER IT'S A SMARTPHONE OR EVEN A VERY LARGE
22	INTERACTIVE WHITE BOARD.
23	SO THIS IS THE AMOUNT THAT WE CAN SEE ON
24	THIS LITTLE HANDHELD DEVICE, AND EVENTUALLY WE'RE
25	GOING TO SCROLL TO THE LEFT UNTIL WE SORRY. MY

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page13 of 44 2895
1	TELLS YOU HOW LONG I'VE BEEN DOING THIS. VARIOUS
2	ASSEMBLY LEVEL LANGUAGES, A VARIETY OF DIFFERENT
3	COMPUTING LANGUAGES.
4	Q AND, SIR, YOU'RE HERE AS SAMSUNG'S TECHNICAL
5	EXPERT ON THE '915 AND '163 PATENTS; IS THAT
6	CORRECT?
7	A THAT'S CORRECT.
8	Q HAVE YOU WORKED BEFORE AS A TECHNICAL EXPERT
9	IN YOUR CAREER?
10	A I HAVE.
11	MR. DEFRANCO: YOUR HONOR, AT THIS POINT
12	WE WOULD OFFER MR. GRAY AS AN EXPERT IN SOFTWARE
13	PROGRAMMING AND USER INTERFACE.
14	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?
15	MR. JACOBS: NO OBJECTION.
16	THE COURT: SO CERTIFIED.
17	BY MR. DEFRANCO:
18	Q BRIEFLY, SIR, WOULD YOU GIVE US SOME EXAMPLES
19	OF SOME OF THE MATERIALS THAT YOU LOOKED AT IN YOUR
20	WORK IN THIS CASE?
21	A I REVIEWED THE PATENTS; I REVIEWED THE FILE
22	HISTORY, AND WHAT BY "FILE HISTORY" WHAT I MEAN IS
23	THE COMMUNICATION BETWEEN THE PATENT OFFICE AND THE
24	APPLICANT WHEN THEY'RE GETTING THEIR PATENT
25	APPROVED.

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page14 of 44 ²⁸⁹⁶
1	I'VE STUDIED THE REPORTS OF THE EXPERTS
2	IN THIS MATTER, I'VE STUDIED DEPOSITIONS AND A
3	VARIETY OF OTHER DOCUMENTS THAT ARE RELATED TO THE
4	MATTER.
5	Q OKAY. WE'RE GOING TO TAKE THE PATENTS ONE AT
б	A TIME STARTING WITH THE '915. WE'RE GOING TO USE
7	SOME SLIDES, DEMONSTRATIVES AS HAS BEEN DONE IN THE
8	CASE.
9	THIS IS THE '915 PATENT. CAN YOU REMIND
10	US IN A SENTENCE WHAT THE '915 PATENT IS ABOUT?
11	A SO THE '915 IS THE SCROLL WITH ONE FINGER,
12	ZOOM WITH TWO FINGERS. THAT'S THE '915.
13	Q AND THE FILING DATE OF THAT PATENT, SIR?
14	A THAT PATENT WAS FILED ON JANUARY 7TH, 2007.
15	Q AND THIS IS THE PATENT IN WHICH APPLE IS
16	ASSERTING CLAIM 8; IS THAT CORRECT?
17	A THAT'S CORRECT, CLAIM 8.
18	Q ALL RIGHT. LET'S YOU DESCRIBED WHAT THE
19	PATENT IS ABOUT GENERALLY. IS THAT WHAT'S DEPICTED
20	HERE?
21	A EXACTLY. THERE'S A LITTLE ANIMATION THAT
22	COMES FROM THE PATENT ITSELF. ON THE LEFT-HAND
23	SIDE WE SEE A SCROLL OPERATION, ONE FINGER MOVING
24	THE, THE IMAGE ACROSS THE SCREEN THE VIEW OF THE
25	IMAGE ACROSS THE SCREEN.

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page15 of 44 2897
1	IT DOESN'T SEEM TO BE PERFORMING.
2	THERE'S A LITTLE BUG HERE IT LOOKS LIKE. THERE WE
3	GO.
4	SO THAT'S THE SCROLLING OPERATION, SINGLE
5	FINGER SCROLLING OPERATION.
6	ON THE RIGHT-HAND SIDE IS WHAT'S REFERRED
7	TO AS THE GESTURE OPERATION. THE GESTURE
8	OPERATION, FOR EXAMPLE, IS A MULTIPOINT GESTURE
9	OPERATION AND ALLOWS, FOR EXAMPLE, SCALE IN AND OUT
10	FOR A PARTICULAR OBJECT. SO THAT'S THE MULTIPOINT
11	GESTURE OPERATION.
12	Q OKAY. AND WE ARE, WE'RE GOING TO TALK A BIT
13	ABOUT CLAIM 8. JUST IN A SENTENCE, TELL US WHAT
14	YOU, WHAT PRIOR ART YOU LOOKED AT?
15	A SO THE PRIOR ART THAT YOU SEE HERE ON THE
16	LEFT-HAND SIDE OF THE SCREEN, THE BLUE BACKGROUND
17	IS THE PRIOR ART I INTEND TO TALK ABOUT TODAY. ALL
18	OF THAT ART WAS FILED SOMETIME PRIOR TO THE
19	APPLICATION IN JANUARY 2007 OF THE '915 PATENT.
20	Q AND YOUR UNDERSTANDING WAS ANY OF THAT ART
21	BEFORE THE PATENT OFFICE DURING PROSECUTION OF WHAT
22	BECAME THE '915 PATENT?
23	A I DON'T BELIEVE SO, NO.
24	Q OKAY. LET'S START WITH DIAMONDTOUCH RUNNING
25	FRACTAL ZOOM, OKAY? DOES THAT MAKE SENSE?

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page16 of 44 ²⁸⁹⁸
1	A SURE.
2	Q I'D LIKE TO WALK THROUGH THE CLAIM ELEMENTS.
3	BY THE WAY, WERE YOU IN THE COURTROOM
4	WHEN THESE FACT WITNESSES TESTIFIED, MR. BOGUE AND
5	MR. FORLINES?
6	A YES, I WAS.
7	Q OKAY. IN A SENTENCE I THINK WE'VE HEARD A
8	BIT ABOUT THE SYSTEM, ENOUGH SO THAT WE CAN JUST GO
9	TO THE CLAIM AND COMPARE IT TO THE DEVICE ITSELF.
10	ARE YOU WITH ME?
11	A SURE.
12	Q WHY DON'T WE WALK THROUGH THAT ONE LIMITATION
13	IN THE CLAIM AT A TIME AND YOU CAN TELL US WHERE IN
14	THE CLAIM IT'S FOUND.
15	A SO CLAIM 8 REQUIRES MANUFACTURE READABLE
16	STORAGE, AND, AGAIN, THAT'S MEMORY THAT CONTAINS
17	INSTRUCTIONS THAT CAUSE THE COMPUTER, IN THIS CASE
18	THE DIAMONDTOUCH SYSTEM, TO PERFORM ITS OPERATIONS.
19	ONE OF THOSE OPERATIONS IS RECEIVING USER
20	INPUT IN THE FORM I SHOULD SAY RECEIVING USER
21	INPUT ON A TOUCH SENSITIVE DISPLAY THAT IS
22	INTEGRATED WITH THE DATA PROCESSING SYSTEM.
23	SO AS THE PREVIOUS WITNESS SPOKE ABOUT,
24	THAT'S THE WAY THAT THE DIAMONDTOUCH SYSTEM WORKS.
25	IT'S A COMPUTER SYSTEM THAT HAS A TOUCH SENSITIVE

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page17 of 44 2899
1	DISPLAY THAT ALLOWS FOR CAPTURING OF THE INPUT
2	POINTS.
3	Q LET'S GO TO THE NEXT LIMITATION, CREATING AN
4	EVENT OBJECT. CAN YOU DESCRIBE THAT FOR US,
5	PLEASE, WHERE IT'S IN THE REFERENCE, SIR?
6	A SURE. SO CREATING AN EVENT OBJECT IN THE DT,
7	THE DIAMONDTOUCH SYSTEM IS SHOWN, IS ILLUSTRATED BY
8	THIS PIECE OF CODE. THIS PIECE OF CODE IDENTIFIES
9	AN OBJECT KNOWN AS THE DTLIBINPUTTFRAME. THAT
10	STANDS FOR DIAMONDTOUCH LIBRARY INPUT TOUCH FRAME.
11	AND WHAT IT CONTAINS IS THE TOUCH
12	INFORMATION THAT WHEN THE USERS TOUCH THE SCREEN,
13	THIS IS THE OBJECT THAT CONTAINS THAT INFORMATION.
14	THE DTLIBINPUTTFRAME EVENT OBJECT
15	INCLUDES, FOR EXAMPLE, INFORMATION LIKE THE NUMBER
16	OF TOUCHES THAT THE USER HAD ON THE SCREEN, WHERE
17	THE TOUCHES STARTED AND WHERE THEY ENDED. THAT'S
18	THE REFERENCE THERE AT THE XY POSITION, AND TIME
19	REFERENCES TO CERTAIN ASPECTS OF THOSE, OF THAT
20	TOUCH.
21	SO THAT'S THE ELEMENT. THAT'S THE
22	OBJECT.
23	Q OKAY. LET'S GO TO THE NEXT ELEMENT, SIR.
24	COULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE FOR US WHERE THAT IS?
25	A SO THE NEXT ELEMENT IS DETERMINING WHETHER OR

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page18 of 44 ²⁹⁰⁰
1	NOT WE HAVE DONE A, USE A OR INTENDING TO DO A
2	SCROLL OR A GESTURE OPERATION BY DISTINGUISHING
3	BETWEEN A SINGLE INPUT POINT WHICH IS TOUCHED ON
4	THE INPUT DISPLAY OR MULTIPLE INPUT POINTS.
5	IF IT IS A SINGLE INPUT POINT, IT'S A
б	SCROLL OPERATION. IF IT'S MULTIPLE INPUT POINTS,
7	WE'RE TALKING ABOUT A GESTURE IN THE WAY IT'S
8	REFERRED TO IN THE '915 PATENT, AND THE GESTURE
9	WOULD BE, FOR EXAMPLE, A SCALING OPERATION.
10	SO, SO THERE'S ONE FINGER FOR SCROLL, TWO
11	FINGERS FOR GESTURES, AND THAT'S THE DISTINGUISHING
12	ASPECT HERE. SO THAT CLAIM IS MET.
13	Q OKAY.
14	A I'M SORRY. I SHOULD MENTION, THE SPLASH
15	SCREEN THAT'S SHOWN HERE, THE SPLASH SCREEN THAT IS
16	UP THERE INDICATES THE ACTUAL MANNER IN WHICH THE
17	DEVICES OPERATE.
18	Q OKAY. LET'S GO TO THE NEXT LIMITATION,
19	PLEASE.
20	A SO THE NEXT LIMITATION HAS TO DO WITH ISSUING
21	A SCROLL OR GESTURE CALL BASED ON INVOKING THE
22	SCROLL OR GESTURE OPERATION. AGAIN, THIS IS A
23	FRAGMENT OF CODE. THIS IS FROM A, A PIECE OF CODE
24	CALLED THE FRACTAL ZOOM APP, WHICH IS AN
25	APPLICATION THAT WAS REFERRED TO THE OTHER DAY WHEN

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page19 of 44 ²⁹⁰¹
1	DR. FORLINES TALKED ABOUT THE DEVICE.
2	THE FRACTAL ZOOM, THIS PARTICULAR METHOD
3	IS ONE CALLED TOUCH DETECTED. THE TOUCH DETECTED
4	METHOD DOES PROCESSING, BUT BY THE TIME IT GETS
5	HERE, IT DETERMINES WHETHER OR NOT THE M CURRENT
6	MODE IS EQUAL TO MODE ZOOM OR M CURRENT MODE IS
7	EQUAL TO MODE PAN.
8	BASED UPON THAT, THE SYSTEM PERFORMS
9	DIFFERENT OPERATIONS, ONE THAT CREATES A SCALING
10	FACTOR, THE OTHER ONE DOES NOT CREATE A SCALING
11	FACTOR.
12	BUT IN ANY EVENT, THE RESPONSE IS TO
13	THE RESPONSE IS TO REPAINT THE SCREEN BASED UPON
14	THE OPERATIONS WHICH ARE DEFINED IN THE TOUCH
15	DETECTIVE.
16	Q OKAY. THE LAST LIMITATION, SIR, PLEASE?
17	A THE LAST LIMITATION IS ACTUALLY HOW THE SCREEN
18	IS ALTERED BY VIRTUE OF THE FACT THAT THE USER HAS
19	DONE IT. SO HERE YOU SEE THE SCROLL THAT'S BEING
20	OPERATED ON, SCROLL UP AND SCROLL DOWN, SO THAT
21	CLAIM LIMITATION IS MET.
22	Q OKAY. AND THEN WE TAKE YOU TO?
23	A AND HERE'S THE TWO FINGER GESTURE. WE'RE
24	ZOOMING IN AND THEN A TWO FINGER GESTURE WHILE
25	WE'RE ACTUALLY, IT'S THE OPPOSITE, ZOOMING OUT

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page20 of 44 2902
1	AND ZOOMING IN. SO THAT LIMITATION IS ALSO MET.
2	Q AND THAT LIMITATION FOR THE RECORD IS
3	RESPONDING TO AT LEAST ONE GESTURE CALL. DO YOU
4	SEE THAT, SIR?
5	A THAT'S CORRECT.
6	Q ALL RIGHT. LET'S TURN TO THE SECOND REFERENCE
7	YOU ANALYZED. WOULD YOU GIVE US A SENTENCE OR TWO
8	ABOUT THE NOMURA REFERENCE, PLEASE, SIR?
9	A SO THE NOMURA REFERENCE IS A JAPANESE PATENT.
10	IT WAS FILED NOVEMBER OF 1998. AND THE PATENT
11	TALKS ABOUT AN ELECTRONIC OR PORTABLE INFORMATION
12	DEVICE, A PORTABLE DEVICE THAT IS USED FOR A MAP
13	APPLICATION.
14	AND ONE OF THE SEVERAL OF THE
15	FUNCTIONS THAT ARE PERFORMED IN THE MAP APPLICATION
16	RELATE TO ENLARGEMENT, WHICH IS SCALING, REDUCTION,
17	WHICH IS ALSO SCALING, AND THEN SCROLLING.
18	SO IT'S A, A PATENT APPLICATION THAT
19	IDENTIFIES A MAPPING APPLICATION AND AN E-BOOK, AN
20	ACTUAL DEVICE, THAT PERFORMS THOSE OPERATIONS.
21	Q SIR, IS THAT IN THE BINDER IN FRONT OF YOU AS
22	EXHIBIT DX 550?
23	A DX 550, YES, THAT'S THE REFERENCE I'M
24	REFERRING TO.
25	MR. DEFRANCO: YOUR HONOR, WE WOULD MOVE

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page21 of 44 2903
1	FOR ADMISSION OF EXHIBIT DX 550, THE NOMURA
2	PREFERENCE.
3	MR. JACOBS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
4	REFERENCE TO COUNSEL'S CHARACTERIZATION OF IT AS A
5	PATH. IT'S AN UNEXAMINED PATENT APPLICATION.
6	MR. DEFRANCO: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR
7	HONOR, IT'S AN UNEXAMINED PATENT APPLICATION FOR
8	THE RECORD.
9	THE COURT: IT'S ADMITTED.
10	(WHEREUPON, DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT NUMBER
11	550, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY MARKED FOR
12	IDENTIFICATION, WAS ADMITTED INTO
13	EVIDENCE.)
14	BY MR. DEFRANCO:
15	Q LET'S DO THE SAME THING, MR. GRAY. LET'S WALK
16	THROUGH THE LIMITATIONS IN THE CLAIM AND IF YOU
17	COULD COMPARE THEM TO THE DISCLOSURE IN THE NOMURA
18	REFERENCE. ARE YOU WITH ME?
19	A I'M WITH YOU. SO, AGAIN, THIS IS A LITTLE
20	DEMONSTRATION. HERE IS THE SCROLL, THE SINGLE
21	FINGER SCROLL, AN ANIMATION THAT SHOWS MOVING THE
22	MAP ACROSS THE ELECTRONIC BOOK. AND THEN THE OTHER
23	ONE WAS A PINCH.
24	Q NO NEED TO RUSH YOU. LET'S START WITH THE
25	CLAIM ELEMENTS. OKAY.

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page22 of 44 2904
1	A FAIR ENOUGH. SO THE FIRST PART OF CLAIM 8 IS
2	AGAIN THE MANUFACTURE READABLE STORAGE MEDIUM.
3	FIGURE 2 FROM THE PATENT SHOWS AN INSTRUCTION
4	STORAGE MEDIUM THERE, THAT'S THE BOX THAT I'VE
5	HIGHLIGHTED IN YELLOW. THAT FEEDS THE PROCESSING
б	UNIT, AND THE INFORMATION STORAGE MEDIUM CONTAINS
7	DATA AND INSTRUCTIONS WHICH ARE USED BY THE E-BOOK
8	IN PERFORMANCE OF ITS APPLICATION. SO THAT CLAIM
9	ELEMENT IS MET.
10	THE FINGER MOVEMENT DETECTOR, WHICH IS
11	NUMBER WHICH IS ELEMENT 10 OF FIGURE 2, SHOWS
12	IS THE RECEIVER OF THE INPUTS FROM THE TOUCH
13	SENSITIVE SCREEN THAT THE USER OPERATING ON, ON THE
14	DEVICE.
15	SO THE FINGER MOVEMENT DETECTOR CREATES A
16	FINGER MOVEMENT HISTORY. THAT HISTORY IS
17	RECORDS WHAT THE MOVEMENTS WERE ON THE SCREEN.
18	Q AND THAT
19	A SO THAT LIMITATION IS ALSO MET.
20	Q THAT MEETS THE RECEIVING A USER INPUT
21	LIMITATION?
22	A CORRECT.
23	Q OKAY. LET'S TURN TO THE NEXT LIMITATION,
24	WHICH IS CREATING AN EVENT OBJECT IN RESPONSE TO
25	THE USER INPUT.

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page23 of 44 ²⁹⁰⁵
1	
1	WHERE IS THAT IN NOMURA, SIR?
2	A SO AS I JUST MENTIONED, THE UNIT THAT RECEIVES
3	THE USER INPUTS STORES THAT INFORMATION IN THE
4	MOVEMENT HISTORY, AND THE MOVEMENT HISTORY, A
5	FINGER MOVEMENT HISTORY IN NOMURA IS THE '915'S
б	VERSION OF THE EVENT OBJECT.
7	Q OKAY. HOW ABOUT THE NEXT LIMITATION,
8	DETERMINING WHETHER AN EVENT OBJECT INVOKES A
9	SCROLL OR GESTURE ELEMENT?
10	A SO ELEMENT 30, THE OPERATING CONTENTS
11	DETERMINATION UNIT, IS WHAT MAKES A DETERMINATION
12	AS USING THE FINGER HISTORY TO DETERMINE WHETHER
13	OR NOT WHAT KIND OF MOTION IS BEING PROSCRIBED,
14	WHETHER IT IS A SCROLL OR A GESTURE OPERATION.
15	SO YOU CAN SEE HERE THE GESTURE OPERATION
16	MOVES ONE FINGER, THE UNIT DETERMINES THAT A MAP
17	SCROLLING OPERATION IS INPUT, AND THEN USING TWO
18	FINGERS, IT SHOWS THAT IT WAS, IT WAS EITHER DOING
19	A SCALE, A SCALE IN OR A SCALE OUT.
20	Q OKAY.
21	A SO USING TWO FINGERS TO PERFORM.
22	Q ALL RIGHT. CAN WE GO TO THE NEXT LIMITATION,
23	SIR?
24	A SO THE, THE MAP OPERATIONS PROCESSING UNIT
25	CONTAINS A SERIES OF ELEMENTS AS WELL. THE

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page24 of 44 2906
1	REQUIREMENT OR THE CLAIM ELEMENT HERE SAYS
2	ISSUING AT LEAST ONE SCROLL OR GESTURE CALL BASED
3	ON INVOKING THE SCROLL OR GESTURE OPERATION.
4	SO WE CAN SEE HERE THAT ELEMENT 48, THE
5	SCROLL PROCESSING UNIT, IS ACTUALLY THE UNIT THAT
6	MAKES THAT IS FED BY THE OPERATING CONTENTS OF
7	THE DETERMINATION UNIT, AND THEN CREATES THE
8	PERFORMS WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE IN TERMS OF A
9	SCROLL.
10	Q OKAY. ALL RIGHT. AND THE NEXT LIMITATION,
11	SIR, WOULD YOU TAKE US THROUGH THAT?
12	A CAN WE GO BACK A SLIDE. THERE'S A COUPLE OF
13	OTHER UNITS ON HERE, TOO, THAT AREN'T HIGHLIGHTED,
14	BUT THE COMPRESSION PROCESSING UNIT 42 AND
15	COMPRESSION PROCESSING UNIT 44 ARE THE UNITS THAT
16	PERFORM THE SCROLLING OPERATION, YEAH, THE
17	SCROLLING I'M SORRY, THE SCALING OPERATION. I
18	MISSPOKE.
19	SO, YEAH, SO THAT LIMITATION HAS BEEN
20	MET.
21	Q OKAY. GREAT. LET'S TURN TO THE NEXT ONE,
22	SIR. CAN YOU TAKE US THROUGH THAT LIMITATION,
23	PLEASE?
24	A SO BASED UPON WHAT THE MAP OPERATIONS
25	PROCESSING UNIT HAS DONE, THE IMAGE GENERATION UNIT

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page25 of 44 2907
1	RECEIVES THAT, THAT INPUT AND PERFORMS SENDS IT
2	TO THE DISPLAY UNIT, WHICH THEN UPDATES THE DISPLAY
3	ON THE E-BOOK ITSELF.
4	Q OKAY. I THINK THERE'S A LITTLE MOTION.
5	A SO THERE'S A SCROLL BAR THAT SHOWS, THAT
6	DEMONSTRATES THE SCROLL OPERATION. SO THAT
7	LIMITATION IS MET.
8	Q OKAY. AND LET'S FINISH THE LAST SLIDE WITH
9	RESPECT TO NOMURA.
10	A SO, AGAIN, SIMILARLY TO THE WAY THAT THE
11	SCROLL OPERATION WAS, WAS MET, THE SYSTEM ALSO
12	RESPONDS TO A GESTURE CALL BY PASSING THE
13	INFORMATION TO THE IMAGE GENERATION UNIT WHICH GOES
14	TO THE DISPLAY UNIT WHICH UPDATES THE E-BOOK.
15	Q OKAY. NOW, IN YOUR OPINION, SIR, WITH
16	RESPECT ARE ALL THE LIMITATIONS OF CLAIM 8 OF
17	THE 195 '915 PATENT FOUND IN NOMURA?
18	A YES, THEY ARE.
19	Q AND WHAT DOES THAT LEAD YOU TO CONCLUDE?
20	A WHAT THAT MEANS IS BY VIRTUE OF THE FACT THAT
21	ALL OF THE CLAIM LIMITATIONS ARE COVERED BY NOMURA,
22	OR THAT IT ANTICIPATES OR RENDERS OBVIOUS, OR
23	INVALIDATES, RATHER, THE '915 PATENT BY WHAT'S
24	REFERRED TO AS ANTICIPATION, MEANING THAT ONE
25	SOURCE ENCOMPASSES ALL OF THE CLAIM ELEMENTS.

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page26 of 44 2908
1	Q AND WHAT CONCLUSION, SIR, JUST TO MAKE SURE I
2	DIDN'T MISS IT, WHAT WAS YOUR CONCLUSION WITH
3	RESPECT TO DIAMONDTOUCH RUNNING FRACTAL ZOOM
4	COMPARED TO CLAIM 8 OF THE '915 PATENT?
5	A LIKE NOMURA, DIAMONDTOUCH COVERS ALL OF THE
6	CLAIM ELEMENTS OF CLAIM 8, AND, THEREFORE,
7	INVALIDATES CLAIM 8 AS WELL.
8	Q I JUST WANT TO SPEND A MOMENT ON A THIRD
9	REFERENCE, IF I HAVE SUCCESS BRINGING IT UP HERE
10	HERE.
11	JUST A SENTENCE OR TWO, SIR, ABOUT WHAT
12	THE HAN SYSTEM WAS?
13	A SURE. JEFFERSON HAN WAS A RESEARCH SCIENTIST
14	AT NEW YORK UNIVERSITY AND HE CREATED A DEVICE, NOT
15	ENTIRELY DISSIMILAR FROM THE DIAMONDTOUCH SYSTEM,
16	BUT IT'S A USER INTERFACE, LARGE SCALE USER
17	INTERFACE THAT RESPONDS TO TOUCH INPUT. AND
18	THERE'S A, A FILM HERE THAT SHOWS EXACTLY WHAT THAT
19	SYSTEM DOES.
20	Q OKAY. AND I THINK JUST TO BRING IT BACK TO
21	MIND, LET'S SHOW A VERY SHORT PORTION OF THE HAN
22	VIDEO.
23	CAN YOU PUT THAT UP, PLEASE.
24	(WHEREUPON, A VIDEOTAPE WAS PLAYED IN
25	OPEN COURT OFF THE RECORD.)

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page27 of 44 2909
1	MR. DEFRANCO: OKAY.
2	THE COURT: WHAT'S THE NUMBER ON THAT
3	VIDEO, PLEASE?
4	MR. DEFRANCO: YOUR HONOR, WE WOULD OFFER
5	THAT INTO EVIDENCE. THAT IS GOING TO BE EXHIBIT DX
6	556.
7	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THAT'LL BE
8	PENDING THE STIPULATION. OKAY. SO IT'S NOT
9	ADMITTED RIGHT NOW UNLESS THERE'S A STIPULATION ON
10	THE VIDEO. SO I'LL HOLD THAT.
11	MR. DEFRANCO: YES, YOUR HONOR.
12	THE COURT: GO AHEAD, PLEASE.
13	BY MR. DEFRANCO:
14	Q BRIEFLY, CAN YOU WALK THROUGH CLAIM 8 OF THE
15	'915 PATENT WITH RESPECT TO THE HAN VIDEO, SIR?
16	A SO THE HAN DEMONSTRATION SHOWS A MACHINE
17	READABLE A COMPUTER THAT HAS INSTRUCTIONS IN IT
18	THAT PERFORM VARIOUS OPERATIONS. IT'S SHOWN IT
19	RECEIVES INPUTS, AS YOU CAN SEE WHEN HE WAS
20	DEMONSTRATING THE SYSTEM, IT RECEIVES INPUTS IN THE
21	FORM OF SINGLE INPUT SCROLLS, MULTI INPUT ZOOMING
22	OPERATIONS. IT CREATES IT DETERMINES WHETHER OR
23	NOT THE EVENT OBJECT INVOKES A SCROLL BECAUSE IT
24	RECORDS THOSE EVENTS IN AN EVENT OBJECT. IT
25	DETERMINES BY DISTINGUISHING WHETHER IT'S A SINGLE

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page28 of 44 ²⁹¹⁰
1	
1	POINT OR MULTIPOINT.
2	IT THEN ISSUES A SCROLL OR GESTURE CALL
3	BASED ON THE DETERMINATION IT MADE AND THEN UPDATES
4	THE SCREEN TO REFLECT WHAT THE USER HAD DONE WITH
5	THE SINGLE OR MULTITOUCH INPUTS.
б	Q OKAY. LET'S TALK ABOUT NON-INFRINGEMENT,
7	INFRINGEMENT ISSUES FOR JUST A MOMENT.
8	CAN YOU TELL US WHAT CLAIM ELEMENT D IS
9	ABOUT AGAIN IN THIS CLAIM.
10	A SO CLAIM ELEMENT D HAS TO DO WITH DETERMINING
11	WHETHER THE EVENT OBJECT INVOKES A SCROLL BY
12	DISTINGUISH OR A GESTURE BY DISTINGUISHING
13	BETWEEN ONE POINT OR MULTIPOINT.
14	AND IT'S THE EVENT OBJECT IS
15	INVOKES THE SCROLL OR GESTURE OPERATION. THERE'S
16	AN INVOCATION OF THE SCROLL OR GESTURE OPERATION BY
17	THE EVENT OBJECT.
18	Q WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE DEFINITION
19	OF INVOKE AS IT'S TO BE USED IN THIS CASE?
20	A SO INVOKE, AS I UNDERSTAND IT, HAS COME TO
21	MEAN TO CAUSE, AND I UNDERSTAND THAT'S THE COURT'S
22	CONSTRUCTION FOR THE TERM "INVOKE."
23	Q OKAY. AND
24	MR. JACOBS: YOUR HONOR, THAT'S AN
25	INCOMPLETE RECITATION OF THE COURT'S CLAIM

г	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page29 of 44 2911
1	CONSTRUCTION.
2	THE COURT: OVERRULED. YOU'LL HAVE A
3	CHANCE ON CROSS.
4	GO AHEAD.
5	BY MR. DEFRANCO:
б	Q OKAY. IN YOUR OPINION, DO THE ACCUSED
7	PRODUCTS HAVE THAT FEATURE, SIR?
8	A NO. THE ACCUSED PRODUCTS, I THINK THERE'S A
9	SLIDE ON THIS, BUT THE ACCUSED PRODUCTS OPERATE
10	MORE ALONG THE LINES LIKE THIS.
11	WE HEARD THE OTHER DAY DR. SINGH TALK
12	ABOUT THE WEB VIEW OBJECT AND THAT THE WEB VIEW
13	OBJECT RELIED USES THE DATA THAT IS STORED IN
14	THE MOTION EVENT OBJECT TO MAKE A DETERMINATION AS
15	TO WHETHER ONE TOUCH OR MULTIPLE TOUCHES HAD
16	OCCURRED.
17	AND IF ONE USES IT TAKES A DIFFERENT
18	PATH THROUGH THE CODE, IN ORDER TO SEE IF ONE TOUCH
19	OR MULTITOUCH HAD BEEN USED.
20	THE POINT IS THAT THE MOTION EVENT OBJECT
21	IS NOT THE CAUSER OF THIS DETERMINATION AS TO
22	WHETHER OR NOT ONE TOUCH OR MULTIPLE TOUCHES HAVE
23	OCCURRED.
24	THE MOTION OF THAT OBJECT THAT CONTAINS
25	THE DATA, WHICH IS THEN USED BY THE WEB VIEW OBJECT

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page30 of 44 2912
1	IN ORDER TO MAKE THE DETERMINATION.
2	Q OKAY. AND THEN THERE'S BEEN SOME TALK ABOUT
3	TWO FINGER SCROLLING. WOULD YOU TELL US WHAT
4	YOU'VE CONCLUDED WITH RESPECT TO TWO-FINGER
5	SCROLLING IN SOME OF THE SAMSUNG PRODUCTS THAT YOU
6	ANALYZED?
7	A SO ON SOME OF THE SAMSUNG PRODUCTS THAT I HAD
8	THE OPPORTUNITY, THAT I'VE ANALYZED, WHICH ARE THE
9	ACCUSED PRODUCTS IN THIS MATTER, THE PRODUCTS DO
10	PERFORM MULTIPOINT SCROLLING, IN OTHER WORDS, BEING
11	ABLE TO SCROLL A DEVICE USING MULTIPLE POINTS.
12	AGAIN, THE PATENT CALLS FOR
13	DISTINGUISHING BETWEEN A MULTIPOINT SCROLL AND A
14	SINGLE I MEAN, A MULTIPOINT SCALE AND A SINGLE
15	POINT SCROLL.
16	WHAT I WAS ABLE WHAT I'VE OBSERVED IS
17	THAT SOME OF THE DEVICES DO PERFORM MULTIPOINT
18	SCROLLING WHICH, AGAIN, IS CONTRARY TO THE WAY THE
19	PATENT, THE WAY THE PATENT CLAIMS OPERATE.
20	Q OKAY. LET'S SHIFT GEARS NOW AND LET'S TALK
21	ABOUT THE '163 PATENT, OKAY?
22	A SURE.
23	Q I WANT TO MOVE AHEAD A LITTLE BIT. I KNOW
24	THIS IS INTRODUCTORY SLIDE. YOU'VE GOT THE SAME
25	PRIOR ART?

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page31 of 44 2913
1	A AGAIN, SAME PRIOR ART, YES, TO THE RIGHT.
2	Q THERE'S BEEN QUITE A BIT OF DISCUSSION OF
3	LAUNCHTILE IN THIS CASE. I THINK WE'VE HEARD
4	ENOUGH ABOUT THAT. SO WHY DON'T WE GO STRAIGHT TO
5	THE ASSERTED CLAIM IN THE '163 PATENT. THAT'S
б	CLAIM 50, IS THAT RIGHT, SIR?
7	A CLAIM 50 IS THE ASSERTED CLAIM IN THE '163
8	PATENT.
9	Q WHY DON'T WE DO THIS. WHY DON'T WE LET'S
10	START WITH THE FIRST ELEMENT AND I WILL MOVE
11	THROUGH THE SLIDES AS YOU DESCRIBE WHERE YOU
12	BELIEVE THE ELEMENT AT ISSUE IS FOUND IN CLAIM 50.
13	ARE YOU WITH ME?
14	A OKAY. SO THE FIRST ELEMENT IS OF DESCRIBES
15	A PORTABLE ELECTRONIC DEVICE WHICH THE LAUNCHTILE
16	SYSTEM RUNNING ON THIS HEWLETT-PACKARD IPAQ
17	HANDHELD UNIT PERFORMS. IT'S A CROSS IT'S WITH
18	A PROCESSOR, A TOUCH SENSITIVE SCREEN, MEMORY, AND
19	A SERIES OF PROGRAMS AND THOSE PROGRAMS CONTAIN
20	INSTRUCTIONS WHICH ALLOW THE PROGRAM TO DO WHAT
21	IT'S SUPPOSED TO DO. SO THAT CLAIM ELEMENT IS MET.
22	SO THE SECOND PART OF CLAIM 50 SAYS
23	DISPLAYING AT LEAST A PORTION OF A STRUCTURED
24	ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT.
25	WHAT'S REFERRED TO THERE, IN LAUNCHTILE,

THE STRUCTURED ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT IS WHAT
 DR. BEDERSON REFERRED TO AS THE INTERACTIVE ZOOM
 SPACE. THAT INTERACTIVE ZOOM SPACE IS THAT
 COLLECTION OF 36 TILES THAT DR. BEDERSON TALKED
 ABOUT, AND THOSE 36 TILES ARE AN INTERACTIVE ZOOM
 SPACE THAT IS THE STRUCTURED ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT OF
 THE REQUIREMENT.

8 IF YOU SEE HERE, WHAT IT ALLOWS IS FOR 9 THE IDENTIFICATION OF A FIRST BOX IN THAT -- IN A 10 PLURALITY OF BOXES OF CONTENT.

11 Q OKAY. AND THE NEXT LIMITATION, SIR?

12 A SO THE -- THE PATENT REQUIRES THAT THE SYSTEM 13 BE ABLE TO DETECT A FIRST GESTURE AT THE LOCATION 14 DISPLAYED ON THE -- AT A LOCATION DISPLAYED ON THE 15 STRUCTURED ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT FOR DETERMINING A 16 FIRST BOX IN THE PLURALITY OF BOXES LOCATED AT THAT 17 LOCATION.

SO, IN OTHER WORDS, AS YOU CAN SEE HERE, 18 19 THE USER IS ABOUT TO SELECT AN AREA WITHIN THAT BOX OF FOUR, BECAUSE THAT'S THE WAY THAT THE LAUNCHTILE 20 21 IS ORGANIZED IS A SERIES OF QUAD TILES, OR 22 TWO-BY-TWO ELEMENTS, AND WHEN THAT HAPPENS, THE 23 STRUCTURED ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT, OR THE INTERACTIVE 24 ZOOM SPACE, IS TRANSLATED AND ENLARGED, AND WE'LL 25 SEE THAT IN THE NEXT CLAIM ELEMENT. THIS MEANS

I	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page33 of 44 ²⁹¹⁵
1	
1	THIS ONE HAS BEEN MET.
2	SO HERE WE SEE THE STRUCTURED ELECTRONIC
3	DOCUMENT, THE INTERACTIVE ZOOM SPACE IS NOW
4	TRANSLATED, MEANING SCROLLED, AND ENLARGED OR
5	CENTERED, CENTERED AND ENLARGED SO THAT WE ENLARGE
6	THAT, THAT FIRST BOX OF CONTENT WITHIN THAT
7	STRUCTURED ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT.
8	AND THAT'S WHAT'S SHOWN HERE. THE
9	FOUR THAT QUAD TILE, THOSE FOUR TILES, ARE NOW
10	SELECTED AND ENLARGED. SO THAT CLAIM ELEMENT IS
11	MET.
12	Q AND THE NEXT LIMITATION, SIR?
13	A SO THE NEXT LIMITATION, THIS IS AN IMPORTANT
14	ONE, THE NEXT LIMITATION IS AFTER THE FIRST BOX IS
15	ENLARGED, DETECTING A SECOND BOX WHICH IS NOT THAT
16	FIRST BOX.
17	AND THAT SECOND GESTURE NOW, YOU CAN SEE
18	IT BEING DONE HERE, THE USER IS SELECTING THAT
19	SECOND BOX OTHER THAN THE FIRST BOX.
20	AND SO THE ONCE THE FIRST BOX HAS BEEN
21	ENLARGED, NOW I'M SELECTING A SECOND BOX, WHICH IS
22	THIS UPPER LEFT-HAND QUADRANT HERE. SO THAT
23	ELEMENT IS MET.
24	Q OKAY. AND THEN FINALLY THE LAST LIMITATION,
25	~ SIR?
-	

1 A AND THEN TRANSLATING AND ENLARGING, SO WHAT 2 HAPPENS IS THE SELECTION OF THAT SECOND BOX ALLOWS 3 FOR IT, FOR THE UNIT TO BE TRANSLATED AND CENTERED 4 ON THE DISPLAY SCREEN, AND THAT'S WHAT THE LAST 5 CLAIM ELEMENT MEANS, SO THAT THE SECOND BOX IS 6 CENTERED AND TRANSLATED.

SO, ONCE AGAIN, I'VE GONE FROM THE
STRUCTURED ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT, AND I SELECTED A
SPACE WITHIN THE STRUCTURED ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT,
AND THEN A FINER SPACE WITHIN THE STRUCTURED
ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT THAT ORIGINATED WITH THE TILES
OF 36.

13 Q AND, SIR, HAVE YOU ALSO LOOKED AT AND ANALYZED 14 WHAT'S KNOWN AS XNAV RUNNING ON THE IPAQ AND THE 15 SOURCE CODE?

16 A I HAVE. I HAVE.

17 Q AND GENERALLY, CAN YOU TELL US, WHAT'S YOUR 18 CONCLUSION AS TO WHETHER LAUNCHTILE AND XNAV ON THE 19 IPAQ INVALIDATE CLAIM 50 OF THE '163 PATENT? A SO XNAV IS A PRODUCT THAT IS DERIVED FROM 20 21 LAUNCHTILE. WE'VE SEEN THE SOURCE CODE FOR XNAV. 22 IT RUNS ON A DIFFERENT SET OF HARDWARE, A SONY 23 DEVICE THAT'S A DIFFERENT SET OF HARDWARE. I'VE 24 OPERATED IT.

25

AND IT PERFORMS ESSENTIALLY IDENTICAL

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page35 of 44 2917
1	FUNCTIONS TO THE LAUNCHTILE SYSTEM.
2	SO IT TOO INVALIDATES.
3	Q ALL RIGHT. I'D LIKE TO MOVE ON TO ANOTHER
4	REFERENCE NOW, AND WE HAVE A I'VE ASKED THAT A
5	SUMMARY SLIDE BE PREPARED. THIS IS THE AGNETTA
6	PATENT. IT'S THE '632 PATENT. DO YOU SEE THAT
7	PATENT IN YOUR BINDER THERE, SIR? YOU SHOULD HAVE
8	AS EXHIBIT 561 SOME DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO
9	AGNETTA. DO YOU SEE THAT?
10	A I DO.
11	Q AND THERE'S A IS THERE A PROVISIONAL
12	APPLICATION IN THE FRONT OF EXHIBIT 561 AND IT'S
13	THE '632 PATENT ITSELF IN THE BACK?
14	A THERE IS A PROVISIONAL APPLICATION, YES.
15	MR. DEFRANCO: YOUR HONOR, WE WOULD MOVE
16	FOR EXHIBIT DX 561 INTO THE RECORD.
17	MR. JACOBS: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
18	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. IT'S ADMITTED.
19	(WHEREUPON, DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT NUMBER
20	561, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY MARKED FOR
21	IDENTIFICATION, WAS ADMITTED INTO
22	EVIDENCE.)
23	MR. DEFRANCO: OKAY. WHAT YOU WANT TO DO
24	SIR, RATHER THAN WALKING THROUGH BY THE WAY, IS
25	THIS SOMEWHAT IN THE CONSTRUCT OF WHAT'S SHOWN

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page36 of 44 2918
1	IN THIS PATENT, IS IT SIMILAR SOMEWHAT TO
2	LAUNCHTILE?
3	A IT IS SIMILAR TO LAUNCHTILE. THE THIS
4	CONFIGURATION
5	Q AND LET ME JUST JUMP IN
6	A SURE.
7	Q IN THE INTEREST OF TIME. WHAT I'VE DONE
8	HERE IS WE PUT THE CLAIMS SIDE BY SIDE WITH ONE
9	FIGURE FROM THAT PATENT, AND RATHER THAN TAKE YOU
10	THROUGH EACH ELEMENT ONE SLIDE AT A TIME, COULD YOU
11	JUST DESCRIBE FOR US GENERALLY WHERE IN YOUR
12	OPINION THE LIMITATIONS IN CLAIM 50 OF THE '163
13	PATENT ARE FOUND IN THE AGNETTA REFERENCE, SIR?
14	A SURE. SO THE AGNETTA REFERENCE AGAIN IS
15	DIRECTED TO A PORTABLE ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT WITH
16	MEMORY AND PROCESSORS AND COMPUTER INSTRUCTIONS.
17	SO 50A AND 50B ARE MET.
18	50C IS MET BECAUSE WHAT IT DOES
19	BECAUSE THE STRUCTURED ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT WAS
20	SIMILAR TO LAUNCHTILE IS WHAT IS REFERRED TO HERE
21	AS A TILE SPACE WHICH, AGAIN, PROVIDES INSIGHT INTO
22	THE INFORMATION AND CONTENT THAT IS ON THE
23	PARTICULAR ELECTRONIC DEVICE.
24	THE USER CAN SELECT ANY OF THOSE TILES.
25	ONCE THOSE TILES ANY TILE THAT IS SELECTED IS

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page37 of 44 2919
1	ENLARGED AND CENTERED, SO THAT MEANS THAT CLAIM 50D
2	AND E ARE MET, AND F FOR THAT MATTER.
3	AND IN ADDITION, ONCE THAT ONCE THE
4	TILE HAS BEEN ENLARGED AND CENTERED, THE ADJACENT
5	TILES AROUND IT ARE AVAILABLE, THE USER THEN HAS
6	THE OPPORTUNITY TO SELECT THOSE ADJACENT TILES,
7	WHICH THAT TILE WILL NOW BE CENTERED AND ENLARGED
8	AS WELL. SO MUCH LIKE LAUNCHTILE, THE AGNETTA
9	PATENT PERFORMS THE SAME OPERATIONS AND SAME
10	FUNCTIONS.
11	Q AND WHAT IS YOUR YOUR OPINION OF THE VALIDITY
12	OF CLAIM 50 OF THE '163 PATENT IN VIEW OF THE
13	AGNETTA REFERENCE, SIR?
14	A I BELIEVE THE AGNETTA REFERENCE INVALIDATES
15	CLAIM 50 BECAUSE IT MEETS ALL THE CLAIM
16	LIMITATIONS.
17	Q WE HAVE ONE MORE TO DO, THE ROBBINS PATENT.
18	IT SHOULD BE IN YOUR BINDER AGAIN. IT'S '349
19	PATENT. DO YOU SEE THAT THERE, SIR? IT'S EXHIBIT
20	DX 1081.
21	AND, RYAN, WHILE WE'RE DOING THAT, CAN
22	YOU PLEASE PUT UP THE SUMMARY SLIDE FOR THAT
23	REFERENCE.
24	A I DO. I SEE EXHIBIT 1081 AND IT IS THE '349
25	OR ROBBINS PATENT.
-	

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page38 of 44 2920
1	Q IS THAT THE ROBBINS PATENT THAT YOU ANALYZED
2	IN YOUR WORK IN THIS CASE?
3	A IT IS.
4	MR. DEFRANCO: YOUR HONOR, WE WOULD MOVE
5	EXHIBIT DX 1081 INTO EVIDENCE, PLEASE.
6	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?
7	MR. JACOBS: IS THAT THE PATENT?
8	THE COURT: YES, IT IS.
9	MR. JACOBS: NO OBJECTION.
10	THE COURT: IT'S ADMITTED.
11	(WHEREUPON, DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT NUMBER
12	1081, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY MARKED FOR
13	IDENTIFICATION, WAS ADMITTED INTO
14	EVIDENCE.)
15	THE COURT: GO AHEAD, PLEASE.
16	BY MR. DEFRANCO:
17	Q MR. GRAY, ONE MORE TIME. WE'RE ALMOST DONE.
18	WOULD YOU PLEASE DO THE SAME. TAKE US THROUGH EACH
19	ELEMENT IN CLAIM 50 OF THE '163 PATENT AND TELL US
20	WHERE IN YOUR OPINION THAT IS FOUND IN THE ROBBINS
21	'349 PRIOR ART PATENT.
22	A SO THE ROBBINS PATENT, AGAIN, IS A ZOOM
23	PATENT. IT IS DIRECTED TO PORTABLE ELECTRONIC
24	DEVICES. AGAIN, THERE'S A MAP APPLICATION
25	UNDERNEATH IT. THE ROBBINS PATENT AGAIN BEING

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page39 of 44 ²⁹²¹
1	DIRECTED TO A PORTABLE ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT HAS
2	PROCESSOR AND A TOUCHSCREEN AND A VARIETY AND
3	MEMORY AND INSTRUCTIONS THAT PERFORM VARIOUS
4	OPERATIONS.
5	IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE, WHAT HAPPENS IS,
6	IN THIS EXAMPLE THAT'S SHOWN HERE, THE SCREEN IS
7	DIVIDED INTO THREE-BY-THREE MATRIX THAT OVERLAPS,
8	AND WE'LL TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT WHY THAT
9	OVERLAPS.
10	THE USER THEN CAN SELECT ANY OF THOSE
11	SEGMENTS AND THOSE SEGMENTS THEN BECOME CENTERED
12	AND ENLARGED ON THE DISPLAY SCREEN.
13	IF YOU NOTICE ON THE IF YOU TAKE THE
14	UPPER RIGHT-HAND SEGMENT, THERE'S A SMALL RECTANGLE
15	TO THE LEFT-HAND SIDE OF THAT SEGMENT. THE
16	SELECTION OF THAT SEGMENT NOW ALLOWS THE, THE USER
17	INTERFACE TO MOVE TO THE ADJACENT SEGMENT AND HAVE
18	THAT BE CENTERED AND ENLARGED AS WELL.
19	SO THE PATENT MEETS THE LIMITATIONS OF
20	THE FIRST PART OF 50A AND B BECAUSE IT IS A
21	STRUCTURED IT'S A PORTABLE ELECTRONIC DEVICE.
22	IT ALLOWS FOR THE ENLARGEMENT OF THE DOCUMENTS,
23	THAT'S 50C. IT ALLOWS FOR SELECTION OF THE SECOND,
24	A SECOND SPACE, AND THEN THE ENLARGEMENT AND
25	CENTERING OF THAT. SO IT MEETS ALL THE LIMITATIONS

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page40 of 44 ²⁹²²
1	OF THE CLAIM AS WELL.
2	Q AND, IN YOUR VIEW, IS CLAIM 50 INVALID IN VIEW
3	OF THIS REFERENCE?
4	A AGAIN, ROBBINS AS WELL, THIS CLAIM COVERS ALL
5	OF THE CLAIM LIMITATIONS OF '163, CLAIM 50, AND
б	CONSEQUENTLY IS INVALIDATES IT AS WELL.
7	Q SHIFTING GEARS BRIEFLY TO INFRINGEMENT,
8	NON-INFRINGEMENT ISSUE, YOU'VE HEARD THE TERM
9	"SUBSTANTIALLY CENTERED." IS THAT CORRECT?
10	A RIGHT. ONE OF THE CLAIM ELEMENTS HERE, 50F,
11	FOR EXAMPLE, REFERS TO SOMETHING BEING
12	SUBSTANTIALLY CENTERED.
13	Q AND WHAT IS YOUR VIEW ON THAT, SIR?
14	A IN MY OPINION, THE TERM "SUBSTANTIALLY
15	CENTERED" IS AN AMBIGUOUS TERM. I PART OF WHAT
16	A PATENT DOES IS PROVIDE INFORMATION TO AN ENGINEER
17	TO ALLOW THEM TO UNDERSTAND THE SCOPE OF THE PATENT
18	SO THAT THEY CAN AVOID INFRINGING THE PATENT.
19	I DON'T KNOW WHEN SOMETHING IS
20	SUBSTANTIALLY CENTER. I KNOW WHEN SOMETHING IS
21	FULLY CENTERED OR NOT CENTERED, BUT "SUBSTANTIALLY
22	CENTERED" IS AMBIGUOUS.
23	HOW WOULD A PATENT HOW WOULD AN
24	ENGINEER UNDERSTAND HOW TO MAKE SOMETHING
25	SUBSTANTIALLY CENTERED OR NOT? SO IN MY OPINION,

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page41 of 44 2923
1	"SUBSTANTIALLY CENTERED" IS AN AMBIGUOUS TERM.
2	Q AND, FINALLY, SIR, WITH RESPECT TO ELEMENT E,
3	DETERMINING A FIRST BOX IN THE PLURALITY OF BOXES
4	AT THE LOCATION OF THE FIRST GESTURE, CAN YOU GIVE
5	US YOUR OPINION AS IT RELATES TO INFRINGEMENT ON
6	THAT ELEMENT?
7	A AGAIN, 50E TALKS ABOUT IDENTIFYING A BOX IN
8	PLURALITY OF BOXES AT THE LOCATION OF THE FIRST
9	GESTURE.
10	WHAT THAT SEEMS TO INTEND, AT LEAST THE
11	WAY I READ THIS CLAIM THE FIRST TIME I READ IT, WAS
12	THAT THERE ARE A PLURALITY OF BOXES.
13	IF YOU THINK ABOUT NESTED BOXES WHERE
14	THERE ARE MULTIPLE BOXES THAT ARE NESTED AND THE
15	USER SELECTS A BOX OR A SPACE, SOME LOCATION WITHIN
16	THAT NESTED BOX, WHAT HAPPENS IS THE SYSTEM WOULD
17	THEN NEED TO DETERMINE WHICH ONE OF THOSE NESTED
18	BOXES THE USER WAS ACTUALLY INTENDING TO HAVE
19	CENTERED AND ENLARGED.
20	SIMILARLY TO THE WAY LAUNCHTILE WORKS.
21	IF YOU RECALL LAUNCHTILE, YOU CAN SELECT ANY ONE OF
22	THE FOUR IN THE QUAD TILES AND THAT WHOLE QUAD TILE
23	GETS ENLARGED AND CENTERED.
24	AGAIN, I'M NOT SEEING ANY EVIDENCE AT ALL
25	SUPPLIED, OR ANYTHING IN ANY OF THE REPORTS THAT

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page42 of 44 2924
1	INDICATE HOW THE ACCUSED PRODUCTS MEET THE
2	LIMITATION OF SELECTING A SOMETHING IN A
3	PLURALITY OF BOXES. SO, AGAIN, I'M NOT SEEING IT.
4	MR. DEFRANCO: MY TIME IS UP. THANK YOU,
5	SIR.
6	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE TIME IS NOW
7	4:20. GO AHEAD, PLEASE, WITH ANY CROSS.
8	CROSS-EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. JACOBS:
10	Q GOOD AFTERNOON, MR. GRAY.
11	A GOOD AFTERNOON.
12	Q NOW, YOUR TESTIMONY ON THE SUBJECT OF
13	INVALIDITY WAS PREMISED ON THE IDEA OF
14	ANTICIPATION; CORRECT, SIR?
15	A THAT'S CORRECT.
16	Q AND ANTICIPATION IS ALL YOU SPOKE TO; CORRECT?
17	A THAT'S CORRECT.
18	Q AND ANTICIPATION REQUIRES THAT EVERY ELEMENT,
19	THE JURY HAS HEARD THIS MANTRA, EVERY ELEMENT OF
20	THE CLAIM BE PRESENT IN THE PROPOSED INVALIDATING
21	REFERENCE; CORRECT, SIR?
22	A THAT'S CORRECT.
23	Q AND SO IF THE JURY
24	A WELL, WITH A POSSIBLE EXCEPTION THERE. IT IS
25	EITHER IT IS EITHER COVERED OR IS INHERENTLY IN

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page43 of 44 2925
1	THE REFERENCE.
2	Q AND SO IF THE JURY FINDS THAT IN WHEN IT
3	COMES TO INVALIDITY, IF ANY ELEMENT OF THE CLAIM IS
4	NOT PRESENT IN THE PROPOSED INVALIDATING REFERENCE,
5	THEN YOUR OPINION SHOULD BE REJECTED; CORRECT, SIR?
б	A AGAIN, WITH THE PROVISO THAT IF IT IS AN
7	ELEMENT THAT IS INHERENT OR IMPLIED, THAT'S MY
8	UNDERSTANDING.
9	Q OTHERWISE YOU AGREE WITH ME, YOUR OPINION
10	RISES AND FALLS ON THE IDEA OF THERE'S NO CLOSE
11	HERE, YOU EITHER GOT IT, EVERY ELEMENT IS PRESENT,
12	OR YOU DON'T. CORRECT, SIR?
13	A EITHER EVERY ELEMENT IS PRESENT OR IT IS
14	INHERENT AS IS REQUIRED.
15	Q NOW, I LISTENED CAREFULLY TO THE ANSWER TO THE

15 Q NOW, I LISTENED CAREFULLY TO THE ANSWER TO THE 16 QUESTION ABOUT YOUR ROLE IN LITIGATION SUPPORT OVER 17 THE LAST COUPLE YEARS, AND YOU SAID YOU SPENT SOME 18 TIME DOING LITIGATION SUPPORT.

19 WHAT DID YOU MEAN BY "SOME TIME," SIR?
20 A WELL, ACTUALLY SINCE, STARTING IN 1984, I DID
21 SOME LITIGATION SUPPORT, AND THROUGHOUT MY CAREER
22 AS AN ENGINEER, I PERIODICALLY DID LITIGATION
23 SUPPORT ASSIGNMENTS. SO IT'S BEEN OVER A LONG
24 TIME, SINCE 1984.

25 Q BUT OVER THE LAST COUPLE OF YEARS, ALMOST ALL

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-9 Filed11/09/12 Page44 of 44
1	
2	
3	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTERS
4	
5	
6	WE, THE UNDERSIGNED OFFICIAL COURT
7	REPORTERS OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
8	THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, 280 SOUTH
9	FIRST STREET, SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA, DO HEREBY
10	CERTIFY:
11	THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT,
12	CERTIFICATE INCLUSIVE, CONSTITUTES A TRUE, FULL AND
13	CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF OUR SHORTHAND NOTES TAKEN AS
14	SUCH OFFICIAL COURT REPORTERS OF THE PROCEEDINGS
15	HEREINBEFORE ENTITLED AND REDUCED BY COMPUTER-AIDED
16	TRANSCRIPTION TO THE BEST OF OUR ABILITY.
17	
18	/S/
19	LEE-ANNE SHORTRIDGE, CSR, CRR
20	CERTIFICATE NUMBER 9595
21	/S/
22	IRENE RODRIGUEZ, CSR, CRR
23	CERTIFICATE NUMBER 8074
24	
25	DATED: AUGUST 15, 2012