## Estrich Declaration Exhibit 2

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
3	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
4			
5			
6	APPLE INC., A CALIFORNIA ) C-11-01846 LHK CORPORATION,		
7	) SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA PLAINTIFF, )		
8	) JULY 31, 2012 VS.		
9	) VOLUME 2 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., )		
10	LTD., A KOREAN BUSINESS ) PAGES 283-555 ENTITY; SAMSUNG )		
11	ELECTRONICS AMERICA, ) INC., A NEW YORK )		
12	CORPORATION; SAMSUNG ) TELECOMMUNICATIONS )		
13	AMERICA, LLC, A DELAWARE ) LIMITED LIABILITY )		
	COMPANY,		
14	DEFENDANTS. )		
15			
16	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE LUCY H. KOH		
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
18			
19			
20	APPEARANCES ON NEXT PAGE		
21			
22			
23	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER: LEE-ANNE SHORTRIDGE, CSR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 9595		
24	CERITETCATE NUMBER 9595		
25			

1	APPEARANCE	s:
2		MORRISON & FOERSTER BY: HAROLD J. MCELHINNY MICHAEL A. JACOBS
3		
4		RACHEL KREVANS 425 MARKET STREET
5		SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105
6		WILMER, CUTLER, PICKERING,
7	APPLE:	HALE AND DORR BY: WILLIAM F. LEE
8		60 STATE STREET BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02109
9		BY: MARK D. SELWYN
10		950 PAGE MILL ROAD PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA 94304
11	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	QUINN, EMANUEL, URQUHART, OLIVER & HEDGES
12		BY: CHARLES K. VERHOEVEN 50 CALIFORNIA STREET, 22ND FLOOR
13		SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111
14		BY: VICTORIA F. MAROULIS KEVIN P.B. JOHNSON
15		555 TWIN DOLPHIN DRIVE SUITE 560
16		REDWOOD SHORES, CALIFORNIA 94065
17		BY: MICHAEL T. ZELLER WILLIAM C. PRICE
18		JOHN B. QUINN 865 SOUTH FIGUEROA STREET
19		10TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017
20		LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS OPENING STATEMENT BY MR. MCELHINNY P. 304 OPENING STATEMENT BY MR. LEE P. 353 OPENING STATEMENT BY MR. VERHOEVEN P. 380 INDEX OF WITNESSES PLAINTIFF'S CHRISTOPHER STRINGER DIRECT EXAM BY MR. MCELHINNY P. 469 P. 511 CROSS-EXAM BY MR. VERHOEVEN REDIRECT EXAM BY MR. MCELHINNY P. 537 PHILIP SCHILLER DIRECT EXAM BY MR. MCELHINNY P. 541 

1 TELEVISION, A MICROWAVE, ALL INTO THE SAME OUTLET 2 BECAUSE THERE'S A STANDARD. NOW, IN WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS THAT WE 3 BEGAN TALKING TO YOU ABOUT YESTERDAY AND WE'RE 4 GOING TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT IN THE NEXT COUPLE OF 5 6 WEEKS, THERE ARE STANDARDS, TOO, STANDARDS THAT 7 ALLOW PHONES TO CONNECT TO ONE ANOTHER, STANDARDS 8 THAT ALLOW PHONES TO CONNECT OVER A WIRELESS 9 NETWORK. 10 SO WHO SETS THESE STANDARDS? 11 THE EVIDENCE WILL PROVE THAT ONE STANDARD 12 ORGANIZATION IS SOMETHING CALLED ETSI, THE EUROPEAN 13 TELECOMMUNICATIONS STANDARDS INSTITUTE. IT'S A BIG DEAL STANDARDS BODY. IT HELPED DEVELOP SOME OF THE 14 15 MOST POPULAR STANDARDS FOR WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS 16 IN THE WORLD. 17 SAMSUNG IS A MEMBER, AND HAS BEEN. 18 APPLE IS A MEMBER. 19 MOST IMPORTANTLY, THE EVIDENCE WILL

ESTABLISH THAT THE MEMBERS AGREE TO A SET OF RULES, RULES THAT THEY WILL ALL LIVE BY, RULES THAT THEY WILL ABIDE BY, RULES THAT ARE DESIGNED TO ENSURE THAT PEOPLE ACT FAIRLY AND SOUARELY.

20

21

22

23

24

25

NOW, ETSI HELPED DEVELOP A STANDARD YOU WILL LEARN CALLED UMTS. UMTS IS SOMETIMES REFERRED

TO, AND YOU MAY HAVE SEEN IT, AS 3GPP. FOR OUR 1 2 PURPOSES, THEY ARE CLOSE TO THE SAME. 3 ENGINEERS FROM DIFFERENT COMPANIES CAME 4 TOGETHER. THEY DISCUSSED DIFFERENT TECHNICAL 5 PROPOSALS. THEY DECIDED WHAT TO INCLUDE IN THE 6 STANDARD, WHAT WAS GOING TO BE THEIR EQUIVALENT OF 7 THE SOCKET. 8 AND THE GOAL WAS TO COME UP WITH A 9 STANDARD THAT EVERYBODY COULD USE TOGETHER AND IT 10 WOULD PROMOTE COMPETITION. 11 NOW, SAMSUNG CLAIMS THAT THE TWO PATENTS, THE '941 AND THE '516, ARE ESSENTIAL TO USING UMTS. 12 13 SAMSUNG IS GOING TO TELL YOU IN JUST A FEW MINUTES 14 THAT IF YOU USE UMTS, YOU USE THESE PATENTS AND YOU 15 INFRINGE. 16 WELL, WHAT'S THE EVIDENCE GOING TO SHOW 17 YOU ABOUT THIS ARGUMENT? 18 FIRST, THE EVIDENCE IS GOING TO SHOW THAT "DECLARED ESSENTIAL" MEANS SIMPLY THAT SAMSUNG HAS 19 20 SAID SO. 21 THE EVIDENCE WILL ESTABLISH THAT NO ONE, 22 NOT ETSI, NOT ANYONE ELSE, HAS EVER DECIDED THAT 23 THAT'S, IN FACT, TRUE. 24 YOU WILL BE THE FIRST PEOPLE TO DECIDE 25 WHETHER SAMSUNG'S STATEMENT THAT ITS PATENTS WERE

1 ESSENTIAL IS TRUE. 2 WE WILL CALL PROFESSORS EDWARD KNIGHTLY 3 FROM RICE AND PROFESSOR HYONG KIM FROM CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY, TWO VERY WELL KNOWN AND 4 5 REPUTABLE COMPUTER SCIENTISTS AND ENGINEERS, AND 6 THEY WILL EXPLAIN TO YOU THAT THEY HAVE LOOKED AT 7 SAMSUNG'S PATENTS AND THEY ARE, IN FACT, NOT 8 ESSENTIAL TO UMTS, THAT, IN FACT, APPLE DOES NOT 9 USE THEM. 10 BUT SECOND, YOU WILL ALSO LEARN THAT THE 11 ENTIRE UMTS STANDARD IS THOUSANDS OF PAGES LONG. 12 IF I HAD IT IN THIS COURTROOM, IT WOULD GO FROM 13 HERE TO THAT WALL AND PROBABLY BACK AGAIN. 14 OUT OF THAT ENTIRE SPECIFICATION, YOU 15 WILL LEARN, THESE TWO PATENTS, EVEN UNDER SAMSUNG'S 16 CONTENTIONS, RELATE TO TWO PAGES. 17 NOW, I'D LIKE TO SHOW YOU JUST WHAT IT IS 18 IN THE IPHONE THAT SAMSUNG SAYS INFRINGES THESE TWO 19 PATENTS THAT IS ESSENTIAL TO WIRELESS 20 COMMUNICATIONS. 21 I HAVE ANOTHER IPHONE. MR. MCELHINNY HAD 22 ONE. I'VE TAKEN OFF THE BACK AND WHAT YOU'LL 23 SEE -- YOU'LL SEE SEVERAL OF THESE DURING THE 24 COURSE OF THE TRIAL -- ARE THE INSIDES.

BUT UNDERNEATH THE BLACK THAT I'M SHOWING

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

YOU NOW IS A HOST OF A COMPUTER CHIP AND THE CONNECTIONS AND THE COMPONENTS THAT MAKE THE IPHONE THE MAGICAL DEVICE THAT IT IS (INDICATING).

IF I WERE TO PULL OFF THIS BLACK, YOU CAN SEE AT THE TOP RIGHT HERE, IF I WERE TO PULL THAT OFF, YOU WOULD GET WHAT'S CALLED THE MOTHERBOARD (INDICATING).

AND YOU CAN SEE ON THE MOTHERBOARD A SERIES OF CHIPS, A SERIES OF CONNECTIONS, ALL OF WHICH CONTRIBUTE TO WHAT MR. MCELHINNY DESCRIBED TO YOU.

NOW, YOU CAN'T SEE IT NOW -- WE'LL PASS THIS TO YOU WHEN IT GOES INTO EVIDENCE -- BUT I HAVE TWO LITTLE DOTS HERE, AND RIGHT ABOVE THOSE TWO LITTLE DOTS, I'M PUTTING MY FINGER ON THIS LITTLE SQUARE HERE, NOT EVEN AS BIG AS MY FINGER.

WHAT IS THAT? THAT'S THE BASEBAND PROCESSOR. THAT IS SOMETHING THAT APPLE BUYS FROM INTEL. IT'S SOMETHING THAT INTEL MAKES FOR APPLE AND A HOST OF OTHER DIFFERENT CUSTOMERS. IT IS THE DEVICE THAT ACTUALLY PERFORMS WHAT SAMSUNG SAYS IS INFRINGING, THE INTEL CHIP.

IT IS A CHIP, IT IS A CHIP THAT APPLE BUYS FOR AROUND \$10 APIECE, AND I'D ASK YOU TO KEEP THAT IN MIND BECAUSE I'M GOING TO COME BACK TO THE

1 AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT SAMSUNG IS ASKING ON THESE TWO 2 PATENTS. 3 NOW, I EXPECT THAT IN A FEW MINUTES, I'M SURE IN A FEW MINUTES SAMSUNG IS GOING TO GET UP 4 5 AND SAY, "WE PLAYED AN IMPORTANT ROLE IN DEVELOPING 6 THIS CELLULAR TELECOMMUNICATION SYSTEM. THESE 7 PATENTS THAT ARE IN THIS BASEBAND PROCESSOR YOU BUY 8 FROM INTEL WERE OURS AND CRITICAL TO THE 9 INFORMATION HIGHWAY." 10 WELL, LET ME SHOW YOU WHAT ONE OF THE 11 HEADS OF SAMSUNG'S LICENSING DEPARTMENT, A GENTLEMAN NAMED DR. AHN, TESTIFIED UNDER OATH ON 12 13 THIS VERY ISSUE. 14 DR. AHN REPORTS DIRECTLY TO THE CHIEF 15 EXECUTIVE OFFICER OF SAMSUNG AND HERE'S WHAT HE 16 SAID. 17 "DO YOU HAVE ANY KNOWLEDGE AS TO WHETHER OR NOT SAMSUNG PLAYED AN IMPORTANT ROLE IN 18 19 DEVELOPING THE CELLULAR TELECOMMUNICATION SYSTEM? "ANSWER: NO, I DO NOT REALLY KNOW." 20 21 THE DIRECT REPORT TO THE CEO WAS ASKED 22 THAT QUESTION WHEN THIS CASE BEGAN AND THE ANSWER 23 WAS I DON'T KNOW. 24 NOW, WHAT YOU'RE GOING TO LEARN IS THAT 25 SAMSUNG DID PARTICIPATE IN THIS RULE-BASED STANDARD

SETTING PROCESS, AND WHAT YOU'RE GOING TO LEARN IS 1 THAT IN DOING SO, SAMSUNG ACTUALLY BROKE THE RULES, 2 3 THE RULES THAT WERE ADOPTED TO ENSURE THE STANDARD 4 PROCESS WAS FAIR AND SQUARE. 5 NOW, WHAT WERE THOSE RULES? THERE WERE 6 TWO. 7 THE FIRST RULE IS THE RULE THAT REQUIRES EVERYONE WHO HAS A PATENT THAT THEY SAY MIGHT BE 8 9 ESSENTIAL TO THE STANDARD TO DISCLOSE IT. 10 WHAT WILL THE EVIDENCE SHOW IS THE 11 REASON? THINK ABOUT MY SIMPLE EXAMPLE OF THE PLUG, 12 OF THE WALL SOCKET. 13 IF I HAD A PATENT APPLICATION, A SECRET 14 PATENT APPLICATION LIKE THE VIDEO TOLD YOU ON A 15 WALL SOCKET, AND I THEN WENT TO THE STANDARD AND 16 SAID, "LET'S ALL GET TOGETHER, THE TEN OF US, AND 17 ADOPT AS A STANDARD THIS PARTICULAR CONFIGURATION," AND WE ALL GOT TOGETHER, THE TEN OF US, AND WE 18 19 ADOPTED THIS CONFIGURATION, AND THEN EVERYBODY IN 20 THE WORLD MADE THEIR WALL SOCKETS THAT WAY, AND 21 THEN A FEW YEARS LATER, I SAID, "I FORGOT TO TELL 22 YOU. I HAVE A PATENT AND NOW YOU ALL HAVE TO PAY 23 ME." 24 WELL, ETSI HAS RULES TO PREVENT JUST

THAT, AND YOU WILL SEE RULE 4.1, AND I'M GOING TO

25

1 FOCUS YOU JUST ON THE SECOND SENTENCE WHICH SAYS, 2 "IN PARTICULAR, A MEMBER SUBMITTING A TECHNICAL 3 PROPOSAL FOR A STANDARD SHALL, ON A BONA FIDE BASIS, DRAW THE ATTENTION OF ETSI TO ANY OF THAT 4 5 MEMBER'S IPR WHICH MIGHT BE ESSENTIAL IF THAT 6 PROPOSAL IS ADOPTED." 7 THREE THINGS YOU'LL LEARN ABOUT THAT VERY IMPORTANT SIMPLE SENTENCE. THE FIRST IS IPR MEANS 8 9 PATENTS AND PATENT APPLICATIONS, INTELLECTUAL 10 PROPERTY RIGHTS. IT INCLUDES BOTH. 11 THE SECOND IS IT SAYS IF THAT MIGHT BE 12 ESSENTIAL, AND THE THIRD IS IT SAYS IF THE PROPOSAL 13 IS ADOPTED. 14 SO IT MEANS BEFORE THE FOLKS HAVE SETTLED 15 ON THE WALL SOCKET. 16 THE EVIDENCE WILL DEMONSTRATE THAT 17 SAMSUNG IGNORED THIS RULE. IT FILED PATENT 18 APPLICATIONS WHICH WERE KEPT SECRET. 19 IT THEN WENT TO STANDARDS BODY AND MADE 20 PROPOSALS THAT IT THOUGHT WERE COVERED BY ITS 21 PATENTS. IT SAT IN THE ROOM WHEN THE CHAIR SAID, 22 "IF YOU HAVE PATENTS OR PATENT APPLICATIONS, 23 DISCLOSE THEM, " AND THEY DID NOT. 24 THE CHRONOLOGY WILL BE UNDISPUTED -- I'M 25 GOING TO PUT IT ON THE SCREEN NOW -- FOR BOTH OF

1 ALREADY HAS THE PERSPECTIVE SET UP AND THE VIEWS IN 2 A WAY THAT YOU CAN SORT OF ADD IN LAVISH DETAIL 3 UPON THEM. AND WHAT DO THE SKETCHES ON THIS PARTICULAR 4 5 DOCUMENT, PX 163, TO WHAT DO THEY RELATE? 6 A THESE ARE SKETCHES OF IPHONE IDEAS. 7 MR. MCELHINNY: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD MOVE PX 163, PLEASE. 8 9 MR. VERHOEVEN: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. 10 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO ADMITTED. 11 (WHEREUPON, PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT NUMBER 12 163, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY MARKED FOR 13 IDENTIFICATION, WAS ADMITTED INTO 14 EVIDENCE.) 15 BY MR. MCELHINNY: 16 SIR, IF YOU'D OPEN YOUR BINDER TO PX 164. 17 A YES, I SEE IT. 18 0 WHAT IS PX 164? 19 THE FIRST PAGE IS A SCREEN SHOT OF A 20 DIRECTORY, WHICH IS THE CAD FILE DATABASE. IT 21 LISTS DATE MODIFIED, WHICH MEANS THE LAST DATE THAT 22 YOU WORKED ON THIS PARTICULAR FILE. 23 AND ALSO A CODE NAME, A THREAD. 24 BASICALLY, THAT HELPS YOU FIND IT IN THE DATABASE. 25 SUBSEQUENT PAGES ARE SCREEN SHOTS OF

- 1 THESE CAD MODELS.
- 2 Q YOU MENTIONED IN AN EARLIER ANSWER, YOU SAID
- 3 | SOMETHING ABOUT A CAD OUTPUT. IS THIS WHAT YOU
- 4 WERE REFERRING TO AS A CAD OUTPUT?
- 5 A YES. THERE ARE VARYING DEGREES OF
- 6 SOPHISTICATION. THIS IS A FAIRLY CRUDE SET OF WHAT
- 7 I WOULD CALL CAD OUTPUT.
- 8 Q CAN YOU TELL, BY LOOKING AT THE DIRECTORY, THE
- 9 DATE OF THESE DRAWINGS?
- 10 A MARCH 15TH, 2006.
- 11 Q AND, SIR, DO YOU -- DO YOU WORK WITH THE --
- 12 LET ME ASK YOU THIS: DO YOU PERSONALLY OPERATE THE
- 13 CAD SYSTEM?
- 14 A NO, I DO NOT.
- 15 | O WHO DOES THE CAD DRAWINGS AT APPLE?
- 16 A WE HAVE A DEDICATED TEAM OF CAD SCULPTORS.
- 17 THERE ARE A FEW DESIGNERS THAT ARE CAPABLE OF
- 18 CREATING CAD THEMSELVES, BUT IT'S NOT A
- 19 REQUIREMENT. IN FACT, MOST OF US DON'T.
- 20 IT'S -- IT REALLY IS A SKILL THAT YOU
- 21 NEED TO DEDICATE SIGNIFICANT TIME TO JUST TO
- 22 UNDERSTAND THE CRAFT OF CAD.
- 23 | SO WE PREFER OUR DESIGNERS TO BE
- 24 THINKING, SO WE HAVE A DEDICATED TEAM FOR THIS.
- 25 MR. MCELHINNY: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD MOVE

```
1
      PX 164 INTO EVIDENCE.
2
                MR. VERHOEVEN: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
3
                THE COURT: SO ADMITTED.
                 (WHEREUPON, PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT NUMBER
4
5
                 164, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY MARKED FOR
6
                 IDENTIFICATION, WAS ADMITTED INTO
7
                 EVIDENCE.)
      BY MR. MCELHINNY:
8
9
          SO AFTER CAD MODELS, WHAT COMES NEXT, SIR, IN
10
      A DESIGN PROCESS, ASSUMING THAT YOU WERE GOING
11
      LINEARLY AS OPPOSED TO JUMPING BACKWARDS?
12
      A IF WE FIND THAT WE AGREE, THAT WE WANT TO
13
      PURSUE AN IDEA THAT WE SEE IN THE CAD SURFACES IN
14
      PHYSICAL FORM, WE MODEL IT, WHICH IS TO CNC,
15
      COMPUTER NUMERICALLY CONTROLLED.
16
                THAT IS THREE OR FIVE MACHINING, WHICH
17
      ESSENTIALLY CUTS FROM A SOLID BLOCK A PHYSICAL
18
      LIKENESS OF WHAT WE BUILD IN CAD.
19
      Q THIS PROCESS THAT YOU'VE DESCRIBED FOR US, IS
20
      THAT AN ACCURATE DESCRIPTION OF THE PROCESS THAT
21
      LED TO THE ORIGINAL IPHONE?
22
      A YES.
      Q AND IS IT AN ACCURATE DESCRIPTION OF THE
23
24
      PROCESS THAT LED TO THE IPAD?
25
      A YES.
```

1 LET'S TALK ABOUT THE IPHONE FOR A MINUTE. WHAT -- IF YOU CAN DESCRIBE IT FOR US, 2 3 WHAT, IF ANYTHING, WERE YOU TRYING TO ACHIEVE IN DESIGNING THE IPHONE? 4 5 WE -- WE WERE LOOKING FOR A NEW, ORIGINAL, AND 6 BEAUTIFUL OBJECT, SOMETHING THAT WOULD REALLY WOW 7 THE WORLD. WE WERE ENTERING A CATEGORY THAT WE'D 8 9 NEVER PARTICIPATED IN BEFORE, AND THE CATEGORY THAT 10 WE DID NOT ENJOY. THERE WERE NO CELL PHONES THAT 11 WE LOVED. 12 SO THIS WAS SOMETHING THAT WE REALLY 13 CARED DEEPLY AND PASSIONATELY ABOUT, PRODUCING 14 SOMETHING FOR OURSELVES. WE -- AS ALWAYS, WE WANTED TO CREATE 15 16 SOMETHING THAT SEEMED SO, SO WONDERFUL THAT YOU, 17 YOU CAN'T IMAGINE HOW YOU COULD FOLLOW IT. 18 OF COURSE, YOU CAN BECAUSE IF YOU LOOK AT 19 THE HISTORY OF OUR PRODUCTS, WE'VE DONE THAT TIME 20 AND TIME AGAIN. 21 BUT YOU WANT TO CREATE THE SIMPLEST, 22 PUREST MANIFESTATION OF WHAT THAT OBJECT CAN BE, 23 SOMETHING THAT PEOPLE CAN LOVE. 24 Q DO YOU RECALL APPROXIMATELY HOW LONG YOU 25 WORKED ON THE DESIGN OF THE IPHONE?

- 1 A I HAVE NO IDEA. I'D HAVE TO LOOK AT
- 2 DOCUMENTATION FOR THIS. BUT IT WAS A LONG TIME.
- 3 Q YEARS?
- 4 A I THINK SO.
- 5 Q LET ME SHOW YOU PX 165.
- 6 MAY I APPROACH, YOUR HONOR?
- 7 THE COURT: YES.
- 8 MR. MCELHINNY: (HANDING).
- 9 THE WITNESS: THANK YOU.
- 10 BY MR. MCELHINNY:
- 11 Q WHAT IS PX 165, SIR?
- 12 A THIS IS ONE OF THE EARLY MODELS THAT WE BUILT
- ON M68, WHICH WAS A CODE NAME THAT WE USED FOR THE
- 14 ORIGINAL IPHONE.
- 15 O I'M SORRY. THAT'S M68?
- 16 A THAT'S CORRECT.
- 17 Q WHEN YOU SAY "WE BUILT THIS MODEL," WHO ARE
- 18 YOU TALKING ABOUT?
- 19 A "WE" BEING THE INDUSTRIAL DESIGN GROUP, WHICH
- 20 WOULD INCLUDE THE CAD AND THE MODEL MAKING TEAMS.
- 21 Q AND IS THIS MODEL THAT YOU'RE LOOKING AT, WAS
- 22 THIS ORIGINAL APPLE WORK?
- A ABSOLUTELY, YES.
- MR. MCELHINNY: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD MOVE
- 25 EXHIBIT PX 165 INTO EVIDENCE.

```
MR. VERHOEVEN: I HAVE A POINT OF
1
2
      QUESTION.
3
                 CAN I CONFER WITH COUNSEL?
                 THE COURT: YES, GO AHEAD, PLEASE.
4
5
                 (DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD BETWEEN
6
      COUNSEL.)
7
                 MR. VERHOEVEN: SUBJECT TO YOUR HONOR'S
8
      RULING, YOUR HONOR'S ALREADY RULED ON THESE
9
      DOCUMENTS -- I DON'T KNOW IF WE CAN HAVE A
10
      SIDE-BAR, YOUR HONOR. I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE I
11
      DON'T WAIVE ANYTHING.
                THE COURT: OKAY. I THOUGHT THIS WAS
12
13
      WORKED OUT BEFORE THE JURY CAME OUT.
14
                LET'S DO THAT. UNFORTUNATELY, THE
15
      MICROPHONE IS NOT WORKING, SO WE'LL HAVE TO
16
      MEMORIALIZE IT AFTER.
17
                LET'S GO AHEAD.
18
                 MR. VERHOEVEN: THAT'S OKAY.
19
                 (SIDE-BAR DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD.)
20
                 THE COURT: OKAY. THE -- I UNDERSTAND
21
      THAT THE SAME OBJECTION WAS RESERVED, BUT IT'S
22
      OVERRULED.
23
                 THIS IS ADMITTED.
24
                 (WHEREUPON, PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT NUMBER
25
                 165, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY MARKED FOR
```

```
1
                 IDENTIFICATION, WAS ADMITTED INTO
2
                EVIDENCE.)
                THE COURT: GO AHEAD.
3
                MR. MCELHINNY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
4
5
      MAY I PUBLISH THIS TO THE JURY?
6
                THE COURT: GO AHEAD.
7
                (PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)
      BY MR. MCELHINNY:
8
9
          MR. STRINGER, LET ME SHOW YOU AN EXHIBIT
10
      THAT'S BEEN MARKED AS PX 166 (HANDING).
11
      A YES.
      Q WHAT IS THAT DEVICE, SIR?
12
13
      A THIS IS ALSO AN EARLY IPHONE MODEL, ALSO UNDER
14
      ITSELF CODE NAME M68.
15
      O IS IT THE SAME OR DIFFERENT THAN THE 165?
16
     A SIMILAR, BUT DIFFERENT.
17
      Q OKAY. AND WHAT DOES IT SAY ON THE BACK OF IT,
18
      SIR?
      A APPLE PROTO 1015 -- OH, IPOD.
19
20
          AND WHY DOES IT SAY IPOD ON A PROTOTYPE OF AN
21
      IPHONE, SIR?
22
          ONE OF TWO REASONS. EITHER WE HAD NOT YET
23
      COINED THE TERM "IPHONE" AND WE WANTED TO SEE
24
      SOMETHING GRAPHICALLY REPRESENTED ON THE BACK; OR
25
      WE WERE TRYING TO DISGUISE ITS IPHONE IDENTITY.
```

1		
2		
3		
4	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	
5		
6		
7		
8	I, THE UNDERSIGNED OFFICIAL COURT	
9	REPORTER OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR	
LO	THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, 280 SOUTH	
L1	FIRST STREET, SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA, DO HEREBY	
L2	CERTIFY:	
L3	THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT,	
L4	CERTIFICATE INCLUSIVE, CONSTITUTES A TRUE, FULL AND	
L5	CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF MY SHORTHAND NOTES TAKEN AS	
L6	SUCH OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER OF THE PROCEEDINGS	
L7	HEREINBEFORE ENTITLED AND REDUCED BY COMPUTER-AIDED	
L8	TRANSCRIPTION TO THE BEST OF MY ABILITY.	
L9		
20		
21	/S/	
22	LEE-ANNE SHORTRIDGE, CSR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 9595	
23	CERTIFICATE NUMBER 9393	
24	DATED: JULY 31, 2012	
25		