Estrich Declaration Exhibit 10

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2126-10 Filed11/09/12 Page2 of 12 2966

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
3	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
4			
5			
6	APPLE INC., A CALIFORNIA) C-11-01846 LHK CORPORATION,)		
7) SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA PLAINTIFF,)		
8) AUGUST 16, 2012 VS.		
9) VOLUME 10 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO.,		
10	LTD., A KOREAN BUSINESS) PAGES 2966-3386 ENTITY; SAMSUNG)		
11	ELECTRONICS AMERICA,) INC., A NEW YORK)		
12	CORPORATION; SAMSUNG) TELECOMMUNICATIONS)		
13	AMERICA, LLC, A DELAWARE) LIMITED LIABILITY)		
14	COMPANY,)		
15	DEFENDANTS.)		
16	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS		
17	BEFORE THE HONORABLE LUCY H. KOH UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
18			
19			
20	APPEARANCES ON NEXT PAGE		
21			
22			
23	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER: LEE-ANNE SHORTRIDGE, CSR, CRR		
24	CERTIFICATE NUMBER 9595 IRENE RODRIGUEZ, CSR, CRR		
25	CERTIFICATE NUMBER 8074		

1	A P P E A R A N C E	s:		
2		MORRISON & FOERSTER		
3		BY: HAROLD J. MCELHINNY MICHAEL A. JACOBS		
4		RACHEL KREVANS 425 MARKET STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105		
5		SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105		
6		WILMER, CUTLER, PICKERING, HALE AND DORR		
7	APPLE.	BY: WILLIAM F. LEE 60 STATE STREET		
8		BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02109		
9		BY: MARK D. SELWYN 950 PAGE MILL ROAD		
10		PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA 94304		
11	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	QUINN, EMANUEL, URQUHART, OLIVER & HEDGES		
12		BY: CHARLES K. VERHOEVEN 50 CALIFORNIA STREET, 22ND FLOOR		
13		SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111		
14		BY: VICTORIA F. MAROULIS KEVIN P.B. JOHNSON		
15		555 TWIN DOLPHIN DRIVE SUITE 560		
16		REDWOOD SHORES, CALIFORNIA 94065		
17		BY: MICHAEL T. ZELLER WILLIAM C. PRICE		
18		865 SOUTH FIGUEROA STREET 10TH FLOOR		
19		LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017		
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1	INDEX OF WITNESSES		
2	DEFENDANT'S		
3	TIMOTHY SHEPPARD	- 0001	
4	DIRECT EXAM BY MR. PRICE CROSS-EXAM BY MR. JACOBS	P. 3001 P. 3012	
5	MICHAEL WAGNER		
6	DIRECT EXAM BY MR. PRICE CROSS-EXAM BY MR. JACOBS		
7	REDIRECT EXAM BY MR. PRICE	P. 3073	
,	RAMAMIRTHAM SUKUMAR		
8	DIRECT EXAM BY MS. MAROULIS	P. 3092 P. 3095	
9	CROSS-EXAM BY MR. SELWYN	P. 3095	
	VINCENT O'BRIEN	- 0101	
10	DIRECT EXAM BY MS. MAROULIS CROSS-EXAM BY MR. SELWYN	P. 3101 P. 3113	
11			
	DAVID TEECE		
12	DIRECT EXAM BY MS. MAROULIS	P. 3123	
13	CROSS-EXAM BY MR. MUELLER	P. 3141	
14	PLAINTIFF'S REBUTTAL:		
15	TONY BLEVINS	P. 3164	
16	DIRECT EXAM BY MR. LEE	P. 3164	
10	EMILIE KIM		
17	DIRECT EXAM BY MR. SELWYN	P. 3173	
Ι,	CROSS-EXAM BY MR. JOHNSON	P. 3175	
18	CROSS EMIN DI MR. COMMOON	1. 3103	
	PAUL DOURISH		
19	DIRECT EXAM BY MR. SELWYN	P. 3188	
20	TONY GIVARGIS		
20	DIRECT EXAM BY MR. SELWYN	P. 3220	
21		1. 3220	
	MANI SRIVASTAVA		
22		P. 3287	
0.0	CROSS-EXAM BY MR. JOHNSON	P. 3317	
23	REDIRECT EXAM BY MR. SELWYN	P. 3320	
24	HYONG KIM		
	DIRECT EXAM BY MR. LEE	P. 3322	
25			

BROUGHT INTO THE COMPANY AS A RESULT OF THE 1 2 ALLEGEDLY INFRINGING PRODUCTS, LESS ALL OF THE 3 COSTS IN ORDER TO MAKE THOSE PRODUCTS AND SELL 4 THOSE PRODUCTS. 5 AND YOU SUBTRACT THE COST OF REVENUES AND 6 YOU GET TOTAL PROFITS. 7 NOW, LET'S TALK AND FOCUS ON THEIR TOTAL COST AREA, AND IF WE COULD PUT UP 3965.005. 8 9 AND PERHAPS YOU CAN EXPLAIN TO US WHAT 10 YOU INCLUDE IN TOTAL COSTS? 11 A THE COSTS THAT I BELIEVE ARE APPROPRIATE ARE 12 THE COST OF GOODS SOLD, WHICH ARE THE COSTS TO 13 ACTUALLY MANUFACTURE THE INFRINGING OR ALLEGEDLY 14 INFRINGING SMARTPHONES AND TABLETS BUT ALSO THE 15 OPERATING EXPENSES THAT ARE NECESSARY TO SELL THOSE 16 PHONES. 17 YOU CAN'T SELL A PHONE JUST BECAUSE YOU'VE MADE IT. YOU HAVE TO MARKET IT, YOU'VE GOT 18 19 TO SELL IT, YOU HAVE TO HAVE DEVELOPED IT 20 ORIGINALLY TO ACTUALLY MAKE IT INTO A PRODUCT, AND 21 THEN YOU HAVE TO HAVE AN UMBRELLA ORGANIZATION THAT 22 ORGANIZES ALL OF THOSE ACTIVITIES. 23 O SO PERHAPS YOU CAN EXPLAIN THEN THE OPERATING 24 EXPENSES THAT YOU HAVE HERE, SALES EXPENSES, 25 MARKING, ET CETERA, SO THE JURY CAN HAVE AN

1 UNDERSTANDING AS TO WHAT THE NATURE IS OF THOSE 2 EXPENSES? 3 A STARTING WITH THE FIRST ONE, SALES EXPENSE, AS YOU JUST HEARD, THERE ARE 40 CARRIERS WHO ARE 4 5 CUSTOMERS FOR THOSE PRODUCTS IN THE UNITED STATES. 6 SAMSUNG HAS TO SEND PEOPLE OUT TO THOSE 7 CARRIERS. THERE ARE ALL KINDS OF COMPETITORS FOR THE LIMITED SALE SPACE IN THE CARRIER STORES. 8 9 SO SAMSUNG HAS TO ACTIVELY GET IN THERE 10 AND TELL THESE CARRIERS WHY THEY SHOULD BE CARRYING 11 THEIR PHONES VERSUS APPLE PHONE OR HTC PHONE OR LG 12 PHONE. SO THOSE ARE SELLING EXPENSES. 13 O IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT IT IS CUSTOMARY 14 THAT SAMSUNG WOULD HAVE AN EMPLOYEE THAT'S DEVOTED 15 TO ONE PHONE, LIKE THE DROID CHARGE? 16 NO. NORMALLY THEY'RE SELLING A WHOLE PRODUCT Α 17 LINE FOR THE COMPANY. 18 Q AND SO HOW ARE EXPENSES THEN ALLOCATED FOR A 19 SALESMAN LIKE THAT? A WELL, IF YOU WANT TO ALLOCATE TO A PARTICULAR 20 21 PRODUCT, YOU'D HAVE TO ALLOCATE THAT TIME BECAUSE 22 THAT SALESPERSON DOESN'T ONLY JUST SELL, SAY, AN 23 EPIC 4G. THEY MAY BE SELLING MANY OF THE OTHER 24 MODELS THAT ARE NOT ACCUSED IN THIS CASE AND YOU 25 HAVE TO ALLOCATE IT BASED ON EITHER TIME OR SOME

1 REASONABLE BASIS LIKE REVENUES.

2 Q AND IF YOU COULD EXPLAIN THE MARKETING AND THE

3 R&D EXPENSES IN GENERAL, AND ADMINISTRATIVE?

4 A MARKETING AND GENERAL, YOU AGAIN HAVE TO GET

CUSTOMERS AWARE THAT YOU HAVE A PRODUCT. IT'S

6 MAINLY TV ADVERTISING, BILLBOARDS, MAGAZINE ADS,

7 THAT TYPE OF INFORMATION.

MARKETPLACE.

AND I'VE BEEN WATCHING THE OLYMPICS, OR I
DID, AND EVERY CITE I WOULD SEE THREE DIFFERENT
SAMSUNG ADS BEING RUN PROMOTING THEIR GALAXY
PHONES. THAT COST MONEY, A LOT OF MONEY. THAT
COST IS NECESSARY TO BE SUCCESSFUL IN THE

AND R&D, YOU HAVE TO DEVELOP THIS VERY

COMPLICATED TECHNOLOGY PRODUCT. THESE ARE THE MOST

COMPLICATED CONSUMER PRODUCTS IN THE MARKETPLACE.

THERE'S ALL KINDS OF EFFORTS TO DEVELOP THE RIGHT

CHIPS, THE RIGHT INTEGRATED CIRCUITS, DETERMINE

WHAT FEATURES GO INTO IT, ALL OF THOSE THINGS TAKE

A LOT OF TIME AND EXPENSE TO DO AND THOSE COSTS ARE

NECESSARY OR YOU'D NEVER SELL A PHONE.

AND, FINALLY, GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE IS
YOU, AGAIN, YOU NEED AN ORGANIZATION THAT CAN
MANAGE ALL OF THESE ACTIVITIES IN ORDER TO SELL A
PHONE.

- 1 Q LET ME ASK YOU, DID MR. MUSIKA, IN HIS
- 2 CALCULATIONS, DEDUCT THESE EXPENSES, SALES,
- 3 MARKETING, R&D?
- 4 A NOT ONE PENNY.
- 5 O SO NOT A PENNY OF ADVERTISING?
- 6 A NO.
- 7 Q NOT A PENNY OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT?
- 8 A NO.
- 9 Q LET ME ASK YOU, YOU'VE SEEN APPLE'S 10-K'S,
- 10 THEIR FINANCIALS?
- 11 A I HAVE.
- 12 Q AND DO THEY DEDUCT THESE EXPENSES ON THEIR
- 13 FINANCIALS?
- 14 A THEY CERTAINLY DO.
- 15 O IF WE COULD LOOK AT EXHIBIT 754.502. 754 IS A
- 16 NUMBER OF, A NUMBER OF FORM 10-K'S, AND I'M GOING
- 17 TO CALL YOUR ATTENTION TO ACTUALLY 754.501, OR 502.
- 18 A THERE'S A REASON I COULDN'T FIND IT. IT WAS
- 19 ON THE FLOOR.
- 20 I'VE GOT IT.
- 21 Q DO YOU SEE THIS IS APPLE'S 10-K FOR THE PERIOD
- 22 ENDING SEPTEMBER 24, 2011?
- A CORRECT.
- MR. PRICE: AND, YOUR HONOR, I'LL MOVE
- 25 PAGE 2 INTO EVIDENCE.

THE COURT: OKAY. NO OBJECTION; RIGHT? 1 2 IT'S ADMITTED. (WHEREUPON, DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT NUMBER 3 754.502, PAGE 2, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY 4 5 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION, WAS ADMITTED 6 INTO EVIDENCE.) 7 BY MR. PRICE: IF WE CAN LOOK AT 754.545, IS THIS APPLE'S 8 9 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS? 10 A IT IS. 11 O AND IF WE CAN BLOW THAT UP. 12 COULD YOU EXPLAIN TO THE JURY WHAT YOU'RE 13 TALKING ABOUT IN DEDUCTING THE OPERATING EXPENSES 14 FROM INCOME TO GET TOTAL PROFIT? 15 A WELL, IT STARTS AT NET SALES, WHICH ARE THE 16 REVENUES, AND THEN IT SUBTRACTS COST OF GOODS SOLD 17 TO GET GROSS MARGIN, AND THAT IS WHAT IS GROSS 18 MARGIN, WHICH MR. MUSIKA CALLED TOTAL PROFIT, WHICH 19 IS NOT TOTAL PROFIT. 20 THEN YOU HAVE THE LINES THE OPERATING 21 EXPENSES WHICH THEY HAVE COLLAPSED INTO TWO GENERAL 22 CATEGORIES, RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, AND THEN 23 SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE, AND YOU 24 SUBTRACT THOSE COSTS AND YOU GET TOTAL OPERATING 25 EXPENSES AND TOTAL OPERATING INCOME.

1 AND THAT IS WHERE YOU GET THE TOTAL 2 PROFITS FROM THEIR OPERATIONS. Q AND IF YOU GO BELOW THAT, IT HAS COME FROM 3 PROVISIONS OR INCOME TAXES. IS APPLE TAXED ON THE, 4 5 THE GROSS MARGIN? 6 A NO. THEY'RE TAXED ON THEIR PROFIT BEFORE 7 TAXES WHICH SUBTRACTS ALL APPROPRIATE EXPENSES. 8 O AND THIS HAS ADDITIONAL SUBTRACTION, OTHER 9 INCOME AND EXPENSE, WHAT IS THAT RELATED TO? 10 A THAT NORMALLY IS INTEREST INCOME OR INTEREST 11 EXPENSE IF THEY BORROWED MONEY IN ORDER TO DO THEIR 12 BUSINESS. 13 O AND YOU ALSO LOOKED AT SAMSUNG'S AUDITED 14 CONSOLIDATED FINANCIALS? A I HAVE. THEY DO EXACTLY THE SAME THING. 15 16 THE COURT: CAN I AND HAVE A QUICK 17 CLARIFICATION. YOU MOVED PAGE 2 INTO EVIDENCE. DO 18 YOU WANT THIS PAGE? 19 MR. PRICE: YES, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: PAGE 2 IS A SUMMARY. 20 21 MR. JACOBS: YOUR HONOR, WE SHOULD HAVE 22 THE WHOLE THING IN. THE COURT: YOU'LL HAVE TO MOVE IT IN 23 24 YOUR CASE. LET ME HEAR WHAT MR. PRICE WANTS. 25 MR. PRICE: YES, PAGE 545.

```
1
                THE COURT: OKAY. THAT'S THE ONLY PAGE,
2
      RIGHT.
3
                MR. PRICE: AND IF WE CAN FIGURE OUT THE
      BATES RANGE OF THE WHOLE DOCUMENT, I HAVE NO
4
5
      OBJECTION.
6
      BY MR. PRICE:
7
      Q NOW, IF YOU'D LOOK AT EXHIBIT 676, WHICH WAS
      JUST ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE, THAT'S THAT
8
9
      SPREADSHEET, DID YOU LOOK AT -- DID YOU LOOK AT A
10
      SPREADSHEET -- THAT WAS JUST TESTIMONY ABOUT
11
      SAMSUNG SPREADSHEET THAT HAD THE, THE INCOME,
      COSTS, ET CETERA, OF THE PRODUCTS THAT ARE AT ISSUE
12
13
      HERE.
      A I DID.
14
15
      O AND DID YOU -- FIRST OF ALL, LET ME ASK YOU,
16
      IS -- YOU'VE READ MR. MUSIKA'S REPORT?
17
      A I HAVE.
18
      Q WAS THIS THE SAME SPREADSHEET THAT HE WAS
19
      USING?
      A HE USED A SIMILAR ONE, BUT THE NUMBERS THAT HE
20
21
      SELECTED HAVE THE SAME NUMBERS THAT THIS ONE HAS.
22
      Q YOU SAID THEY HAVE THE SAME NUMBERS. IS IT
23
      THE SPREADSHEET THAT YOU GET THE NUMBER FOR INCOME
```

25 A FOR REVENUE AND COSTS OF GOODS SOLD.

ON THESE PRODUCTS?

24

1			
2			
3	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTERS		
4			
5			
6			
7	WE, THE UNDERSIGNED OFFICIAL COURT		
8	REPORTERS OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR		
9	THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, 280 SOUTH		
10	FIRST STREET, SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA, DO HEREBY		
11	CERTIFY:		
12	THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT,		
13	CERTIFICATE INCLUSIVE, CONSTITUTES A TRUE, FULL AND		
14	CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF OUR SHORTHAND NOTES TAKEN AS		
15	SUCH OFFICIAL COURT REPORTERS OF THE PROCEEDINGS		
16	HEREINBEFORE ENTITLED AND REDUCED BY COMPUTER-AIDED		
17	TRANSCRIPTION TO THE BEST OF OUR ABILITY.		
18			
19	/S/		
20	LEE-ANNE SHORTRIDGE, CSR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 9595		
21	CERTIFICATE NUMBER 9393		
22	/S/		
23	IRENE RODRIGUEZ, CSR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 8074		
24	CERTIFICATE NUMBER 60/4		
25	DATED: AUGUST 16, 2012		