# **EXHIBIT 1**

```
Page 1
 1
              UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
      NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION
 3
      APPLE INC., a California
                                     )
      corporation,
 5
               Plaintiff,
 6
                                     ) Case No.
         vs.
 7
                                     ) 11-CV-01846-LHK
      SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO.,
      LTD., a Korean business
 8
                                     )
      entity; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
                                     )
 9
      AMERICA, INC., a New York
      corporation; SAMSUNG
10
      TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA,
                                     )
      LLC, a Delaware limited
                                     )
11
      liability company,
12
              Defendants.
13
        HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
14
15
       VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF KARAN SINGH, PH.D.
16
                 Redwood Shores, California
17
                  Thursday, April 26, 2012
18
                          Volume I
19
20
21
     Reported by:
     Danielle de Gracia
    CSR No. 13650
22
    Job No. 143641
23
24
25
     PAGES 1 - 285
```

			Page 2
1	UNITED STATES DISTR	IC!	I COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFOR	NI	A, SAN JOSE DIVISION
3			
4	APPLE INC., a California	)	
	corporation,	)	
5		)	
	Plaintiff,	)	
6		)	
	vs.	)	Case No.
7		)	11-CV-01846-LHK
	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO.,	)	
8	LTD., a Korean business	)	
	entity; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS	)	
9	AMERICA, INC., a New York	)	
	corporation; SAMSUNG	)	
10	TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA,	)	
	LLC, a Delaware limited	)	
11	liability company,	)	
		)	
12	Defendants.	)	
13			
14 15	VIDEOMADED DEDOCIMIO	AT .	- 5 VADAN CINCH DU D
16	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION  Volume I, taken on behalf of		of KARAN SINGH, PH.D.,
10 17	Dolphin Drive, Suite 560, Red		·
18	beginning at 11:03 a.m. and e		,
19	Thursday, April 26, 2012, bef		-
20	Certified Shorthand Reporter		
21	coronina maparati		. 13000.
22			
 23			
24			
25			

# Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2042-2 Filed10/12/12 Page4 of 11

	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	For Plaintiff APPLE, INC.:
4	MORRISON & FOERSTER
5	BY: ANDREW E. MONACH
6	Attorney at Law
7	425 Market Street
8	San Francisco, California 94104
9	415.268.7588
10	Amonach@mofo.com
11	
12	For Defendant SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS, CO., LTD.:
13	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN
14	BY: TODD M. BRIGGS
15	Attorney at Law
16	555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 560
17	Redwood Shores, California 94065
18	650.801.5020
19	Toddbriggrs@quinnemanuel.com
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

# Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2042-2 Filed10/12/12 Page5 of 11

		Page 4
1	APPEARANCES (Continued):	
2		
3	Also Present:	
4	Jeffrey Anderson, Videographer	
5	Patrick T. Schmidt, Esq.	
6	Guy Eddon, Esq.	
7	Alexander Baxter, Esq.	
8	Mark Melahn, Esq.	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
2 4		
25		

	Pag	ge 117
1	mistake?	
2	MR. MONACH: I think so. I'm just trying to	
3	look quickly to see if this is in fact a a Box	
4	3900C	
5	MR. BRIGGS: Oh.	02:58:12
6	MR. MONACH: as opposed to something	
7	else.	
8	BY MR. BRIGGS:	
9	Q Well, what it appears to be is the edge of	
10	the screen. Would you agree with that? 3900C	02:58:34
11	A Yeah, I mean, I I I wouldn't be able	
12	to say so conclusively without actually seeing how	
13	it's how it's how it's specifically defined.	
14	But but I don't know where that would be.	
15	Q Well, let's just call it the edge on the	02:59:01
16	right-hand side of 3900C.	
17	A Okay. Fair enough.	
18	MR. MONACH: Do you want to know what the	
19	patent says about 3900C?	
20	MR. BRIGGS: Sure.	02:59:12
21	MR. MONACH: Okay. It's in column 17. It	
22	says, "The block may be enlarged and centered in the	
23	display as shown in UI3900C which "	
24	MR. BRIGGS: Okay. Let's just call it the	
25	right edge of 3900C.	02:59:25

# Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2042-2 Filed10/12/12 Page7 of 11

	I	Page 118
1	THE WITNESS: Fair enough.	
2	BY MR. BRIGGS:	
3	Q Now, if I moved the right edge of Block 5	
4	all the way over to where it lined up with the right	
5	edge of 3900C	02:59:40
6	A Uh-huh.	
7	Q would Block 5 still be substantially	
8	centered?	
9	A Depending on the depending on the other	
10	considerations. It could depending on the other	02:59:59
11	considerations. I I've	
12	Q It could still be substantially centered?	
13	A Depending on what the other considerations	
14	related to the layout might be, potentially.	
15	It it as I said, to be to answer	03:00:17
16	that question, I'd I'd need you'd need to know	
17	the rationale for it being there. If you know the	
18	rationale for it being there, that gives you	
19	information to answer answer this this	
20	question.	03:00:34
21	The the the concept of of centering	
22	and enlargement and layout of documents, in con	
23	you know, in in the context of a structured	
24	electronic document, as I have given you some	
25	examples, have other considerations. So to be able	03:00:55
I '	VEDITEVT DEDODTING COMBANIX	

	Pa	age 119
1	to answer this particular question, it could be. It	
2	could be substantially centered.	
3	Q So is it your testimony that to know to	
4	know whether a box is substantially centered, you	
5	have to understand the rationale for the placement of	03:01:11
6	the box on that particular user interface?	
7	MR. MONACH: Object to the form of the	
8	question.	
9	THE WITNESS: Without without it sort of	
10	without being a conclusive answer, the the	03:01:33
11	general what substantially centers is teaching you	
12	is is that the the the program should	
13	attempt to to center the document while bearing in	
14	mind the consid the overall intent or the overall	
15	design intent of the patent.	03:02:05
16	And so typically you will see scenarios as a	
17	result. Typically, you will see scenarios mostly	
18	scenarios where the box is is anybody that a	
19	person of ordinary skill in the art, or actually even	
20	a a person, a layman, would tell you that visually	03:02:23
21	it's by and large centered. But there may be	
22	there may be considerations under which it is not the	
23	case.	
24	BY MR. BRIGGS:	
25	Q Dr. Singh, taking into consideration the	03:02:38

	Page 120	
1	design rationales of the patent, of the '163 patent,	
2	if we moved Block 5 all the way over so that the	
3	right-hand edge of Block 5 lined up the right edge of	
4	3900C, would that block be substantially centered?	
5	MR. MONACH: Objection. Asked and answered. 03:03:02	
6	THE WITNESS: I've I believe I've	
7	answered that question. You would you you	
8	would have to give me more information in terms of	
9	the overall layout of the document and the layout of	
10	potentially other other aspects of of of the 03:03:17	
11	interface.	
12	BY MR. BRIGGS:	
13	Q So what other information would you need to	
14	know about Figure 5C to to be able to answer that	
15	question? 03:03:29	
16	MR. MONACH: Objection. Incomplete	
17	hypothetical. Vague.	
18	THE WITNESS: In at at least the	
19	the general block structure the the block	
20	structure of of the rest of the structured 03:03:44	
21	electronic document. So what you see over here is	
22	is is a portion of it. You would need to know at	
23	least that.	
24	BY MR. BRIGGS:	
25	Q And what other block structure are you 03:04:02	

		Page 121
1	referring to?	
2	A Whatever other block structure might exist.	
3	Q And what other block structure exists with	
4	respect to 5C?	
5	A Well, I can't see it in 5C.	03:04:17
6	Q So I think what you're telling me is you	
7	cannot determine whether something is substantially	
8	centered by just looking at a box on a display, is	
9	that correct, you have to look at other	
10	considerations?	03:04:36
11	A I'm saying that, in general, you may be able	
12	to if a box is is subs is is by and large	
13	centered or almost centered. Then by visually	
14	looking at it, you would say that that is mostly	
15	centered or visually centered.	03:04:59
16	There may be special considerations under	
17	which, because of the design rationale of the the	
18	patent, when the when the box might not appear	
19	truly visually centered. And I have given you some	
20	examples of it.	03:05:19
21	That's pretty much what I have to say.	
22	Q Now, looking at Figure 5C, assuming that	
23	Block 5 is in the position that is shown in Figure	
24	5C, in some cases could that be essentially centered	
25	and in other case could that not be substantially	03:05:38

	Page 122
1	centered?
2	MR. MONACH: Objection. Vague and
3	incomplete hypothetical.
4	THE WITNESS: Well, given that one doesn't
5	really have access to additional information, Block 03:05:49
6	5C to me is as it is. Generally, would I would
7	I would call it substantially centered.
8	BY MR. BRIGGS:
9	Q Could you think of any other considerations
10	or any other factors that would make Block 5 not 03:06:04
11	substantially centered as it's shown in Figure 5C?
12	A I have given you some examples already.
13	Q So it's possible that Block 5 could appear
14	like it appears in Figure 5C and not be substantially
15	centered; is that right? 03:06:27
16	MR. MONACH: Objection. Misstates
17	testimony.
18	THE WITNESS: Not the way it's described in
19	the patent.
20	BY MR. BRIGGS: 03:06:33
21	Q So just to finish off this line of
22	questioning, how would how would one of ordinary
23	skill in the art understand whether a block or
24	excuse me.
25	How would one of ordinary skill in the art 03:06:56