EXHIBIT 10

In The Matter Of:

CERTAIN ELECTRONIC DEVICES, INCLUDING WIRELESS COMMUNICATION DEVICES

JUN WON LEE - Vol. 1 March 6, 2012

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION

MERRILL CORPORATION

LegaLink, Inc.

179 Lincoln Street Suite 401 Boston, MA 02110 Phone: 617.542.0039 Fax: 617.542.2119 Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2030-4 Filed10/05/12 Page3 of 14

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION JUN WON LEE - 3/6/2012

Pa	ge	1
UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION		
WASHINGTON, D.C.		
000		
In the Matter of Inv. No. 337-TA-794	:	
CERTAIN ELECTRONIC DEVICES, INCLUDING WIRELESS COMMUNICATION DEVICES, PORTABLE MUSIC AND DATA PROCESSING DEVICES, AND TABLET COMPUTERS		
VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF		
JUN WON LEE		
Tuesday, March 06, 2012		
HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION		
REPORTED BY: RACHEL FERRIER, CSR 6948		
(3-441886)		

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2030-4 Filed10/05/12 Page4 of 14

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION JUN WON LEE - 3/6/2012

		Page 2
1	INDEX OF EXAMINATIONS	
2	EXAMINATION BY	PAGE
3	Mr. Selwyn	6, 33
4	Mr. Weinstein	143
5	EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION	
6	NO. DESCRIPTION	PAGE
7	Exhibit 1 Respondent Apple Inc.'s Third	
8	Notice of Deposition to Complainants Samsung Electronics	
9	Co., LTD. and Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC	16
10	Exhibit 2 U.S. Patent No. 7,706,348 B2	53
11	Exhibit 3 U.S. Patent No. 7,486,644 B2	53
12	Exhibit 4 Re-Amended Defence and	
13	Counterclaim	87
14	Exhibit 5 Document entitled "Gresso Avantgarde Grand Premiere - the timeless value"	92
15	Exhibit 6 (None marked.)	
16		
17	Exhibit 7 ETSI Addendum 1, 29th General Assembly Specially Convened Meeting Nice, 18-19 November	
18	1997	124
19	Exhibit 8 Respondent Apple Inc.'s First Notice of Deposition to	
20	Complainants Samsung Electronics	
21	Co., Ltd., and Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC	135
22	Exhibit 9 Letter dated 4/21/11 to Derek	100
23	Aberle from Yong-Tae Lee	138
24	Exhibit 10 Letter dated 2/2/12 to Derek Aberle from Jae Wan Chi	140
25		

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2030-4 Filed10/05/12 Page5 of 14

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION JUN WON LEE - 3/6/2012

			Page 3
1		EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION	
2	NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
3	Exhibit 11	License Agreement Between Nokia Corporation and Samsung	
4		Electronics Co., Ltd., 6/18/10	141
5		000	
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2030-4 Filed10/05/12 Page6 of 14 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION JUN WON LEE - 3/6/2012

	Page 4
1	BE IT REMEMBERED that, pursuant to the laws
2	governing the taking and use of depositions, on Tuesday,
3	March 06, 2012, commencing at 10:52 a.m. thereof, at
4	Morrison & Forester, 425 Market Street, San Francisco,
5	California 94105, before me, RACHEL FERRIER, a Certified
б	Shorthand Reporter, personally and JUN WON LEE, called
7	as a witness by Respondent, who, being by me first duly
8	sworn, was thereupon examined as a witness in said
9	action.
10	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL
11	For the Complainants SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. and SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC:
12	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
13	BY: MARC K. WEINSTEIN, Attorney at Law NBF Hibiya Bldg, 25F
14	1-1-7 Uchisaiwai-cho Chiyoda-ku
15	Tokyo 100-0011, Japan
16	For the Respondent APPLE, INC.:
17	WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE and DORR BY: MARK D. SELWYN, Attorney at Law
18	950 Page Mill Road
19	Palo Alto, California 94304 Telephone: 650.858.6031
20	Email: mark.selwyn@wilmerhale.com
21	
22	ALSO PRESENT: JEFREE ANDERSON, Videographer
23	JEFF MYUNG, Samsung SUNHEE PAIK, Main Interpreter
24	ALEX JO, Check Interpreter
25	000

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2030-4 Filed10/05/12 Page7 of 14 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION JUN WON LEE - 3/6/2012

	Page 111
1	Q Does it does having the patent prosecution
2	team at ETSI meetings with the engineers also assist
3	Samsung in disclosing its IPR to ETSI as early as
4	possible?
17:02:47 5	MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
б	THE WITNESS: Generally, when a technical
7	contribution is presented in the meetings, the
8	application is to be filed as soon as possible, but the
9	disclosure to ETSI is not made at that time because the
17:04:34 10	standards can be determined two or three years after
11	that. So once the standards are determined, the
12	prosecution team makes a decision as of that time.
13	BY MR. SELWYN:
14	Q How big is Samsung's patent prosecution team?
17:05:01 15	MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection; outside the scope.
16	THE WITNESS: I don't know exactly, but within
17	the entire Samsung Electronics, I believe there are
18	about 200 people.
19	BY MR. SELWYN:
17:05:33 20	Q And those 200 people attend ETSI meetings from
21	time to time; correct?
22	MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection; mischaracterizes
23	prior testimony, lacks foundation, outside the scope.
24	THE WITNESS: Not all of those 200 people
17:06:11 25	attend the meetings because everybody has a different

	Page 112
1	area that they are responsible for, but there are about
2	20 to 30 people who are responsible for the
3	telecommunication standards.
4	BY MR. SELWYN:
17:06:27 5	Q And do those 20 or 30 people who attend the
б	ETSI meetings try to draft patent applications that will
7	cover the standards that are discussed at the ETSI
8	meetings?
9	MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection; vague and ambiguous,
17:07:03 10	lacks foundation, outside the scope.
11	THE WITNESS: They don't personally prepare the
12	draft. They use outside people to draft the
13	application. But there are the people who are
14	responsible for controlling the outside people to make a
17:07:52 15	draft.
16	BY MR. SELWYN:
17	Q And is it their goal to try to draft
18	applications or have applications drafted that will
19	cover the standards that are being discussed at the ETSI
17:08:11 20	meetings?
21	MR. WEINSTEIN: Same objections.
22	THE WITNESS: You can say that.
23	BY MR. SELWYN:
24	Q How does Samsung determine when to disclose its
17:08:54 25	patents or other intellectual property to ETSI?

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2030-4 Filed10/05/12 Page9 of 14 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION JUN WON LEE - 3/6/2012

	Page 113
1	MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection; asked and answered.
2	THE WITNESS: Those 20 to 30 people that I
3	mentioned earlier made the decision.
4	BY MR. SELWYN:
17:09:31 5	Q How do they decide, those 20 to 30 people, the
6	timing of when to disclose pending patent applications,
7	issued patents, or other intellectual property to ETSI?
8	MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection; lacks foundation.
9	THE WITNESS: Those 20 to 30 people continue to
17:10:59 10	monitor the patents that they are handling, but the
11	important thing is that the standards have to be
12	determined, but once the standards are determined, each
13	person who handles the subject patents make a
14	decision makes a decision for the pending patent
17:11:27 15	application or issued patent.
16	BY MR. SELWYN:
17	Q Makes a decision whether to disclose that
18	patent or patent application to ETSI?
19	MR. WEINSTEIN: Same objection.
17:11:51 20	THE WITNESS: To make the decision whether it
21	is essential or not. That is important. Once a patent
22	is determined essential to do so, we would classify them
23	separately from others, and we would collect several of
24	them to disclose as soon as possible.
17:12:53 25	BY MR. SELWYN:

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2030-4 Filed10/05/12 Page10 of 14 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION JUN WON LEE - 3/6/2012

		Page 114
	1	Q How soon
	2	THE INTERPRETER: Interpreter would like to
	3	make a correction: To make the decision whether a
	4	patent is essential or not is important. Once a patent
17:13:31	5	is determined essential, we would like to classify them
	6	separately from others and collect several of them
	7	together to disclose as soon as possible.
	8	BY MR. SELWYN:
	9	Q How soon after the standard has been determined
17:13:50	10	is the patent prosecution team supposed to make its
	11	disclosure of Samsung's IPR to ETSI?
	12	MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection; lacks foundation.
	13	THE WITNESS: There is no fixed time, but it is
	14	done periodically, commonly as often as once or twice a
17:14:56	15	year.
	16	BY MR. SELWYN:
	17	Q Has there ever been an instance in which
	18	Samsung has disclosed a pending patent application or an
	19	issued patent to ETSI before the standard has been
17:15:27	20	determined or before the standard has been frozen?
	21	MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
	22	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure if that has ever
	23	happened, but if that happened, I believe that was a
	24	stupid thing.
17:16:23	25	BY MR. SELWYN:

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2030-4 Filed10/05/12 Page11 of 14 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION JUN WON LEE - 3/6/2012

		Page 115
	1	Q Why?
	2	MR. WEINSTEIN: Same objection.
	3	THE WITNESS: When the standard is not
	4	determined, you cannot know if the patent is essential
17:16:52	5	or not, so there is no reason to disclose.
	6	BY MR. SELWYN:
	7	Q Can you know whether the standard strike
	8	that.
	9	Can you know whether the patent application is
17:17:05	10	essential to the standard that's being considered?
	11	MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection; vague and ambiguous,
	12	lacks foundation.
	13	THE WITNESS: Are we talking about these
	14	patents?
17:17:36	15	MR. SELWYN: Not at the moment.
	16	THE WITNESS: I don't understand the question
	17	exactly, so which patent are you asking about being
	18	essential or are or not?
	19	MR. SELWYN: No patent in particular.
17:17:56	20	MR. WEINSTEIN: Same objections.
	21	MR. SELWYN: Let me ask a new question to
	22	clarify. Let me back up.
	23	Q Does Samsung know which members of its patent
	24	prosecution teams attended meetings where the technology
17:18:40	25	that Samsung believes underlie patents marked as

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2030-4 Filed10/05/12 Page12 of 14

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION JUN WON LEE - 3/6/2012

Page 116 Exhibits 2 and 3 were discussed? 1 2 MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection; vague and ambiguous, 3 outside the scope. THE WITNESS: I would not know such a specific 4 17:19:24 detail, but if I investigate, I can find out. 5 BY MR. SELWYN: 6 7 0 How would you find out? MR. WEINSTEIN: Same objections. 8 THE WITNESS: The prosecution history is stored 9 in the database, and also, if I find out who handled 17:19:55 10 these patents at the time, I can find out. 11 12 BY MR. SELWYN: 13 And how long would that take you to do with 0 14 respect to, for example, these two patents? 17:20:07 15 MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection; outside the scope. 16 THE WITNESS: Probably can take two or three 17 days. 18 MR. WEINSTEIN: Counsel, we have been going for 19 about an hour. Is this a good time for a break? 17:20:34 20 MR. SELWYN: Give me two more questions. MR. WEINSTEIN: Sure. 21 2.2 BY MR. SELWYN: Has Samsung ever investigated, in connection 23 Q 2.4 with this case, which members of its patent prosecution 17:20:44 25 teams attended meetings where the technology that

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2030-4 Filed10/05/12 Page13 of 14 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION JUN WON LEE - 3/6/2012

		Page 117
	1	Samsung believes underlie Exhibits 2 and 3 were
	2	discussed?
	3	MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection; outside the scope.
	4	THE WITNESS: I don't know such a specific
17:21:26	5	detail.
	6	BY MR. SELWYN:
	7	Q What instructions were the members of the
	8	patent prosecution teams who attended the meetings where
	9	the technology that Samsung believes relates to
17:21:39	10	Exhibits 2 and 3 were discussed strike that.
	11	What instructions did Samsung give to the
	12	employees from the patent prosecution teams who attended
	13	the ETSI meetings where the technology related to
	14	Exhibit 2 and 3 were discussed about when to disclose
17:22:04	15	Samsung's IPR to ETSI?
	16	MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection; vague and ambiguous,
	17	lacks foundation.
	18	THE WITNESS: I said I don't know who or
	19	when
17:23:35	20	THE INTERPRETER: Interpreter's correction: I
	21	said I don't know who attended which meeting when
	22	related to patents, but probably the since the reason
	23	why the members are attending the ETSI meeting is
	24	The interpreter's correction: One of the
17:23:54	25	reasons is

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2030-4 Filed10/05/12 Page14 of 14 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION JUN WON LEE - 3/6/2012

Page 118 1 Interpreter's correction: One of the reasons 2 why these members attend these meetings is to get 3 explanation about the timing of the disclosure, so I believe they follow the same procedure. 4 17:24:48 Let me try again. 5 Okay. I misunderstood. 6 7 THE WITNESS: I said that I don't know who attend which meeting related to the patents, but I 8 9 already explained the reasons why they attend the ETSI meeting and process of disclosure, so I believe they 17:25:11 10 followed the procedure for the disclosure as to these 11 12 patents. MR. SELWYN: Is the translation complete? 13 14 THE CHECKER INTERPRETER: Almost. 17:25:53 15 THE WITNESS: Probably. 16 MR. SELWYN: "Probably" the translation is complete or? 17 18 THE INTERPRETER: The checker interpreter says 19 that "probably" should be added, and the deponent said 17:26:08 20 that it's correct. MR. SELWYN: Okay. Let's take a break. 21 22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the 23 time is 5:27. 24 (Recess taken.) 17:38:01 25 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the beginning of