Pierce Declaration

EXHIBIT 13 Filed Under Seal

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2013-17 Filed10/02/12 Page2 of 12

Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

Page 1

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA
3	San Jose Division
	Case No. 11-CV-846 LHK
	APPLE, INCORPORATED,
	Plaintiff,
	v.
	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS COMPANIES,
	et al,
	Defendants.
	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	* ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY *
	DEPOSITION OF PETER BRESSLER
	Washington, D.C.
	April 24, 2012
	Reported by: Mary Ann Payonk, RDR-CRR
	Job No. 48797

		Page 2
1		
2		
3		
4	April 24, 2012	
5	9:13 a.m.	
6		
7	Deposition of PETER BRESSLER, held at	
8	the law offices of Quinn Emanuel, 1299	
9	Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.,	
10	pursuant to Notice before Mary Ann Payonk,	
11	Certified Realtime Reporter and notary public	
12	of the District of Columbia.	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2013-17 Filed10/02/12 Page4 of 12

		Page 3
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	ON BEHALF OF SAMSUNG:	
3	MICHAEL ZELLER, ESQUIRE	
4	BRETT ARNOLD, ESQUIRE	
5	Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP	
б	865 S. Figueroa St., 10th Floor	
7	Los Angeles, CA 90017	
8		
9	ON BEHALF OF APPLE:	
10	CHARLES S. BARQUIST, ESQUIRE	
11	Morrison & Foerster LLP	
12	555 West Fifth Street, Suite 3500	
13	Los Angeles, CA 90013	
14		
15	SARAH L. PRUTZMAN, ESQUIRE	
16	Morrison & Foerster LLP	
17	1290 Avenue of the Americas	
18	New York, New York 10104	
19		
20	ALSO PRESENT:	
21	Conway Barker, Legal Video Specialist	
22		
23		
24		
25		

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2013-17 Filed10/02/12 Page5 of 12

		Page 143
1	that any consumer who purchased an iPhone at	02:03
2	any time through any Apple website was confused	02:03
3	into believing that the device that he or she	02:03
4	was buying was a Samsung device?	02:03
5	A. I have no evidence to that effect.	02:03
б	Q. Do you have any knowledge or	02:03
7	information to believe that any consumer who	02:03
8	purchased an iPhone at any time through any	02:03
9	carrier was confused into believing that the	02:04
10	device that he or she was buying was a Samsung	02:04
11	device?	02:04
12	A. I have no facts in that regard.	02:04
13	Q. Do you have any knowledge or	02:04
14	information to suggest that any consumer has	02:04
15	ever purchased a Samsung device or an Apple	02:04
16	device believing it was actually a device	02:04
17	manufactured by the other?	02:04
18	A. I have no direct evidence.	02:04
19	Q. Do you believe that consumers are	02:04
20	confused? Or have been confused?	02:04
21	A. I believe it is possible for	02:04
22	consumers to be confused.	02:04
23	Q. My question is: Do you believe that	02:04
24	consumers have been confused at any time when	02:04
25	purchasing Apple devices or Samsung devices	02:04

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2013-17 Filed10/02/12 Page6 of 12

		Page 144
1	into thinking that they are the devices of the	02:05
2	other manufacturer?	02:05
3	A. I don't know.	02:05
4	Q. You don't know if you have a belief?	02:05
5	A. I have no direct evidence or	02:05
б	experience that would tell me that I should	02:05
7	believe that other than the opinions I've	02:05
8	formed about how substantially similar the	02:05
9	Samsung phones are to the iPhone patents.	02:05
10	Q. When you've looked at Samsung	02:05
11	devices, did you think to yourself and were you	02:05
12	confused into believing they were Apple	02:05
13	devices?	02:05
14	A. When?	02:05
15	Q. At any time.	02:05
16	A. When I first saw a Samsung phone in	02:06
17	the Galaxy line, I do recall being impressed	02:06
18	with how much they looked like an iPhone.	02:06
19	Whether I I personally was not deceived into	02:06
20	thinking they were the same because I'm a	02:06
21	designer and I could recognize the minor	02:06
22	differences.	02:06
23	Q. And in your view, the typical,	02:06
24	ordinary member of the public is too stupid to	02:06
25	tell the difference between the two?	02:06

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2013-17 Filed10/02/12 Page7 of 12

		Page 145
1	MR. BARQUIST: Objection, misstates	02:06
2	the testimony.	02:06
3	A. I don't think I would use that word.	02:06
4	Q. They're just gullible and stupid?	02:06
5	A. No, I don't think	02:06
6	MR. BARQUIST: Objection, misstates	02:06
7	the testimony.	02:06
8	(Reporter admonition)	02:06
9	BY MR. ZELLER:	02:06
10	Q. Do you think consumers are confused	02:06
11	when they buy these smartphones and they	02:06
12	confuse Apple products and Samsung products	02:07
13	when they are doing it?	02:07
14	MR. BARQUIST: Objection,	02:07
15	overbroad, vague and ambiguous.	02:07
16	A. I believe that the overall impression	02:07
17	of the two phone the several phone designs	02:07
18	are substantially similar enough that someone	02:07
19	could mistake one for another.	02:07
20	Q. Is there some reason you won't answer	02:07
21	my question?	02:07
22	MR. BARQUIST: Objection,	02:07
23	argumentative.	02:07
24	Q. I'm trying to find out. We'll get to	02:07
25	other opinions you have. I'm trying to find a	02:07

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2013-17 Filed10/02/12 Page8 of 12

Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

Г

		Page 146
1	baseline here right now.	02:07
2	Please tell me, In your expert	02:07
3	opinion, do you believe that consumers get	02:07
4	confused during the course of their purchasing	02:07
5	decisions and confuse Apple devices with	02:07
6	Samsung devices or vice versa?	02:07
7	A. I do not know if they get confused.	02:07
8	Q. Do you believe they do?	02:08
9	MR. BARQUIST: Objection, asked and	02:08
10	answered.	02:08
11	A. I don't know if they get confused.	02:08
12	Q. Do you believe, based on all the	02:08
13	information you have available to you about all	02:08
14	the circumstances that go into the purchasing	02:08
15	process, believe that consumers purchase	02:08
16	Samsung phones thinking that they're Apple	02:08
17	phones?	02:08
18	MR. BARQUIST: Objection, asked and	02:08
19	answered.	02:08
20	A. I would believe by the end of the	02:08
21	purchasing decision process, the consumer would	02:08
22	have to know which phone he was buying.	02:08
23	Q. Is that also your belief with respect	02:08
24	to consumer purchasing of tablet computer	02:09
25	devices?	02:09
1		

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2013-17 Filed10/02/12 Page9 of 12

		Page 147
1	A. Again, I believe by the time the	02:09
2	purchase decision was made and you're paying	02:09
3	for your device, you would know which device	02:09
4	you were paying for.	02:09
5	Q. Are Samsung devices sold in Apple	02:09
6	stores?	02:09
7	A. No.	02:09
8	Q. Are Apple devices sold in Samsung	02:09
9	stores?	02:09
10	A. I was unaware there were Samsung	02:09
11	stores.	02:09
12	Q. So you don't have any knowledge or	02:09
13	information about those kinds of retail	02:09
14	outlets; is that true?	02:09
15	MR. BARQUIST: Objection, lacks	02:09
16	foundation, vague and ambiguous.	02:09
17	A. Retail outlets that I'm aware of,	02:09
18	such as Best Buy and AT&T, do sell both lines	02:09
19	of products.	02:09
20	Q. I'm trying to find out something in	02:10
21	particular. Do you have any knowledge or	02:10
22	information about any Samsung retail stores?	02:10
23	A. No.	02:10
24	MR. BARQUIST: Objection, vague and	02:10
25	ambiguous.	02:10

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2013-17 Filed10/02/12 Page10 of 12

		Page 148
1	A. I'm sorry.	02:10
2	Q. Did you do anything as an expert to	02:10
3	try and understand the consumer experience in	02:10
4	the Apple stores?	02:10
5	A. No, I don't believe so.	02:10
6	Q. Did you do anything as an expert to	02:10
7	try and understand the consumer experience in	02:10
8	purchasing products through any Apple website?	02:10
9	A. Beyond my own experience as an	02:10
10	individual, no.	02:10
11	Q. Please tell me your understanding of	02:10
12	the consumer evaluation and purchasing process	02:10
13	for electronic devices that are sold through	02:11
14	carriers such as AT&T and Verizon.	02:11
15	A. Could you repeat that, please?	02:11
16	MR. ZELLER: Yeah, if we can read	02:11
17	it back.	02:11
18	(The reporter read from the record.)	02:11
19	A. I suspect that the purchasing process	02:11
20	would to some degree be different depending	02:11
21	upon which kind of electronic device they're	02:11
22	going to buy.	02:11
23	BY MR. ZELLER:	02:11
24	Q. Please tell me your understanding of	02:11
25	the circumstances under which consumers	02:11

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2013-17 Filed10/02/12 Page11 of 12

		Page 149
1	evaluate and purchase smartphones through	02:11
2	carriers here in the United States.	02:12
3	A. To the best of my knowledge and I	02:12
4	don't have any documentation that supports a	02:12
5	particular process. But my I would expect	02:12
6	that they would evaluate what they understood	02:12
7	about different phone models, compare them to	02:12
8	one another, and go to a store and compare them	02:12
9	to one another and make a decision based upon a	02:12
10	number of factors, including price,	02:12
11	performance, and appearance.	02:12
12	Q. Do you have any other any other	02:12
13	understanding?	02:12
14	A. Not beyond that as I sit here today.	02:12
15	Q. Isn't it true that when a consumer	02:12
16	encounters a smartphone in a carrier store or	02:13
17	carrier outlet that often, consumers actually	02:13
18	see some sort of marketing content on the	02:13
19	device?	02:13
20	MR. BARQUIST: Objection, vague and	02:13
21	ambiguous.	02:13
22	A. I don't know as a general rule, but I	02:13
23	have seen marketing content on phones in in	02:13
24	stores.	02:13
25	Q. In your view, does that have any	02:13
1		

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2013-17 Filed10/02/12 Page12 of 12

		Page	281
1	CERTIFICATE		
2	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:		
3			
4	I, MARY ANN PAYONK, CRR-RDR, CBC, CCP,		
5	CLR, shorthand reporter, do hereby certify:		
6	That the witness whose deposition is		
7	hereinbefore set forth was duly sworn, and that		
8	such deposition is a true record of the		
9	testimony given by such witness.		
10	I further certify that I am not related		
11	to any of the parties to this action by blood		
12	or marriage, and that I am in no way interested		
13	in the outcome of this matter.		
14	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set		
15	my hand this 24th day of April, 2012.		
16			
17			
18	MARY ANN PAYONK, CRR-RDR, CBC, CCP, CLR		
19	Shorthand Reporter		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			