1	SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA JULY 18, 2012
2	PROCEEDINGS
3	(WHEREUPON, COURT CONVENED AND THE
4	FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD:)
5	THE CLERK: CALLING CASE NUMBER
6	C-11-01846 LHK, APPLE INCORPORATED VERSUS SAMSUNG
7	ELECTRONICS COMPANY LIMITED, ET AL.
8	(PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)
9	MR. MCELHINNY: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR
10	HONOR. HAROLD MCELHINNY AND MICHAEL JACOBS ON
11	BEHALF OF APPLE. AND I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO
12	INTRODUCE MY PARTNER, RACHEL KREVANS, WHO WILL BE
13	JOINING US IN PART OF THE TRIAL.
14	MS. KREVANS: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.
15	THE COURT: GOOD AFTERNOON.
16	MR. LEE: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.
17	BILL LEE AND MARK SELWYN FROM WILMER HALE FOR
18	APPLE.
19	MR. VERHOEVEN: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR
20	HONOR. CHARLES VERHOEVEN, AND WITH ME ARE MY
21	PARTNERS, KEVIN JOHNSON, MICHAEL ZELLER,
22	WILLIAM PRICE, AND VICTORIA MAROULIS FROM QUINN,
23	EMANUEL ON BEHALF OF SAMSUNG.
24	THE COURT: OKAY. GOOD AFTERNOON.
25	MR. OLSON: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

AND THIS IS NEW TO THE RECORD -- WE ASKED HIM, AND THIS IS AT PAGE 68 TO 69, QUOTE, "AND YOU'RE NOT TRYING TO GENERALIZE ACROSS ALL PRODUCTS OR SAY THAT ONE PRODUCT IS REPRESENTATIVE OF ALL THE OTHER PRODUCTS; RIGHT?"

"ANSWER: I'M NOT SAYING THAT ANY ONE PRODUCT IS REPRESENTATIVE OF ALL THE PRODUCTS.

"AND I WILL NOTE THAT AS FAR AS THE ANDROID VERSIONS ARE CONCERNED, THOSE ARE REPRESENTATIVE VERSIONS OF THE SOURCE CODE I LOOKED AT INDICATED BY SAMSUNG TO BE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE DIFFERENT VERSIONS OF THE DIFFERENT -- PARTICULAR DIFFERENT VERSIONS OF ANDROID."

THE ISSUE WE HAVE IS THAT NEITHER ONE OF THEIR EXPERTS HAS OFFERED SPECIFIC AND SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE ABOUT WHY ANY PARTICULAR PRODUCT IS REPRESENTATIVE OR BEHAVES SIMILARLY TO ALL THE OTHER PRODUCTS.

AND THAT'S JUST -- THAT'S JUST NOT IN HIS REPORT. THAT'S NOT IN HIS -- IT WASN'T TESTIFIED ABOUT IN HIS DEPOSITION.

AND SO THAT'S WHY WE FILED THE MOTION IN LIMINE IS THAT THERE -- THEY HAVE NOT CARRIED THE BURDEN TO ESTABLISH THAT ANY OF THESE ARE, IN FACT, REPRESENTATIVE OF WHAT THEY VIEW TO BE SIMILARLY

1 SITUATED OR SIMILARLY BEHAVING PRODUCTS. 2 THE COURT: WHEN -- HOW IS THE DAMAGES 3 ANALYSIS DONE? IS THERE DIFFERENT -- ARE THERE DIFFERENT ROYALTY RATES AND DIFFERENT LOST PROFITS 4 5 CALCULATIONS BY PRODUCT? OR EVERYTHING --6 MR. JACOBS: YES. 7 THE COURT: I SEE. OKAY. 8 MR. JACOBS: BUT I THINK THERE'S A MIS --9 SO WE -- UNABLE TO REACH AGREEMENT ON 10 REPRESENTATIVE PRODUCTS, NATURALLY OUR EXPERT DOES 11 NOT TESTIFY, "I'M DOING THIS ON THE BASIS OF A 12 REPRESENTATIVE PRODUCTS APPROACH." 13 WHAT HE TESTIFIED IS, "I'VE LOOKED IN DETAIL AT THIS PRODUCT, IT'S ANDROID X.Y.Z, I KNOW 14 15 THIS OTHER PRODUCT HAS THE VERY SAME WORKINGS OF 16 ANDROID IN IT AND I'VE LOOKED AT IT AND I SEE THE 17 SAME BEHAVIOR AND I, THEREFORE, CONCLUDE THAT WITH 18 THE SAME SOURCE CODE AND WITH THE SAME BEHAVIOR, IT 19 INFRINGES THE SAME WAY." 20 SO THEY HAVE THE FOUNDATIONAL INFORMATION 21 FOR A REPRESENTATIVE PRODUCT STIPULATION IF THEY 22 WERE WILLING TO TRY THE CASE ON REPRESENTATIVE 23 PRODUCTS. I THINK IT'S A STRATEGIC MOVE ON 24 25 SAMSUNG'S PART TO TRY AND OVERLOAD THE SYSTEM THAT

HAS HELD US UP, NOT ANY LACK OF TECHNICAL DETAIL FROM OUR EXPERTS.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JOHNSON: IT'S NOT A STRATEGIC MOVE ON OUR PART. THEIR EXPERTS SIMPLY HAVEN'T CARRIED THE BURDEN AND ESTABLISHED THAT ANY OF THESE WERE REPRESENTATIVE.

AND WHEN WE TOOK THE DEPOSITION OF DR. BALAKRISHNAN LAST WEEK, HE WENT FURTHER AND HE ESTABLISHED THAT HE NEEDS -- HE WASN'T -- EVEN IF HE HAD THE SAME VERSION OF ANDROID, HE SAID THAT WASN'T ENOUGH. WE HAVE TO ESTABLISH THAT THE CODE ON EACH PRODUCT WAS IDENTICAL.

AND HE HASN'T DONE THAT AND THAT WAS THE BASIS FOR OUR MOTION FROM THE BEGINNING.

THE COURT: IS THERE ANY -- IS THERE ANY HORSE TRADING THAT CAN HAPPEN HERE? IS THERE SOMETHING THAT SAMSUNG WANTS OF APPLE AND YOU CAN JUST SORT OF DO A HORSE TRADE ON -- I WOULD LIKE THE NUMBER OF PRODUCTS TO GO DOWN.

I SEE BOTH SIDES' POINT AS TO WHY YOU EACH HAVE A VALID POSITION.

CAN WE WORK OUT SOME DEAL WHERE THERE'S SOMETHING THAT YOU WANT THAT THEY GIVE A LITTLE AND THEN YOU GIVE A LITTLE ON THE PRODUCTS SO THAT EACH SIDE FEELS SOMEWHAT SATISFIED?

MR. JOHNSON: WE CAN GO BACK AND TRY, 1 2 YOUR HONOR. WE HAVE BEEN -- BETWEEN NOW AND WHEN 3 WE SEE YOU IN THE CASE TUESDAY. THE COURT: OKAY. COULD YOU FILE 4 5 SOMETHING ON MONDAY, THEN, ON IF YOU'RE ABLE TO 6 NARROW THE PRODUCTS IN EXCHANGE FOR SOMETHING ELSE, 7 OR -- YOU KNOW, THERE ARE PROBABLY OTHER THINGS GOING ON HERE THAT I DON'T KNOW ABOUT THAT MAYBE 8 9 YOU CAN WORK OUT SOME AGREEMENT. 10 MR. JACOBS: WE'LL TAKE A SHOT IT, YOUR 11 HONOR. 12 MR. JOHNSON: WE'LL TRY. 13 THE COURT: OKAY. NOW, WE'VE GOT TO TALK 14 ABOUT WITNESSES. SAMSUNG HAS 192 WITNESSES ON ITS WILL 15 16 CALL LIST AND HAS 118 DEPONENTS FOR WHICH YOU MAY 17 DESIGNATE DEPOSITION EXCERPTS. 18 APPLE HAS 33 ON THE WILL CALL LIST, 17 ON 19 THE MAY CALL LIST, AND 90 DEPONENTS FOR WHOM YOU 20 MAY DESIGNATE DEPOSITION EXCERPTS. 21 OBVIOUSLY -- DO I NEED TO SAY ANYTHING 22 MORE ON THIS? I MEAN, THIS IS -- THIS IS NOT 23 REALISTIC. 24 SO IF YOU ALL DON'T BRING IT DOWN, THEN I 25 WILL JUST IMPOSE MY OWN NUMBERS. DO YOU WANT ME TO

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document1983-12 Filed09/21/12 Page7 of 7
1	
2	
3	
4	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
5	
6	
7	
8	I, THE UNDERSIGNED OFFICIAL COURT
9	REPORTER OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
10	THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, 280 SOUTH
11	FIRST STREET, SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA, DO HEREBY
12	CERTIFY:
13	THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT,
14	CERTIFICATE INCLUSIVE, CONSTITUTES A TRUE, FULL AND
15	CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF MY SHORTHAND NOTES TAKEN AS
16	SUCH OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER OF THE PROCEEDINGS
17	HEREINBEFORE ENTITLED AND REDUCED BY COMPUTER-AIDED
18	TRANSCRIPTION TO THE BEST OF MY ABILITY.
19	
20	
21	/s/
22	LEE-ANNE SHORTRIDGE, CSR, CRR
23	CERTIFICATE NUMBER 9595

25 DATED: JULY 20, 2012

24