

Exhibit 57

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

APPLE INC., A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION,)	C-11-01846 LHK
)	
)	SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA
PLAINTIFF,)	
)	AUGUST 7, 2012
VS.)	
)	VOLUME 5
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., A KOREAN BUSINESS ENTITY; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., A NEW YORK CORPORATION; SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC, A DELAWARE LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY,)	PAGES 1297-1637
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
DEFENDANTS.)	

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
BEFORE THE HONORABLE LUCY H. KOH
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES ON NEXT PAGE

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER: LEE-ANNE SHORTRIDGE, CSR, CRR
CERTIFICATE NUMBER 9595
IRENE RODRIGUEZ, CSR, CRR
CERTIFICATE NUMBER 8074

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

A P P E A R A N C E S :

FOR PLAINTIFF MORRISON & FOERSTER
APPLE: BY: HAROLD J. MCELHINNY
MICHAEL A. JACOBS
RACHEL KREVANS
425 MARKET STREET
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105

FOR COUNTERCLAIMANT WILMER, CUTLER, PICKERING,
APPLE: HALE AND DORR
BY: WILLIAM F. LEE
60 STATE STREET
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02109

BY: MARK D. SELWYN
950 PAGE MILL ROAD
PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA 94304

FOR THE DEFENDANT: QUINN, EMANUEL, URQUHART,
OLIVER & HEDGES
BY: CHARLES K. VERHOEVEN
ANNE ABRAMOWITZ
50 CALIFORNIA STREET, 22ND FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111

BY: VICTORIA F. MAROULIS
KEVIN P.B. JOHNSON
555 TWIN DOLPHIN DRIVE
SUITE 560
REDWOOD SHORES, CALIFORNIA 94065

BY: MICHAEL T. ZELLER
WILLIAM C. PRICE
865 SOUTH FIGUEROA STREET
10TH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

INDEX OF WITNESSES

PLAINTIFF'S

PETER BRESSLER

REDIRECT EXAM BY MS. KREVANS (RES.) P. 1336
RECROSS-EXAM BY MR. VERHOEVEN P. 1349
FURTHER REDIRECT BY MS. KREVANS P. 1354

SUSAN KARE

DIRECT EXAM BY MS. KREVANS P. 1356
CROSS-EXAM BY MR. VERHOEVEN P. 1414
REDIRECT EXAM BY MS. KREVANS P. 1478
RECROSS-EXAM BY MR. VERHOEVEN P. 1489
FURTHER REDIRECT BY MS. KREVANS P. 1492
FURTHER RECROSS BY MR. VERHOEVEN P. 1493

RUSSELL WINER

DIRECT EXAM BY MR. JACOBS P. 1496
CROSS-EXAM BY MR. VERHOEVEN P. 1529
REDIRECT EXAM BY MR. JACOBS P. 1565
RECROSS-EXAM BY MR. VERHOEVEN P. 1572
FURTHER REDIRECT BY MR. JACOBS P. 1576

HAL PORET

DIRECT EXAM BY MR. JACOBS P. 1577
CROSS-EXAM BY MR. PRICE P. 1591

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

INDEX OF EXHIBITS

MARKED

ADMITTED

PLAINTIFF'S

1042	1365
158-A	1478
1039	1499
56	1515
5 AND 6	1525
5636	1526
158-A	1578
23	1579

1 MS. KREVANS: AND, YOUR HONOR, IT'S AN
2 ADMISSION. IT'S A SAMSUNG DOCUMENT. THE WITNESS
3 REVIEWED THE DOCUMENT, AND THERE IS NO REASON WHY
4 IT IS IMPROPER FOR HER TO TESTIFY ABOUT WHAT THE
5 DOCUMENT SHOWS.

6 THE COURT: AS AN EXPERT?

7 MS. KREVANS: AS AN EXPERT.

8 THE COURT: GO AHEAD.

9 MS. KREVANS: THANK YOU.

10 Q OKAY. COULD YOU -- SO, YOUR HONOR, I THINK
11 WHAT WE NOW HAVE IN IS, JUST FOR THE RECORD, THE
12 COVER AND PAGES, IN TOTAL, 43, 51, 122, 127, AND
13 131.

14 THE COURT: NO. I DIDN'T SAY THAT THEY
15 WERE ADMITTED. AND I'M NOT GOING TO LET YOU
16 PUBLISH THEM TO THE JURY UNLESS IT'S ADMITTED. IF
17 YOU WANT TO SHOW THEM, PAGES 58, 122, AND 131, YOU
18 CAN.

19 BY MS. KREVANS:

20 Q DR. KARE, DID THE CONTENTS OF EXHIBIT 44
21 CONFIRM IN ANY WAY -- STRIKE THAT.

22 CAN YOU TELL US WHETHER -- WHAT
23 CONCLUSIONS YOU DREW FROM THE CONTENTS OF EXHIBIT
24 44, BUT REFERENCE, PLEASE, IN YOUR TESTIMONY IF YOU
25 TALK SPECIFICALLY, ONLY THE CONTENTS OF PAGES 122

1 AND 131.

2 A I'M SORRY. CAN I EXPLAIN WHAT WAS ON ANOTHER
3 PAGE AND HOW IT AFFECTED ME WITHOUT SHOWING IT?

4 MS. KREVANS: YOUR HONOR, I THINK THIS
5 WOULD BE --

6 THE COURT: I'M GOING TO ALLOW THAT. GO
7 AHEAD.

8 THE WITNESS: CAN I READ FROM IT AND
9 DESCRIBE THE ILLUSTRATION?

10 MR. VERHOEVEN: WE WOULD OBJECT TO THAT,
11 YOUR HONOR.

12 THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU JUST DESCRIBE
13 THE ILLUSTRATION.

14 BY MS. KREVANS:

15 Q WHY DON'T YOU JUST DESCRIBE IT, DR. KARE?

16 A OKAY. I SAW, ON A SERIES OF PAGES, WHERE
17 THE -- AT THE TOP OF THE PAGE, IT TALKS ABOUT SOME
18 ASPECT OF ICON DESIGN, AND THEN ON THE LEFT,
19 THERE'S A PICTURE OF THE IPHONE HOME SCREEN, ON THE
20 RIGHT THERE'S A PICTURE OF THE GT I9000, SAME SIZE,
21 SIDE BY SIDE, AND THEN THERE'S BULLET POINTS BESIDE
22 EACH ONE.

23 AND, TYPICALLY, IN ONE OF THESE SCREENS,
24 IT TALKS ABOUT THAT THERE'S CONFUSION ABOUT THE
25 SIMILARITY OF ICONS ON THE SAMSUNG SCREEN.

1 AND THEN THE DOCUMENT TALKS ABOUT HOW
2 APPLE DOES IT BETTER, HOW THEY DIFFERENTIATE, A
3 LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE ICON STYLE.

4 AND THEN AT THE BOTTOM OF EVERY ONE OF
5 THESE PAGES, THERE'S A PINK BOX AND IT SAYS,
6 "DIRECTIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT," AND THEN IT SUGGESTS
7 WHAT SAMSUNG DESIGNERS OUGHT TO DO TO MAKE THEIR
8 PHONE BETTER, CHANGES OR, YOU KNOW, NOTE THIS AND
9 TRY TO DO THIS.

10 AND THERE ARE RED RINGS AROUND PARTICULAR
11 ICONS ON THE APPLE SCREEN AND ON THE SAMSUNG
12 SCREEN, AND I CAN SEE HOW, BY LOOKING AT WHAT
13 ULTIMATELY HAPPENED, HOW CONCRETE ASPECTS OF THE
14 APPLE ICONS AFFECTED WHAT ULTIMATELY WERE IN THE
15 PHONES THAT I LOOKED AT.

16 SO IT DID HAVE -- IT'S HARD TO -- NOT TO
17 SEE FROM WHAT WAS SHOWN TO ME AS AN INTERNAL
18 SAMSUNG DESIGN DOCUMENT, HOW -- WHAT HAPPENED.

19 MS. KREVANS: NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR
20 HONOR.

21 MR. VERHOEVEN: I'M GOING TO OBJECT AND
22 MOVE TO STRIKE THAT LAST ANSWER.

23 THE COURT: OVERRULED. OVERRULED.

24 NOW, THE TIME IS 11:06. GO AHEAD WITH
25 YOUR CROSS, PLEASE.