Exhibit 33

```
Page 1
1
               IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
                       SAN JOSE DIVISION
3
      APPLE, INC., A CALIFORNIA
      CORPORATION,
5
        Plaintiff,
                                Case No.: 11-CV-01846-LHK
      VS.
8
      SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO.,
      LTD., A KOREAN BUSINESS
      ENTITY; ET AL.,
10
        Defendants.
11
     12
                ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
                       COREY KERSTETTER
13
                       FEBRUARY 29, 2012
           HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
    14
15
16
        ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF COREY KERSTETTER,
17
    produced as a witness at the instance of the Plaintiff(s),
18
    and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and numbered
19
    cause on Wednesday, February 29, 2012, from 10:04 a.m. to
20
    11:07 a.m., before Tamara K. Chapman, CSR in and for the
21
    State of Texas, reported by machine shorthand, at the
22
    Regus - Highland Park, 4514 Cole Avenue, Suite 600, Dallas,
23
    Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
24
    and the provisions stated on the record or attached
25
    hereto.
```


		Page 5
1	(Exhibits 1910 through 1914 were marked.)	10:04
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on record.	10:04
3	The date is February 29th, 2012. The time is 10:04 a.m.	10:04
4	I'm Richard Buckalew, the videographer. The court	10:04
5	reporter is Tamara Chapman. This is the deposition of	10:04
6	Corey Kerstetter in the case of Apple, Incorporated versus	10:04
7	Samsung Electronics Company, Limited.	10:04
8	Counsel will please introduce themselves,	10:04
9	after which the court reporter will swear in the witness.	10:05
10	MR. PLUNKETT: Stuart Plunkett on behalf of	10:05
11	Apple from Morrison & Foerster.	10:05
12	MS. CHAN: Melissa Chan of Quinn, Emanuel on	10:05
13	behalf of Samsung.	10:05
14	COREY KERSTETTER,	10:05
15	having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:	10:05
16	EXAMINATION	10:05
17	BY MR. PLUNKETT:	10:05
18	Q. Mr. Kerstetter, my name is Stuart Plunkett. I'm	10:05
19	from Morrison & Foerster in San Francisco and I represent	10:05
20	Apple, Inc. in this litigation.	10:05
21	Can you state your name for the record.	10:05
22	A. Corey Kerstetter.	10:05
23	Q. And your address?	10:05
24	A. Home address?	10:05
25	Q. Yes.	10:05

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document1982-35 Filed09/21/12 Page4 of 7

		Page 37
1	No. 2 you're providing your analysis of the potential	11:00
2	threat of free iPhone 3?	11:00
3	A. Yep.	11:00
4	Q. The second bullet point you state: Risk is	11:00
5	iPhone 3 will "own" first-time smartphone buyers.	11:00
6	What did you mean by that? Withdrawn.	11:00
7	Why is that a threat?	11:00
8	A. The data the data that I've seen shows that	11:00
9	when customers have an investment in a certain OS, Android	11:00
10	and iOS, that iOS has a greater retention rate than	11:01
11	than Android.	11:01
12	Q. So, in your view, does that make it more	11:01
13	important for companies like Samsung and Apple to capture	11:01
14	first-time smartphone users?	11:01
15	MS. CHAN: Objection; vague and compound.	11:01
16	A. Please restate that.	11:01
17	MR. PLUNKETT: Can you read it back.	11:01
18	(The requested material was read.)	11:01
19	MS. CHAN: Same objections. And also calls	11:01
20	for speculation.	11:01
21	A. The there is a lot of again, the key data	11:01
22	is the operating operating system repurchase,	11:02
23	repurchase data. So it's it's important to to	11:02
24	for the first-time smartphone purchasers to break them in	11:02
25	to the the specific operating system.	11:02

		Page 38
1	Q. (BY MR. PLUNKETT) And what's the risk to Samsung	11:02
2	if a first-time smartphone buyer uses an iPhone 3 instead	11:02
3	of a Samsung phone? What's the risk?	11:03
4	MS. CHAN: Objection; vague.	11:03
5	A. So one of the challenges in again,	11:03
6	"smartphones" is probably a little bit out of context	11:03
7	there because there is a lot of RIM smartphone buyers that	11:03
8	are shedding shedding RIM.	11:03
9	But when customers make an investment in the	11:03
10	ecosystem around the operating system, then there is	11:03
11	reluctance to to change that, from that ecosystem to	11:03
12	another ecosystem.	11:03
13	Q. (BY MR. PLUNKETT) And that leads to additional	11:03
14	sales, additional revenue and additional profit for the	11:03
15	company that sells a smartphone to a first-time smartphone	11:03
16	user; is that right?	11:04
17	MS. CHAN: Objection; vague and compound.	11:04
18	A. There is a lot of speculation there, but in	11:04
19	general what it does lead to is the next time a person	11:04
20	goes to purchase a phone, that there is a reluctance to	11:04
21	you're challenged to what they do with the investment that	11:04
22	they've made in that ecosystem.	11:04
23	Q. (BY MR. PLUNKETT) If you move down to the second	11:04
24	to last bullet point, you identify as a threat that Apple	11:04
25	could potentially lock in large numbers of new smartphone	11:04

```
Page 43
1
                 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                    NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
2
                           SAN JOSE DIVISION
3
      APPLE, INC., A CALIFORNIA
      CORPORATION,
5
          Plaintiff,
6
                                    Case No.: 11-CV-01846-LHK
      VS.
7
      SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO.,
      LTD., A KOREAN BUSINESS
9
      ENTITY; ET AL.,
10
         Defendants.
11
                      REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION
12
                   DEPOSITION OF COREY KERSTETTER
                          FEBRUARY 29, 2012
13
14
          I, Tamara K. Chapman, Certified Shorthand Reporter in
15
    and for the State of Texas, hereby certify to the
16
    following:
17
          That the witness, COREY KERSTETTER, was duly sworn by
18
    the officer and that the transcript of the oral deposition
19
    is a true record of the testimony given by the witness;
20
          That the deposition transcript was submitted on
21
    February 29th, 2012 to the witness or to the attorney for
22
    the witness for examination, signature and return to me by
23
    March 30th, 2012;
24
          That the amount of time used by each party at the
25
    deposition is as follows:
```

```
Page 44
1
         MR. PLUNKETT - 00:56
         MS. CHAN - 00:00
3
         That pursuant to information given to the deposition
    officer at the time said testimony was taken, the
5
    following includes counsel for all parties of record:
6
         Mr. Stuart C. Plunkett - THE PLAINTIFF
7
         Ms. Melissa Chan - THE DEFENDANT SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
8
    CO., LTD
9
         That $_____ is the deposition officer's charges
10
    to the Plaintiff(s) for preparing the original deposition
11
    transcript and any copies of exhibits;
12
          I further certify that I am neither counsel for,
13
    related to, nor employed by any of the parties or
14
    attorneys in the action in which this proceeding was
15
    taken, and further that I am not financially or otherwise
16
    interested in the outcome of the action.
17
         Certified to by me this 29th day of February, 2012.
18
19
20
                       Tamara K. Chapman, Texas CSR 7248
21
                       Expiration Date: 12/31/12
                       TSG Reporting, Inc.
22
                       Firm Registration No. 615
                       Nationwide - Worldwide
23
                       Phone: (877) 702-9580
                       info@tsgreporting.com
24
                       www.tsgreporting.com
25
```