

Case Clip(s) Detailed Report

Apple v. Samsung Video DB

Thursday, August 16, 2012, 11:59:10 AM

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT NO. 219


United States District Court
Northern District of California
No. 11-CV-01846-LHK (PSG)

Apple Inc. v. Samsung Elecs.

Date Admitted: _____ By: _____

Case Clip(s) Detailed Report
Thursday, August 16, 2012, 11:59:10 AM

Apple v. Samsung Video DB

 **Rosenbrock, Karl (Vol. 01) - 04/20/2012 [Rosenbrock, Karl]**

1 CLIP (RUNNING 00:01:07.382)

 **FRAND**

ROSK_FRAND_V3 **6 SEGMENTS (RUNNING 00:01:07.382)**



1. PAGE 8:18 TO 8:20 (RUNNING 00:00:06.209)

18 Q Mr. Rosenbrock, you've been retained as an
19 expert witness by Samsung; is that right?
20 A Correct.

2. PAGE 13:11 TO 13:14 (RUNNING 00:00:10.194)

11 You served as the Director General at the European
12 Telecommunications Standards Institute from 1990 to
13 2006, correct?
14 A Yeah.

3. PAGE 79:19 TO 79:21 (RUNNING 00:00:09.396)

19 You are not disputing Mr. Walker's summary
20 which shows that Samsung made its disclosures after
21 the standards were frozen, correct?

4. PAGE 79:24 TO 80:07 (RUNNING 00:00:26.028)

24 THE WITNESS: I have -- I have no instances
25 or no hints that these are wrong. I have not heard
00080:01 anything from Samsung, but -- but I -- I simply assume
02 that this is correct.
03 BY MR. MUELLER:
04 Q And we can agree that based on this table
05 and the information reflected in it, Samsung made its
06 disclosures after the standards were set, correct?
07 A Yeah.

5. PAGE 84:12 TO 84:14 (RUNNING 00:00:05.963)

12 Q With respect to the letter of the rule,
13 Samsung did not comply with the letter of Rule 4.1,
14 correct?

6. PAGE 84:22 TO 84:24 (RUNNING 00:00:09.592)

22 A Yeah, if we ignore the -- the general
23 declaration, then one could perhaps come to that
24 conclusion.

TOTAL: 1 CLIP FROM 1 DEPOSITION (RUNNING 00:01:07.382)