Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document1970-17 Filed09/18/12 Page1 of 13

# EXHIBIT B

#### Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document1970-17 Filed09/18/12 Page2 of 13

			Page 1
1	UNITED STATES INTERNATION	ONAL TRADE COMMISSION	
2	WASHINGTON	, D.C.	
3	In the Matter of	)	
4		)	
5	CERTAIN ELECTRONIC DEVICES,	) NO. 337-TA-794	
6	INCLUDING WIRELESS	)	
7	COMMUNICATION DEVICES,	)	
8	PORTABLE MUSIC AND DATA	)	
9	PROCESSING DEVICES AND	)	
10	TABLET COMPUTERS	)	
11			
12			
13			
14	**	*	
15	CONFIDENTIAL BUSI	NESS INFORMATION	
16	* *	*	
17			
18	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITI	ON OF GREG JOSWIAK	
19	PALO ALTO,	CALIFORNIA	
20	THURSDAY, FEBR	UARY 23, 2012	
21			
22			
23	Reported By:		
24	Yvonne Fennelly, CCRR, CS	R No. 5495	
25	JOB NO. 46686		

#### Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document1970-17 Filed09/18/12 Page3 of 13

			Page 2
1	UNITED STATES INTERNATIO	ONAL TRADE COMMISSION	
2	WASHINGTON	, D.C.	
3	In the Matter of	)	
4		)	
5	CERTAIN ELECTRONIC DIGITAL	) NO. 337-TA-796	
6	MEDIA DEVICES AND	)	
7	COMPONENTS THEREOF	)	
8		)	
9		)	
10		)	
11			
12	* * :	k	
13	CONFIDENTIAL BUSI	NESS INFORMATION	
14	* * :	k	
15			
16			
17	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITIO	ON OF GREG JOSWIAK	
18	PALO ALTO, (	CALIFORNIA	
19	THURSDAY, FEBRU	JARY 23, 2012	
20			
21			
22			
23	Reported By:		
24	Yvonne Fennelly, CCRR, CSI	R No. 5495	
25	JOB NO. 46686		

#### Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document1970-17 Filed09/18/12 Page4 of 13

	Page 3
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	SAN JOSE DIVISION
4	
5	APPLE, INC., )
6	Plaintiff, )
7	vs. ) NO. 11-cv-01846-LHK
8	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS, LTD., )
9	et al., )
10	Defendants. )
11	
12	
13	* * *
14	ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
15	PURSUANT TO THE PROTECTIVE ORDER
16	* * *
17	
18	
19	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF GREG JOSWIAK
20	PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA
21	THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 23, 2012
22	
23	Reported By:
24	Yvonne Fennelly, CCRR, CSR No. 5495
25	JOB NO. 46686

	Page	4
1	FEBRUARY 23, 2012	
2	9:38 A.M.	
3		
4	Videotaped deposition of GREG JOSWIAK, held	
5	at the offices of Wilmer Hale, 950 Page Mill	
6	Road, Palo Alto, California, pursuant to Notice,	
7	before Yvonne Fennelly, CCRR, CSR 5495.	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

### 

		Page 5
1	APPEARANCES	
2	MORRISON FOERSTER	
3	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
4	425 Market Street	
5	San Francisco, California 94105	
6	BY: MICHAEL A. JACOBS, Esq.	
7	JULIA D. KRIPKE, Esq.	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12	WILMERHALE	
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
14	950 Page Mill Road	
15	Palo Alto, California 94304	
16	BY: MARK D. SELWYN, Esq.	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

# Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document1970-17 Filed09/18/12 Page7 of 13

				Page	б
1	APPE	ARANCES	S (Continued):		
2					
3		QUINN	EMANUEL		
4		Attorr	neys for Defendant		
5			50 California Street		
6			San Francisco, California 94111		
7					
8		BY:	VICTORIA F. MAROULIS, Esq.		
9			RENE UNGER, Esq.		
10					
11					
12					
13					
14		APPLE	INC.		
15		Attorr	neys for Defendant		
16			One Infinite Loop		
17			Cupertino, California 95014		
18		BY:	CYNDI WHEELER, ESQ.		
19					
20	ALSO	PRESEI	1T:		
21			Frank Clare		
22					
23					
24					
25					

## Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document1970-17 Filed09/18/12 Page8 of 13 CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION

		Page 235
1	feedback?	
2	A. So I would get customer feedback in	
3	multiple ways. So direct customer feedback, I	
4	might have customers who e-mail me or e-mail	
5	somebody else in the organization that may get	04:22PM
6	sent to me.	
7	Obviously, as Apple selling into as	
8	broad of a market as we do, you know, most	
9	people I touch in everyday life are, a large	
10	percent of them are our customers and I would	04:22PM
11	talk, oftentimes, product with them, if we	
12	weren't in the course that we were, a situation	
13	where I would ask you more about your phone,	
14	quite honestly.	
15	Q. It's a 4G.	04:23PM
16	A. And why you bought it. So I can	
17	understand that better, but I won't ask you	
18	that. My team will have similar experiences as	
19	well as on occasion, we may work a shift, even	
20	an Apple retail store, so we can interact with	04:23PM
21	customers who are in a buying situation to	
22	understand and talk to them, to understand their	
23	thought process and talk to them.	
24	As I said earlier, we do primary	
25	research, you know, so we can get quantitative	04:23PM

### Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document1970-17 Filed09/18/12 Page9 of 13 CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION

		Page 236
1	research around what customers like and don't	
2	like, and then secondary research we talked	
3	about as well. So I'm sure if we're getting	
4	some of those, those are some of the touch	
5	points.	04:23PM
6	Q. So you're generally aware of user	
7	experience and customer experience with respect	
8	to the products you're responsible for?	
9	A. I certainly have some awareness, you	
10	know, I always wish it were, you know, even	04:24PM
11	more, but we try to stay up on things.	
12	Q. Does Apple have any evidence of	
13	instances where consumers confuse the Samsung	
14	phone with an Apple phone?	
15	MR. JACOBS: Objection to form.	04:24PM
16	THE WITNESS: Do we have data or a	
17	study, are you asking?	
18	BY MS. MAROULIS:	
19	Q. I'm asking for any evidence, so maybe	
20	we could start with the studies.	04:24PM
21	A. Repeat the question, then, please.	
22	Q. Is Apple aware of any instances of	
23	consumers confusing a Samsung phone for an Apple	
24	phone?	
25	A. So actually thinking that the Samsung	04:24PM

# Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document1970-17 Filed09/18/12 Page10 of 13

		Page 237
1	was an Apple; is that the form of the question?	
2	Q. Correct.	
3	A. I don't have any data to that effect.	
4	Q. Are you aware of any studies that	
5	Apple conducted to test that proposition, again,	04:24PM
6	outside litigation?	
7	MR. JACOBS: You're asking	
8	THE WITNESS: I'm unaware of any.	
9	BY MS. MAROULIS:	
10	Q. When you say you're not aware of any,	04:25PM
11	do you know that they don't exist or you don't	
12	know one way or another?	
13	A. Well, I can never know when something	
14	doesn't exist if I don't know of it. I	
15	certainly am unaware of anything in that area.	04:25PM
16	Q. As a person designated by Apple for	
17	topics of confusion, did you take it upon	
18	yourself to investigate whether such actual	
19	confusion ever occurred?	
20	A. In that, I'm aware of our exhaustive	04:25PM
21	report of our research, that was not something	
22	listed in our research that we had done, and in	
23	my capacity, had it been done, I would hope I	
24	would have seen it, but it's not something I've	
25	seen.	04:25PM

## Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document1970-17 Filed09/18/12 Page11 of 13

		Page 238
1	Q. So sitting here right now, you're not	
2	aware of any instance where a customer bought a	
3	Samsung phone thinking that it's an Apple phone?	
4	A. I'm unaware of any data or survey or	
5	research to that effect.	04:25PM
б	Q. Are you aware of any anecdotal	
7	evidence from customers or sales personnel?	
8	A. Of somebody buying a Samsung thinking	
9	it was an Apple? I'm unaware of any data or	
10	specific evidence to that effect.	04:26PM
11	Q. Whether all of your customer	
12	interactions were formal or informal, have you	
13	heard of anyone purchasing a Samsung phone	
14	thinking it was an Apple phone?	
15	A. No, I mean, honestly, my issues are	04:26PM
16	less that they, Samsung, has created a	
17	counterfeit that they're trying to pass off as	
18	an Apple product. It's more the fact that they,	
19	in copying some of our designs, has led some	
20	customers to feel they're getting something	04:26PM
21	that's very much like an iPhone when it is not.	
22	MS. MAROULIS: I move to strike the	
23	witness's commentary on "counterfeit."	
24	BY MS. MAROULIS:	
25	Q. Going back to my question, though,	04:26PM

# Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document1970-17 Filed09/18/12 Page12 of 13

		Page 239
1	you're not aware of any evidence of any customer	
2	ever buying a Samsung phone thinking it's an	
3	Apple phone?	
4	A. I am unaware of any data or evidence	
5	of a customer buying a Samsung thinking it was	04:27PM
6	specifically an Apple device.	
7	Q. Do you have any evidence of consumers	
8	buying an Apple phone thinking it's a Samsung	
9	phone?	
10	A. I have no evidence of somebody	04:27PM
11	specifically buying an Apple device thinking	
12	that they were buying a Samsung device.	
13	Q. And that goes for both survey	
14	evidence and anecdotal evidence; correct?	
15	A. I'm unaware of any evidence.	04:27PM
16	Q. I know you are designated for phones,	
17	but let me ask you, while you're here, on	
18	tablets. Are you aware in your personal	
19	capacity of any instances of customer confusion	
20	where customers purchased Samsung Galaxy Tab	04:27PM
21	thinking that it was iPad or iPad 2?	
22	A. Again, I'm not aware of any evidence,	
23	but nor would that be within my scope.	
24	Q. Do you think you would have heard	
25	about this, even if it's not the product in your	04:28PM

## Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document1970-17 Filed09/18/12 Page13 of 13

		Page 240
1	immediate line of responsibilities?	
2	A. It's possible, but not definite.	
3	Q. Are you aware of anyone buying an	
4	iPad or iPad 2 thinking it was Galaxy Tab?	
5	A. I'm unaware of that.	04:28PM
6	Q. Has Apple done any studies or surveys	
7	outside litigation that suggests that people	
8	buying Samsung products think they look like	
9	Apple products?	
10	MR. JACOBS: Think they?	04:28PM
11	BY MS. MAROULIS:	
12	Q. Look like Apple products.	
13	Let me rephrase that to say phones,	
14	since you're a phone guy.	
15	A. Okay.	04:28PM
16	So let's repeat the whole thing, if	
17	we could, then.	
18	Q. Has Apple done any studies or surveys	
19	outside litigation that suggest that consumers	
20	buying Samsung phones think they look like Apple	04:29PM
21	phones?	
22	A. I'm unaware of any reports of that	
23	nature.	
24	Q. Does Apple, typically, outside	
25	litigation context, conduct research to see	04:29PM