

1	HAROLD J. MCELHINNY (CA SBN 66781) hmcelhinny@mofo.com	WILLIAM F. LEE william.lee@wilmerhale.com
2	MICHAEĽ A. JACOBS (CA SBN 111664) mjacobs@mofo.com	WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP
3	RACHEL KREVANS (CA SBN 116421)	60 State Street
4	rkrevans@mofo.com JENNIFER LEE TAYLOR (CA SBN 161368)	Boston, MA 02109 Telephone: (617) 526-6000
5	jtaylor@mofo.com ALISON M. TUCHER (CA SBN 171363)	Facsimile: (617) 526-5000
6	atucher@mofo.com RICHARD S.J. HUNG (CA SBN 197425)	MARK D. SELWYN (SBN 244180)
7	rhung@mofo.com JASON R. BARTLETT (CA SBN 214530)	mark.selwyn@wilmerhale.com WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
8	jasonbartlett@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP	HALE AND DORR LLP 950 Page Mill Road
9	425 Market Street	Palo Alto, California 94304 Telephone: (650) 858-6000
10	San Francisco, California 94105-2482 Telephone: (415) 268-7000 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522	Facsimile: (650) 858-6100
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff and	
12	Counterclaim-Defendant APPLE INC	
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
16	APPLE INC., a California corporation,	Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK
17	Plaintiff,	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF JASON BARTLETT IN SUPPORT OF
18	V.	APPLE INC.'S PETITION FOR
19	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a	ATTORNEYS' FEES PURSUANT TO THE COURT'S APRIL 23 ORDER
20	Korean corporation; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New	
21	York corporation; SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA,	
22	LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,	
23	Defendants.	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
_0	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF JASON BARTI ATTORNEYS' FEES PURSUANT TO THE COURT'S A CASE NO. 11-CV-01846-LHK sf-3188600	

I, JASON BARLETT, declare as follows:

- 1. I am a partner in the law firm of Morrison & Foerster LLP, counsel for Apple Inc. ("Apple"). I am licensed to practice law in the State of California and admitted to practice before this Court. I have personal knowledge of the matters stated herein or understand them to be true from members of my litigation team. I make this Supplemental Declaration in support of Apple's Petition for Attorneys' Fees Pursuant to the Court's April 23 Order.
- 2. On April 23, 2012, this Court granted in part Apple's Motion for 37(b)(2) Sanctions, and it awarded Apple its "fees and expenses incurred in connection with Apple's motion to compel that resulted in the December 22 Order." (Dkt. 880 at 9 ("Sanctions Order").)
- 3. On May 7, 2012, I provided the Court with a Declaration in support of Apple's Petition for Fees pursuant to that Order. (Dkt. 906 ("Bartlett Decl.").) This Declaration provided the Court with information regarding the ten individuals involved in the underlying motion, including their roles in researching, drafting, and arguing the motion, and their relative level of experience. (*See id.* at 4-6.) The Declaration explained that Morrison & Foerster partners Michael Jacobs, Richard Hung, Mia Mazza, and I were all involved with work related to the underlying motion. Minn Chung, who is Of Counsel at the firm, provided essential technical and Korean-language help. Associates Marcelo Guerra, Nathan Sabri, Esther Kim, and Euborn Chiu (who graduated from law school in 2004, 2007, 2008, and 2010, respectively) were all essential to the motion's research and drafting. Finally, Rosemary Barajas provided paralegal support for the filing.
- 4. In its August 26, 2012 Order, the Court directed Morrison & Foerster to provide additional information relating to Apple's Petition for Fees. (Dkt. No. 1935.) In particular, the Court requested additional documentation detailing each individual Morrison & Foerster attorney's billing rate and a breakdown of the hours each attorney billed by task. (*Id.*) Exhibit 1 to this Supplemental Declaration provides the additional information requested by the Court.

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document1948 Filed08/30/12 Page3 of 3

1	5. Exhibit 1 was prepared under my direction through a review of the time-note	
2	entries related to the underlying motion. Morrison & Foerster attorneys track time billed to Apple	
3	on this matter based on individual tasks. This task-based time entry system has made it possible	
4	to isolate efforts spent developing and drafting the motion which led to the December 22 Order.	
5	Exhibit 1 identifies the amount of time each attorney spent working on each task related to the	
6	underlying motion. Exhibit 1 also shows the billing rate charged for each attorney who worked	
7	on these tasks.	
8	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this	
9	30th day of August, 2012, at San Francisco, California.	
10		
11	/c/ Josep Portlett	
12	/s/ Jason Bartlett Jason Bartlett	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
2728		
40	d and the state of	