I	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document1944	Filed08/28/12 Page1 of 7					
1	HAROLD J. MCELHINNY (CA SBN 66781)	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &					
2	hmcelhinny@mofo.com MICHAEL A. JACOBS (CA SBN 111664)	SULLIVAN, LLP Charles K. Verhoeven (Cal. Bar No. 170151) 50 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 875-6600					
3	mjacobs@mofo.com JENNIFER LEE TAYLOR (CA SBN 161368)						
4	jtaylor@mofo.com ALISON M. TUCHER (CA SBN 171363)						
5	atucher@mofo.com RICHARD S.J. HUNG (CA SBN 197425)	Facsimile: (415) 875-6700 Kevin P.B. Johnson (Cal. Bar No. 177129) Victoria F. Maroulis (Cal. Bar No. 202603) 555 Twin Dolphin Drive 5th Floor					
6	rhung@mofo.com JASON R. BARTLETT (CA SBN 214530)						
7	jasonbartlett@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP	Redwood Shores, California 94065 Telephone: (650) 801-5000					
8	425 Market Street San Francisco, California 94105-2482	Facsimile: (650) 801-5100 Michael T. Zeller (Cal. Bar No. 196417)					
9	Telephone: (415) 268-7000 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522	865 S. Figueroa St., 10th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017					
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff and	Telephone: (213) 443-3000 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100					
11	Counterclaim-Defendant APPLE INC.	Attorneys for SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS					
12		CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC. and SAMSUNG					
13		TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC					
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
16	SAN JOSE DIVISION						
17							
18	APPLE INC., a California corporation,	Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK (PSG)					
19	Plaintiff,	JOINT STIPULATION AND -[PROPOSED] ORDER					
20	V.	DISMISSING CLAIMS WITHOUT PREJUDICE					
21	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a Korean corporation; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS						
22	AMERICA, INC., a New York corporation; and SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS						
23	AMERICA, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,						
24	Defendants.						
25							
26							
27							
28							
	02198.51855/4917640.1 JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORD: CASE NO. 11-CV-01846-LHK (PSG)	ER DISMISSING CLAIMS W/O PREJUDICE					
-	sf-3165966						

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document1944 Filed08/28/12 Page2 of 7
1	
2	Additional attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defendant APPLE INC.
3	WILLIAM F. LEE
4	william.lee@wilmerhale.com WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
5	HALE AND DORR LLP 60 State Street Bostor MA 02100
6 7	Boston, MA 02109 Telephone: (617) 526-6000 Facsimile: (617) 526-5000
8	MARK D. SELWYN (SBN 244180)
9	mark.selwyn@wilmerhale.com WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
10	HALE AND DORR LLP 950 Page Mill Road Pala Alta California 04204
11	Palo Alto, California 94304 Telephone: (650) 858-6000 Economia (650) 858-6100
12	Facsimile: (650) 858-6100
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
I	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER DISMISSING CLAIMS W/O PREJUDICE 02198.51855/4917640.1 1 CASE NO. 11-CV-01846-LHK (PSG) sf-3165966

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document1944 Filed08/28/12 Page3 of 7

1							
2	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii), the Court's May 10, 2012						
3	Order Regarding Parties' Statements Narrowing Claims to be Asserted at Trial (Dkt. No. 912),						
4	and the Court's directive during the June 29, 2012 Case Management Conference to further						
5	streamline and simplify the issues in this case, the parties, through their respective counsel of						
6	record, hereby stipulate and agree as set forth below. This statement reflects case narrowing that						
7	took place prior to trial.						
8	WHEREAS, Apple Inc. ("Apple") commenced the above-captioned action (the						
9	"Litigation") against Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and						
10	Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC (collectively "Samsung," and together with Apple,						
11	"the Parties" and individually each a "Party") on April 15, 2011;						
12	WHEREAS, pursuant to the Court's May 2, 2012 Case Management Order, a trial in this						
13	action commenced on July 30, 2012 (Dkt. No. 901);						
14	WHEREAS, both parties have previously represented to each other and to the Court that						
15	each is willing to dismiss certain claims and counterclaims in the interests of streamlining and						
16	simplifying the issues in this case (Dkt. Nos. 893, 902, 1178, 1277);						
17	WHEREAS, both parties previously submitted a Joint Stipulation and Proposed Order						
18	Dismissing Claims Without Prejudice, which was entered by the Court on May 29, 2012 (Dkt.						
19	No. 981); and						
20	WHEREAS, both parties previously submitted a Joint Stipulation and Proposed Order						
21	Dismissing Claims Concerning D617,334 Without Prejudice, which was entered by the Court on						
22	June 21, 2012 (Dkt. 1116).						
23	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by the Parties as						
24	follows:						
25	1. This Stipulation and Order dismisses without prejudice any claims by Apple						
26	against Samsung for infringement of Claim 8 of U.S. Patent No. 7,663,607 (Tenth Claim for						
27	Relief).						
28	2. This Stipulation and Order dismisses without prejudice any claims by Apple						
	against Samsung for infringement or dilution by the original Galaxy Tab 7.0 of Apple's						
	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER DISMISSING CLAIMS W/O PREJUDICE 02198.51855/4917640.1 1 CASE NO. 11-CV-01846-LHK (PSG) sf-3165966						

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document1944 Filed08/28/12 Page4 of 7

unregistered iPad/iPad 2 trade dress (First and Fourth Claims for Relief). This Stipulation and
Order does not dismiss Apple's claims against the Galaxy Tab 7.0 for infringement of Apple's
utility patents.

3. This Stipulation and Order dismisses without prejudice any claims by Apple
against Samsung's Acclaim, Nexus S, and Sidekick devices and any claims against the ThinkFree
Office application relating to Apple's claims of infringement of the '381 Patent.

4. Samsung agrees that its corresponding counterclaims seeking declaratory 8 judgment of non-infringement and invalidity for Apple's dismissed claims for infringement of the 9 '607 Patent should be dismissed without prejudice. Samsung further agrees that its corresponding 10 counterclaims of non-infringement against the Acclaim, Nexus S, and Sidekick devices and the 11 ThinkFree Office application should be dismissed without prejudice. This stipulation of dismissal 12 without prejudice is made subject to Samsung's reservation of rights to reassert these or other 13 counterclaims and defenses relating to Apple's dismissed claims should any such dismissed claim 14 be revived or reasserted by Apple for any reason. 15

16 5. This Stipulation and Order dismisses without prejudice any claims by Samsung
17 against Apple for infringement of:

- a. Claim 11 of U.S. Patent No. 7,675,941;
 b. Claim 17 of U.S. Patent No. 7,447,516;
- 20 c. Claim 10 of U.S. Patent No. 7,698,711;
 - d. Claim 12 of U.S. Patent No. 7,546,893; and
- 22

21

1

e. All remaining claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,928,604.

6. Apple agrees that its corresponding counterclaims seeking declaratory judgment of
non-infringement and invalidity for Samsung's dismissed claims for infringement of claim 11 of
the '941 Patent, claim 17 of the '516 Patent, claim 10 of the '711 Patent, claim 12 of the '893
Patent, and all remaining claims of the '604 Patent should be dismissed without prejudice. Apple

- 27 further agrees that its corresponding counterclaims for Breach of Contract FRAND and Other

28 Standard-Related Misconduct (Twenty-Fifth Counterclaim), Declaratory Judgment that Apple is Licensed to Samsung's Declared Essential Patents (Twenty-Seventh Counterclaim), violation of

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document1944 Filed08/28/12 Page5 of 7

1

Section 2 of the Sherman Antitrust Act (Twenty-Eighth Counterclaim), and Unfair Competition
Under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17200, et seq. (Twenty-Ninth Counterclaim) as they relate to
claim 11 of the '941 Patent, claim 17 of the '516 Patent,, and all remaining claims of the '604
Patent should be dismissed without prejudice. This stipulation of dismissal without prejudice is
made subject to Apple's reservation of rights to reassert these or other counterclaims and defenses
relating to Samsung's dismissed claims should any such dismissed claim be revived or reasserted
by Samsung for any reason.

7. Apple agrees that its counterclaims seeking Breach of Contract – FRAND and 9 Other Standard-Related Misconduct (Twenty-Fifth Counterclaim), Declaratory Judgment that 10 Apple is Licensed to Samsung's Declared Essential Patents (Twenty-Seventh Counterclaim), 11 violation of Section 2 of the Sherman Antitrust Act (Twenty-Eighth Counterclaim) and Unfair 12 Competition Under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17200, et seq. (Twenty-Ninth Counterclaim) for the 13 Samsung claims dismissed in the May 29 Joint Stipulation and Order should be dismissed without 14 prejudice. This stipulation of dismissal without prejudice is made subject to Apple's reservation 15 of rights to reassert these or other counterclaims and defenses relating to Samsung's dismissed 16 claims should any such dismissed claim be revived or reasserted by Samsung for any reason. 17

8. Due to the Court's granting summary adjudication of non-infringement with 18 respect to Samsung's U.S. Patent No. 7,362,867 ("867 patent") (Dkt. No. 1185), Apple agrees 19 that its counterclaims seeking declaratory judgment of invalidity (Twelfth Counterclaim), Breach 20 of Contract – FRAND and Other Standard-Related Misconduct (Twenty-Fifth Counterclaim), 21 Declaratory Judgment that Apple is Licensed to Samsung's Declared Essential Patents (Twenty-22 Seventh Counterclaim), violation of Section 2 of the Sherman Antitrust Act (Twenty-Eighth 23 Counterclaim), and Unfair Competition Under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17200, et seq. (Twenty-24 Ninth Counterclaim) for the '867 patent should be dismissed without prejudice. This stipulation 25 of dismissal without prejudice is made subject to Apple's reservation of rights to reassert these or 26 other counterclaims and defenses relating to Samsung's dismissed claims should the '867 patent 27 be revived or reasserted by Samsung for any reason. 28

Joint Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Dismissing Claims w/o Prejudice Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK (PSG) sf-3165966

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document1944 Filed08/28/12 Page6 of 7							
1								
2	9. This Stipulation and Order is not an adjudication on the merits of any of the claims							
3	or counterclaims that are hereby dismissed without prejudice.							
4								
5								
6								
7	Dated: August 20, 2012							
8	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP							
9								
10	By: /s/ Harold McElhinny By: /s/ Victoria Maroulis							
11	HAROLD J. MCELHINNYCHARLES K. VERHOEVENMICHAEL A. JACOBSKEVIN P.B. JOHNSON							
12	JENNIFER LEE TAYLOR VICTORIA F. MAROULIS ALISON M. TUCHER EDWARD DEFRANCO							
13	RICHARD S.J. HUNG JASON R. BARTLETT MICHAEL T. ZELLER							
14	WILLIAM F. LEE Attorneys for SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS MARK D. SEL WICH AMEDICA. DIG. AND SAMSUNG							
15	MARK D. SELWYN AMERICA, INC., AND SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA,							
16	Attorneys for APPLE INC. LLC.							
17								
18								
19 20								
20 21								
21								
22								
23								
25								
26								
27								
28								
	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER DISMISSING CLAIMS W/O PREJUDICE 02198.51855/4917640.1 4 CASE NO. 11-CV-01846-LHK (PSG) sf-3165966							

	Case	e5:11-cv-01	.846-LHK	Document	1944	Filed08/28/12	Page7 of 7	7
1								
2	PURSU	ANT TO S	FIPULAT	ION, IT IS S	SO OF	RDERED.		
3						. 0		0
4	Dated: _	August	28	, 2012	By:	The Honorable	H. 1-0	h
5						The Honorable United States Di	strict Judge	11
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18 10								
19 20								
20 21								
21								
22								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								
		11-cv-01846-			SING CL	AIMS W/O PREJUDICE	2	02198.51855/4917640.1

5