	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document1880	Filed08/20/12 Page1 of 7				
1	HAROLD J. MCELHINNY (CA SBN 66781)	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &				
2	hmcelhinny@mofo.com MICHAEL A. JACOBS (CA SBN 111664)	SULLIVAN, LLP Charles K. Verhoeven (Cal. Bar No.				
3	mjacobs@mofo.com JENNIFER LEE TAYLOR (CA SBN 161368)	170151) 50 California Street, 22nd Floor				
4	jtaylor@mofo.com ALISON M. TUCHER (CA SBN 171363)	San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 875-6600				
5	atucher@mofo.com RICHARD S.J. HUNG (CA SBN 197425)	Facsimile: (415) 875-6700 Kevin P.B. Johnson (Cal. Bar No. 177129)				
6	rhung@mofo.com JASON R. BARTLETT (CA SBN 214530)	Victoria F. Maroulis (Cal. Bar No. 202603) 555 Twin Dolphin Drive 5th Floor				
7	jasonbartlett@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP	Redwood Shores, California 94065 Telephone: (650) 801-5000				
, 8	425 Market Street San Francisco, California 94105-2482	Facsimile: (650) 801-5100 Michael T. Zeller (Cal. Bar No. 196417)				
9	Telephone: (415) 268-7000 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522	865 S. Figueroa St., 10th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017				
		Telephone: (213) 443-3000 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100				
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defendant APPLE INC.					
11		Attorneys for SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS				
12		AMERICA, INC. and SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA,				
13		LLC				
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
16	SAN JOSE I	DIVISION				
17						
18	APPLE INC., a California corporation,	Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK (PSG)				
19	Plaintiff,	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER				
20	V.	DISMISSING CLAIMS WITHOUT PREJUDICE				
21	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a Korean corporation; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS					
22	AMERICA, INC., a New York corporation; and SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS					
23	AMERICA, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,					
24	Defendants.					
25						
26						
27						
28						
	02198.51855/4917640.1 JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORD CASE NO. 11-CV-01846-LHK (PSG) sf-3165966	ER DISMISSING CLAIMS W/O PREJUDICE				

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document1880 Filed08/20/12 Page2 of 7								
1									
2	Additional attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defendant APPLE INC.								
3	WILLIAM F. LEE								
4	william.lee@wilmerhale.com WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP 60 State Street								
5									
6 7	Boston, MA 02109 Telephone: (617) 526-6000 Facsimile: (617) 526-5000								
8	MARK D. SELWYN (SBN 244180)								
9	mark.selwyn@wilmerhale.com WILMER CUTLER PICKERING								
10	HALE AND DORR LLP 950 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, California 94304								
11	Telephone: (650) 858-6000 Facsimile: (650) 858-6100								
12	Paesinine. (050) 858-0100								
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									
26									
27									
28									
	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER DISMISSING CLAIMS W/O PREJUDICE 02198.51855/4917640.1 1 CASE NO. 11-CV-01846-LHK (PSG) sf-3165966								

## Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document1880 Filed08/20/12 Page3 of 7

1						
2	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii), the Court's May 10, 2012					
3	Order Regarding Parties' Statements Narrowing Claims to be Asserted at Trial (Dkt. No. 912),					
4	and the Court's directive during the June 29, 2012 Case Management Conference to further					
5	streamline and simplify the issues in this case, the parties, through their respective counsel of					
6	record, hereby stipulate and agree as set forth below. This statement reflects case narrowing that					
7	took place prior to trial.					
8	WHEREAS, Apple Inc. ("Apple") commenced the above-captioned action (the					
9	"Litigation") against Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and					
10	Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC (collectively "Samsung," and together with Apple,					
11	"the Parties" and individually each a "Party") on April 15, 2011;					
12	WHEREAS, pursuant to the Court's May 2, 2012 Case Management Order, a trial in this					
13	action commenced on July 30, 2012 (Dkt. No. 901);					
14	WHEREAS, both parties have previously represented to each other and to the Court that					
15	each is willing to dismiss certain claims and counterclaims in the interests of streamlining and					
16	simplifying the issues in this case (Dkt. Nos. 893, 902, 1178, 1277);					
17	WHEREAS, both parties previously submitted a Joint Stipulation and Proposed Order					
18	Dismissing Claims Without Prejudice, which was entered by the Court on May 29, 2012 (Dkt.					
19	No. 981); and					
20	WHEREAS, both parties previously submitted a Joint Stipulation and Proposed Order					
21	Dismissing Claims Concerning D617,334 Without Prejudice, which was entered by the Court on					
22	June 21, 2012 (Dkt. 1116).					
23	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by the Parties as					
24	follows:					
25	1. This Stipulation and Order dismisses without prejudice any claims by Apple					
26	against Samsung for infringement of Claim 8 of U.S. Patent No. 7,663,607 (Tenth Claim for					
27	Relief).					
28	2. This Stipulation and Order dismisses without prejudice any claims by Apple					
	against Samsung for infringement or dilution by the original Galaxy Tab 7.0 of Apple's					
	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER DISMISSING CLAIMS W/O PREJUDICE 02198.51855/4917640.1 1 CASE NO. 11-CV-01846-LHK (PSG) sf-3165966					

## Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document1880 Filed08/20/12 Page4 of 7

unregistered iPad/iPad 2 trade dress (First and Fourth Claims for Relief). This Stipulation and
Order does not dismiss Apple's claims against the Galaxy Tab 7.0 for infringement of Apple's
utility patents.

3. This Stipulation and Order dismisses without prejudice any claims by Apple
against Samsung's Acclaim, Nexus S, and Sidekick devices and any claims against the ThinkFree
Office application relating to Apple's claims of infringement of the '381 Patent.

4. Samsung agrees that its corresponding counterclaims seeking declaratory 8 judgment of non-infringement and invalidity for Apple's dismissed claims for infringement of the 9 '607 Patent should be dismissed without prejudice. Samsung further agrees that its corresponding 10 counterclaims of non-infringement against the Acclaim, Nexus S, and Sidekick devices and the 11 ThinkFree Office application should be dismissed without prejudice. This stipulation of dismissal 12 without prejudice is made subject to Samsung's reservation of rights to reassert these or other 13 counterclaims and defenses relating to Apple's dismissed claims should any such dismissed claim 14 be revived or reasserted by Apple for any reason. 15

16 5. This Stipulation and Order dismisses without prejudice any claims by Samsung
17 against Apple for infringement of:

- a. Claim 11 of U.S. Patent No. 7,675,941;
  b. Claim 17 of U.S. Patent No. 7,447,516;
- 20 c. Claim 10 of U.S. Patent No. 7,698,711;
  - d. Claim 12 of U.S. Patent No. 7,546,893; and
- 22

21

1

e. All remaining claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,928,604.

6. Apple agrees that its corresponding counterclaims seeking declaratory judgment of
 non-infringement and invalidity for Samsung's dismissed claims for infringement of claim 11 of

- 25 the '941 Patent, claim 17 of the '516 Patent, claim 10 of the '711 Patent, claim 12 of the '893
- 26 Patent, and all remaining claims of the '604 Patent should be dismissed without prejudice. Apple
- 27 further agrees that its corresponding counterclaims for Breach of Contract FRAND and Other

28 Standard-Related Misconduct (Twenty-Fifth Counterclaim), Declaratory Judgment that Apple is Licensed to Samsung's Declared Essential Patents (Twenty-Seventh Counterclaim), violation of

## Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document1880 Filed08/20/12 Page5 of 7

1

Section 2 of the Sherman Antitrust Act (Twenty-Eighth Counterclaim), and Unfair Competition
Under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17200, et seq. (Twenty-Ninth Counterclaim) as they relate to
claim 11 of the '941 Patent, claim 17 of the '516 Patent,, and all remaining claims of the '604
Patent should be dismissed without prejudice. This stipulation of dismissal without prejudice is
made subject to Apple's reservation of rights to reassert these or other counterclaims and defenses
relating to Samsung's dismissed claims should any such dismissed claim be revived or reasserted
by Samsung for any reason.

7. Apple agrees that its counterclaims seeking Breach of Contract – FRAND and 9 Other Standard-Related Misconduct (Twenty-Fifth Counterclaim), Declaratory Judgment that 10 Apple is Licensed to Samsung's Declared Essential Patents (Twenty-Seventh Counterclaim), 11 violation of Section 2 of the Sherman Antitrust Act (Twenty-Eighth Counterclaim) and Unfair 12 Competition Under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17200, et seq. (Twenty-Ninth Counterclaim) for the 13 Samsung claims dismissed in the May 29 Joint Stipulation and Order should be dismissed without 14 prejudice. This stipulation of dismissal without prejudice is made subject to Apple's reservation 15 of rights to reassert these or other counterclaims and defenses relating to Samsung's dismissed 16 claims should any such dismissed claim be revived or reasserted by Samsung for any reason. 17

8. Due to the Court's granting summary adjudication of non-infringement with 18 respect to Samsung's U.S. Patent No. 7,362,867 ("867 patent") (Dkt. No. 1185), Apple agrees 19 that its counterclaims seeking declaratory judgment of invalidity (Twelfth Counterclaim), Breach 20 of Contract – FRAND and Other Standard-Related Misconduct (Twenty-Fifth Counterclaim), 21 Declaratory Judgment that Apple is Licensed to Samsung's Declared Essential Patents (Twenty-22 Seventh Counterclaim), violation of Section 2 of the Sherman Antitrust Act (Twenty-Eighth 23 Counterclaim), and Unfair Competition Under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17200, et seq. (Twenty-24 Ninth Counterclaim) for the '867 patent should be dismissed without prejudice. This stipulation 25 of dismissal without prejudice is made subject to Apple's reservation of rights to reassert these or 26 other counterclaims and defenses relating to Samsung's dismissed claims should the '867 patent 27 be revived or reasserted by Samsung for any reason. 28

Joint Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Dismissing Claims w/o Prejudice Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK (PSG) sf-3165966

02198.51855/4917640.1 3

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document1880 Filed08/20/12 Page6 of 7							
1								
2	9. This Stipulation and Order is not an adjudication on the merits of any of the claims							
3	or counterclaims that are hereby dismissed without prejudice.							
4								
5								
6								
7	Dated: August 20, 2012							
8	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP							
9								
10	By: /s/ Harold McElhinny By: /s/ Victoria Maroulis							
11	HAROLD J. MCELHINNYCHARLES K. VERHOEVENMICHAEL A. JACOBSKEVIN P.B. JOHNSON							
12	JENNIFER LEE TAYLOR VICTORIA F. MAROULIS ALISON M. TUCHER EDWARD DEFRANCO							
13	RICHARD S.J. HUNG JASON R. BARTLETT MICHAEL T. ZELLER							
14	WILLIAM F. LEE       Attorneys for SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS         MARK D. SEL WYN       AMERICA, DIC, AND SAMSUNC							
15	MARK D. SELWYN AMERICA, INC., AND SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, Attorneys for APPLE INC. LLC.							
16	Automeys for AFFLE inc. LLC.							
17								
18								
19 20								
20 21								
21								
22								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								
	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER DISMISSING CLAIMS W/O PREJUDICE 02198.51855/4917640.1 4 CASE NO. 11-CV-01846-LHK (PSG) sf-3165966							

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK	Document	1880	Filed08/20/12 Page7 of 7	,		
1							
2	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.						
3							
4	Dated:,	, 2012	By:	The Honomobile Lyery H. Ko	<u> </u>		
5				The Honorable Lucy H. Ko United States District Judge	1		
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							
	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] CASE NO. 11-CV-01846-LHK (PSG) sf-3165966		ING CI	LAIMS W/O PREJUDICE	02198.51855/4917640.1 5		