## Exhibit D

г	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document1861-4 Filed08/20/12 Page2 of 10 <sup>2966</sup>
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	SAN JOSE DIVISION
4	
5	
6	CORPORATION, CORPO
7	) SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA PLAINTIFF, )
8	) AUGUST 16, 2012 VS. )
9	) VOLUME 10 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., )
10	LTD., A KOREAN BUSINESS ) PAGES 2966-3386 ENTITY; SAMSUNG )
11	ELECTRONICS AMERICA, ) INC., A NEW YORK )
12	CORPORATION; SAMSUNG ) TELECOMMUNICATIONS )
13	AMERICA, LLC, A DELAWARE ) LIMITED LIABILITY )
14	COMPANY,
15	DEFENDANTS. )
16	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
17	BEFORE THE HONORABLE LUCY H. KOH
10	UNTIED STRIES DISTRICT UUDGE
10	
19	ADDEADANCES ON NEVE DAGE
20	APPEARANCES ON NEXT PAGE
21	
22	
23	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER: LEE-ANNE SHORTRIDGE, CSR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 9595
24	IRENE RODRIGUEZ, CSR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 8074
25	

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document1861-4 Filed08/20/12 Page3 of 10 <sup>2048</sup>
1	USED FOR TRADE DRESS VIOLATIONS?
2	A YES. AND, AGAIN, WE CAN SEE IN THE
3	ENUMERATION, ONE, DEFENDANT'S PROFITS, THAT WOULD
4	BE SAMSUNG AGAIN; AND DAMAGES SUSTAINED BY
5	PLAINTIFF, THAT WOULD BE LOST PROFITS; AND COSTS OF
6	THE ACTION. I'M NOT GIVING ANY OPINION ON THAT
7	THIRD PIECE.
8	Q AND IF WE COULD SEE SLIDE 34B.74. THIS ONE IS
9	JUST HEADED PATENT DAMAGES.
10	WHAT IS THIS TEST?
11	A YES. AND THIS TEST BASICALLY SAYS THAT UNDER
12	A UTILITY PATENT, THE PATENTEE IS ENTITLED TO
13	DAMAGES ADEQUATE TO COMPENSATE FOR INFRINGEMENT,
14	BUT UNDER NO EVENT LESS THAN A REASONABLE ROYALTY.
15	SO THAT'S WHY YOU USE THOSE TWO FORMS,
16	LOST PROFITS OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, A REASONABLE
17	ROYALTY.
18	Q OKAY. YOU'VE TALKED, MR. MUSIKA, ABOUT THREE
19	DIFFERENT FORMS OF DAMAGES AND 22 MILLION PHONES
20	AND TABLETS.
21	DID YOU DO ANYTHING TO MAKE SURE THAT YOU
22	WERE NOT DOUBLE COUNTING THE DAMAGES FOR ANY ONE OF
23	THOSE PHONES AND TABLETS?
24	A I DID.
25	Q WHAT DID YOU DO?

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document1861-4 Filed08/20/12 Page4 of 10 <sup>2049</sup>
1	A WELL, IT'S IT'S IT'S EASY TO VISUALIZE,
2	BUT IT'S HARD TO IMAGINE.
3	BUT THE CALCULATION REALLY HAD TO BE DONE
4	ON A PHONE-BY-PHONE, TABLET-BY-TABLET BASIS. EACH
5	PHONE, EACH TABLET DESERVES OR GETS ITS OWN DAMAGE,
б	AND SO THAT CALCULATION HAD TO BE DONE INDIVIDUALLY
7	ON EACH ONE OF THOSE PRODUCTS.
8	Q AND HOW DID YOU DECIDE, FOR EACH ONE OF THOSE
9	PRODUCTS, WHICH OF THE THREE DIFFERENT KINDS OF
10	DAMAGES YOU DESCRIBED SHOULD BE ASSIGNED TO IT?
11	A WELL, THERE WERE SEVERAL CRITERIA. ONE WE
12	JUST WENT THROUGH, WHICH IS THE FORM OF DAMAGES.
13	ANOTHER WOULD BE THE TIME PERIOD IN
14	WHICH NOT ALL SALES OCCURRED AT THE SAME TIME.
15	THEY OCCURRED AT DIFFERENT TIMES.
16	AND NOT ALL THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY,
17	WHETHER IT WAS A UTILITY PATENT OR A DESIGN PATENT,
18	THEY DIDN'T ALL ISSUE AT ONCE. SO THEY ISSUED AT
19	VARIOUS POINTS IN TIME.
20	SO IT'S REALLY THE INTERSECTION OF WHEN
21	SOMETHING WAS SOLD, WHICH FORM OF DAMAGES WHICH
22	FORM OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IT IS ACCUSED OF, AND
23	THEN MAKING THAT CALCULATION ON, AGAIN, A
24	UNIT-BY-UNIT BASIS.
25	Q OKAY. COULD WE SEE SLIDE 34B.56.

r	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document1861-4 Filed08/20/12 Page5 of 10 2050
1	WHAT HAVE YOU DEPICTED ON THIS SLIDE,
2	MR. MUSIKA?
3	A I THINK THIS IS GOING TO HELP SHOW AND EXPLAIN
4	WHAT I WAS JUST BRIEFLY TRYING TO EXPLAIN.
5	I'VE GOT 22 PHONES AT THE TOP, AND THINK
6	OF THESE AS EITHER PHONES OR TABLETS, IT DOESN'T
7	MATTER. BUT EACH ONE OF THOSE REPRESENTS A MILLION
8	UNITS TO TRY AND KEEP US ORIENTED ON THE 22 MILLION
9	TOTAL UNITS.
10	AND SO AS WE JUST WENT THROUGH, I HAVE
11	THREE FORMS OF DAMAGE. EACH ONE OF THOSE PHONES,
12	EACH ONE OF THOSE 22 MILLION PHONES, HAS TO GO IN
13	ONE OF THOSE CATEGORIES, BUT NOT TWO CATEGORIES.
14	IF WE PUT IT IN TWO CATEGORIES, THEN WE'RE GOING TO
15	END UP WITH DOUBLE COUNTING.
16	Q OKAY. CAN YOU JUST WALK US THROUGH,
17	UNDERSTANDING THIS IS A SIMPLIFICATION, WALK US
18	THROUGH THE ALLOCATION THAT YOU MADE.
19	A WELL, THE ALLOCATION THAT I MADE WAS I, I
20	FIRST I THINK THE NEXT SLIDE IS GOING TO SHOW
21	THE AMOUNT OF 17 MILLION UNITS SHOULD SLIDE DOWN,
22	AND I CALCULATED THEM AS SAMSUNG'S PROFITS. THAT'S
23	THE UNJUST GAIN. SO I'M USING THAT FORM OF DAMAGES
24	FOR APPROXIMATELY 17 MILLION OF THE TOTAL 22
25	MILLION.

1	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document1861-4 Filed08/20/12 Page6 of 10 <sup>2051</sup>
1	Q OKAY. HOW MANY OF THE 5 MILLION LEFT DID YOU
2	PUT IN THE APPLE LOST PROFITS DAMAGES CATEGORY?
3	A I PUT TWO INTO THE LOST PROFITS CATEGORY, SO
4	WE SHOULD HAVE TWO OF THOSE SLIDE DOWN, AND 2
5	MILLION, APPROXIMATELY, COME DOWN THERE.
6	AND THAT, OF COURSE, LEAVES THE 3
7	MILLION, AND YOU CAN OF COURSE GUESS WHERE THOSE
8	GO, DOWN TO THE REASONABLE ROYALTY.
9	AND WE CAN SEE VERY CLEARLY THAT NO
10	INDIVIDUAL PRODUCT HAS HAD MORE THAN ONE DAMAGE
11	CALCULATED ON IT.
12	Q OKAY. THAT LOOKED EASY.
13	CAN YOU DESCRIBE FOR THE JURY THE ACTUAL
14	AMOUNT OF EFFORT THAT IT TOOK TO MAKE THESE
15	ALLOCATIONS AND THEN MAKE THOSE ONE, ONE PHONE BY
16	ONE TABLET DAMAGES CALCULATIONS THAT YOU MADE.
17	A IT I CAN ASSURE YOU, IT'S NOT ME SITTING AT
18	A DESK WITH A CALCULATOR DOING 22 MILLION
19	CALCULATIONS.
20	IN FACT, BECAUSE OF THE VARIOUS
21	COMBINATIONS, THERE ARE LITERALLY HUNDREDS OF
22	MILLIONS OF CALCULATIONS, AND SO THE ONLY WAY,
23	PRACTICALLY, TO DO THIS IS TO WRITE A COMPUTER
24	PROGRAM.
25	AND SO OVER THE LAST YEAR AND A HALF TO

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document1861-4 Filed08/20/12 Page7 of 10 2052
1	TWO YEARS, I HAVE HAD A TEAM OF 20 PEOPLE,
2	ECONOMISTS, PROGRAMMERS, STATISTICIANS AND C.P.A.'S
3	DEVELOPING A MODEL THAT IS DYNAMIC ENOUGH TO TAKE
4	IN ALL 22 MILLION AND MAKE CHANGES AND ADJUSTMENTS,
5	SINCE THIS PROCESS WENT ON FOR A YEAR AND A HALF,
6	AS NEW PRODUCTS CAME IN AND WENT OUT.
7	AND ABOUT 7,000 TOTAL PROFESSIONAL HOURS
8	WERE DEDICATED TOWARDS THE CREATION AND OPERATION
9	OF THAT COMPUTER MODEL.
10	Q THAT SOUNDS EXPENSIVE. WAS IT EXPENSIVE?
11	A IT WAS VERY EXPENSIVE.
12	Q WHAT DID IT COST TOTAL FOR YOUR TEAM OF 23
13	PEOPLE?
14	A 20 PEOPLE, OVER MORE THAN A YEAR AND A HALF,
15	THAT 7,000 HOURS, WAS APPROXIMATELY \$1,750,000.
16	Q OKAY. LET'S GO BACK TO THE FIRST CATEGORY YOU
17	TALKED ABOUT, THE SAMSUNG PROFIT CATEGORY.
18	ONCE YOU HAD ALLOCATED 17 MILLION PHONES
19	AND TABLETS TOTAL INTO THAT CATEGORY, WHAT WAS THE
20	NEXT STEP IN DETERMINING THE DAMAGES FOR THOSE 17
21	MILLION DEVICES?
22	A WELL, IT'S, IT'S MAKING THE ACTUAL
23	CALCULATIONS. IT'S FIGURING OUT HOW MUCH WE NOW
24	KNOW THE UNITS, BUT HOW MUCH DID SAMSUNG ACTUALLY
25	MAKE ON THOSE 17 MILLION?

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document1861-4 Filed08/20/12 Page8 of 10 2060
1	DIRECTLY ATTRIBUTABLE TO THE PRODUCTION AND/OR SALE
2	OF THE ACCUSED DEVICES.
3	AND THIS IS AGAIN, THIS ISN'T MY
4	CONSTRUCTION. THIS IS REALLY GENERALLY ACCEPTED
5	ACCOUNTING PRINCIPALS AND THIS IS DIRECTLY FROM
6	THEIR STATEMENTS.
7	AND THAT GETS US, IF WE DEDUCT THE COST
8	OF GOODS SOLD FROM THE SALES, WE GET A GROSS PROFIT
9	NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE.
10	Q AND WHAT'S THE GROSS PROFIT PERCENTAGE?
11	A GROSS PROFIT PERCENTAGE IS, IN THIS STATEMENT
12	IS 39.2 PERCENT.
13	Q WHAT WAS THE AVERAGE GROSS PROFIT AMOUNT THAT
14	YOU FOUND IN SAMSUNG'S FINANCIAL RECORDS FOR THE \$8
15	BILLION IN SALES OF THE ACCUSED PRODUCTS IN THE
16	UNITED STATES?
17	A ALL RIGHT. THE ACCUSED PRODUCTS HAVE SLIGHTLY
18	LOWER GROSS PROFIT PERCENTAGE. PER MY
19	RECOLLECTION, THE OVERALL GROSS PROFIT PERCENTAGE
20	ON JUST THE ACCUSED PRODUCTS WAS APPROXIMATELY 35.5
21	PERCENT.
22	Q OKAY. YOU SAID A COUPLE MINUTES AGO THAT IF
23	WE MOVE DOWN THIS SAME PAGE OF EXHIBIT 28, WE'RE
24	GOING TO SEE SOME OTHER KINDS OF EXPENSES.
25	A YES.

## Apple's Damages Based on Samsung's Phone and Tablet Sales



## Apple's Damages Based on Samsung's Phone and Tablet Sales

