

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

APPLE, INC., a California corporation,)	Case No.: 11-CV-01846-LHK
)	
Plaintiff and Counterdefendant,)	
v.)	QUESTION REGARDING JURY
)	INSTRUCTION NO. 23
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., A)	
Korean corporation; SAMSUNG)	
ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York)	
corporation; SAMSUNG)	
TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC,)	
a Delaware limited liability company,)	
)	
Defendants and Counterclaimants.)	

The Court requests that the parties file a response to the question below by 8 a.m. on Sunday, August 19, 2012.

Proposed Instruction No. 23 – Equitable Defenses – Equitable Estoppel. Why is the equitable estoppel defense, addressed in Joint Undisputed Instruction 23, appropriate for the jury?

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 18, 2012



 LUCY H. KOH
 United States District Judge