Exhibit 2 (Amended)

Parties' Amended Joint Claim Chart for U.S. Patent No. 6,757,682

I. AGREED-UPON TERMS

Claim Language	Plaintiff's and Defendants' Agreed-Upon Construction	
intensity rank	intensity rank	
Found in claims ¹ : 5, 6	Agreed-upon construction: A value associated with an item that represents the level of current interest in that particular item relative to other items	
from a source other than	from a source other than Agreed-upon construction:	
Found in claims: 1, 2, and 3	From a user other than	
[receive / receiving] in real time	[receive / receiving] in real time Agreed-upon construction:	
Found in claims: 1, 2, and 3	[receive/receiving] immediately or almost immediately after the indication.	

II. TERMS IN DISPUTE

Claim Language (Disputed Terms in Bold)	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support ²	Defendants' Proposed Construction ³ and Evidence in Support ⁴
'682 patent		

¹ Throughout this claim chart, reference to an independent claim includes by reference any claims depending from that independent claim.

- 1 -

² In addition to the intrinsic and extrinsic evidence cited herein, Interval identifies (1) all claims in which any term appears as support for its constructions and (2) all intrinsic and extrinsic evidence for each claim term cited by Defendants.

³ Defendants provide herein preliminary claim constructions and identification of purported "structure" disclosed in the specification of the '682 patent for certain claim terms. By providing these constructions for any claim term or identifying a purported structure for any means-plus-function term, Defendants do not concede that any such claim or claim term satisfies the definiteness requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112 and expressly reserve the right to challenge any claim on that basis.

⁴ Defendants identify herein evidence that may support its proposed constructions. By identifying portions of the specification in this document, defendants do not concede that any claim satisfies the enablement or written description requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112 and expressly reserve the right to challenge any claim on those bases. By identifying portions of the provisional application to which the '682 patent purports to claim priority, defendants do not concede that said provisional application provides written description or other support for any claim. In addition to the intrinsic and extrinsic evidence cited herein, defendants identify (1) all claims in which any term appears as support for its constructions and (2) all intrinsic and extrinsic evidence for each claim term cited by Plaintiff.

Case 2:10-cv-01385-MJP Document 241-2 Filed 06/02/11 Page 3 of 27

Claim Language (Disputed Terms in Bold)	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support ²	Defendants' Proposed Construction ³ and Evidence in Support ⁴
'682 patent		
Term 1	an indication that [an/the] item is of current interest	an indication that [an/the] item is of current interest
"an indication that [an/the] item is of	Proposed Construction:	Proposed Construction:
current interest"	an indication that [an/the] item is of interest at that time	An alert that activity of interest is happening now in a dynamically changing electronic resource.
Found in claims: 1, 2, and 3		Intrinsic Evidence: '682 Patent Title, 1:1-2 ("ALERTING USERS")
	Intrinsic Evidence:	TO ITEMS OF CURRENT INTEREST")
	Figs. 1, 2B, 5, 7, 11	'682 Patent 1:22-27 ("FIELD OF THE INVENTION: The present invention relates
	"The level of current interest of a particular file or other electronic resources is determined	generally to communications and computer networks. More specifically, alerting users to
	based on indications received from alerting users." 2:31-33.	dynamic content accessible via a communications or computer network that is of interest at the time of the alert is disclosed.")
	"However, this proliferation of content, such as audio, image, and video content, presents	'682 Patent 1:43-53 ("First, the shear volume of
	certain challenges from the perspective of users seeking content of current interest. First,	content available makes it difficult for users to find the content in which they are most
	the shear volume of content available makes it difficult for users to find the content in which they are most interested in accessing at any	interested in accessing at any given time much of the content of potentially greatest interest, at least to many users, is dynamic. At
	given time. Apart from having to sort through the enormous volume of content available,	certain times, a file or other electronic resource may be of great interest while at other times, or
	much of the content of potentially greatest interest, at least to many users, is dynamic. At	perhaps even most of the time, it is not of great interest or not interesting at all.")
	certain times, a file or other electronic resource may be of great interest while at other times, or perhaps even most of the time,	'682 Patent 1:58-2:6 ("A webcam might be used, for example, to provide images of a
	it is not of great interest or not interesting at all." 1:41-52.	watering hole in Africa. Typically, users would access a website associated with the webcam to
	"There is also a need to ensure that interested	view activity at the watering hole. However, there would be many periods during which
	users receive alerts with respect to web content or other electronic resources that are	nothing of particular interest (e.g., no animals, etc.) would be happening at the watering hole.
	of interest only to a relatively small community of users, or that are of interest on only relatively rare or infrequent occasions.	Conversely, there would be occasional periods when activity of great interest would be occurring, such as the presence of a rare or
	There is a risk, otherwise, that indications of current interest regarding such files and other	endangered animal at the watering hole. Users would have no way of knowing when such
	electronic resources would be masked by more voluminous or frequent activity with	activity would be occurring, and might miss the most interesting images if they did not happen
	respect to more widely popular or pervasive resources or types of resources (such as	to check the website at the right time. The same problems arise with respect to files or other
	pornography sites on the World Wide Web)." 2:18-27.	electronic resources other than webcam content provided via the World Wide Web, including

1 2	Claim Language (Disputed Terms in Bold)	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support ²	Defendants' Proposed Construction ³ and Evidence in Support ⁴
3	'682 patent		
4		"Accordingly, alerting users of items of current interest is disclosed. The level of	'682 Patent 2:7-14 ("As a result there is a need
5		current interest of a particular file or other electronic resource is determined based on indications received from alerting users. One	for a way to alert users to web content or other electronic resources available via a communications or computer network that are
6		or more users receive an alert that the item is of current interest." 2:30-34.	of interest at a particular time. To meet this latter need, there is a need to provide a way to
7 8		"Disseminating to a participant an indication that an item accessible by the participant via a	become aware that dynamic web content or an electronic resource other than web content is of interest at a given time, and to quantify the
9		network is of current interest is disclosed" 2:47-65.	degree or level of current interest.")
10		"As indicated in FIG. 1, an alert sent by an alerting user includes, in one embodiment, at	'682 Patent 2:30-34 ("Accordingly, alerting users of items of current interest is disclosed. The level of current interest of a particular file
11		least the URL of the web content considered by the alerting user to be of current interest	or other electronic resource is determined based on indications received from alerting users. One
12		. the alerting user may provide text indicating what the alerting user believes to be of current in the such assets of "5.4.12"	or more users receive an alert that the item is of current interest.")
13		interest in the web content." 5:4-12. '682 File History, April 9, 2003 Office	'682 Patent 2:47-65 (" Disseminating to a participant an indication that an item accessible
14		Action, at 3 (noting that documents viewed in Eichstaedt were of current interest) Exhibit B-	by the participant via a network is of current interest is disclosed ")
15		1 IL_DEFTS0008325 at 8327; see also September 16, 2003 Office Action, at 3 (same)	'682 Patent 3:9-12 ("to alert users to dynamic
16 17		Exhibit B-1 IL_DEFTS0008598 at 8600. Provisional Application to the '682 Patent	content of interest at the time of the alert (also referred to herein as an 'item of current interest')").
18		(No. 60/178627), at 3 ("In one embodiment, a 'Hot Now' virtual pushbutton is present on a	'682 Patent 4:11-14 ("alert users to dynamic
19		user's web display. When the user sees something they feel is of interest, they press	content of interest at the time of the alert (also referred to herein as an 'item of current
20		the button. Pressing the Hot Now button sends an alert message to everyone using the	interest').")
21		infrastructure who has indicated that such alerts are of interest to them (based upon	'682 Patent 4:11-19 (" The system 100 includes at least one alerting user 102 who
22		factors described below). Along with the alert message a link to the website of interest is	accesses dynamic content associated with a uniform resource locator (URL), determines the
23		provided, and alerted users can chose [sic] to go there. If they also believe the site is	content is of current interest, and sends an alert indicating that the URL is of current interest, as
24		currently interesting, they can press their Hot Now button and further propagate the alert.");	described more fully below.").
25		see also 9 ("For example, the system may be used to provide and alert when someone finds	'682 Patent 4:20-24 ("In one embodiment, participant 104 provides an indication of the
26		anything on the Web that is timely and worthy of alerting others who have expressed interest,	participant's interests and receives a list of URLs providing the location of dynamic
27		such as auctions."). Extrinsic evidence:	content, such as web content on the World Wide Web, that may be of interest to the participant at the time of the alert.")
		Webster's New World College Dictionary, 4th ed. at 355 (defining "current" as "at the	'682 Patent 5:4-12 ("As indicated in FIG. 1, an

Case 2:10-cv-01385-MJP Document 241-2 Filed 06/02/11 Page 5 of 27

1 2	Claim Language (Disputed Terms in Bold)	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support ²	Defendants' Proposed Construction ³ and Evidence in Support ⁴
3	'682 patent		
4		present time; contemporary")	alert sent by an alerting user includes, in one embodiment, at least the URL of the web
5		The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language, 4th ed. (2000) at 446 (defining "current" as "belonging to the	content considered by the alerting user to be of current interest ")
6		present time" or "prevalent, especially at the present time")	'682 Patent 5:13-19 ("FIG. 2A is a series of
7		Webster's Ninth New Collegiate Dictionary	three screen shots showing three different states of an alert submission display")
8		(1985) at 316 (defining "current" as "occurring in or existing at the present time").	'682 Patent Figures 2A, 3, 11
9 10		Declaration of William Mangione-Smith, ¶ 5, 7 (opining that claims should not be limited to	'682 Patent 5:58-60 ("The process begins in step 302 in which an alert indicating that an item is of current interest is received.")
11		a preferred embodiment)	
12			Provisional Application 60/178,627 ("Provisional App.") (referenced by the '682
13			patent as a related U.S. Application Exhibit B-1 at IL_DEFTS0009124-35
14			Provisional App., Title: "Alerting Users to Web
15			Sites of Current Interest " Exhibit B-1 at IL_DEFTS0009125
16			Provisional App. at Summary ("While dozens of web cam portals and directories exist, none are
17 18			capable of propagating an alert that 'something interesting is happening now,' to the right people. To solve this problem, a real time meta-
19			data happening infrastructure allowing people who see interesting occurrences to alert other
20			interested parties is disclosed. The system is referred to as 'Hot Now.'") Exhibit B-1 at IL_DEFTS0009125
21			Provisional App. at Sec. 1.3 ("Today, dozens of
22			such webcam directories exist, some including more than 10,000 entries. Such services are
23			valuable in a limited way. They can help users find the African watering hole, but cannot help
24			users determine when an animal is present.") Exhibit B-1 at IL_DEFTS0009126
25			Provisional App. at Sec. 1.4 ("Most webcam and web video directories have some method of
26			ranking. These methods range from editorial choices made by the directory operators to
27			voting on the part of the viewers. It's common to see "top ten" lists, often with voting numbers available, and to see such honors as "webcam of

Case 2:10-cv-01385-MJP Document 241-2 Filed 06/02/11 Page 6 of 27

1 2	Claim Language (Disputed Terms in Bold)	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support ²	Defendants' Proposed Construction ³ and Evidence in Support ⁴
3	'682 patent		
4	-		the day." From our perspective, such determinations are relatively static and cannot
5			help anyone interested in short time based events. Sites which list a webcam of the minute
6			do exist, but there is no special time-based relevance in a selected webcam.") Exhibit B-1 at IL_DEFTS0009127
7			Provisional App. at 2.1 ("Pressing the Hot Now
8			button sends an alert message to everyone using the infrastructure who has indicated that such
9			alerts are of interest to them (based upon factors described below). Along with the alert message a link to the website of interest is provided, and
11			alerted users can chose to go there. If they also believe the site is currently interesting, they can press their Hot Now button and further
12			propagate the alert.") Exhibit B-1 at IL_DEFTS0009127
13			
14			Extrinsic evidence:
15			Interval.com web site, circa February 2002 ("Kundi.com is a spin-off venture from Paul Allen's Interval Research Corporation. It began
16			in 1999 as a fast-track research project to explore interesting commercial opportunities
17 18			relating to webcams, whose usage had begun to explode. We found that webcams and streaming media had a search problem unique for
19			the Web: time. Search engines are not equipped to find events <i>as they happen</i> . Kundi developed
20			an alert infrastructure, whereby people can alert other people in real time to encourage
21			propagation.
22			Interval spin-off Kundi's web site, before Feb. 19 2001 ("Kundi.com is a unique time-critical network mining tool. Its purpose is to
23			find interesting events on the Web as they happen. We first became aware of the need
24			while researching web cameras and other forms of spontaneous streaming media. Existing
25			search engines can easily find an animal cam in Africa, but none can tell you when an animal is
26			present.
27			Our solution relies on humans alerting other humans. We've created a unique alert infrastructure that allows people to press our

1 2	Claim Language (Disputed Terms in Bold)	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support ²	Defendants' Proposed Construction ³ and Evidence in Support ⁴
3	'682 patent		
4 5			"HotNow Button" when they see something that interests them. They can also add a brief comment if they desire. This information enters our "HotNowList" displayed as a pop-up
6			window, which updates every 10 (that's TEN) seconds This information enables interesting live events to propagate up, or down,
7			the list: 'people's choice' in real time.
8			Interval spin-off Kundi.com home page, circa April 2002 ("HotNow is a unique tool that lets
9			you find and share the most interesting events on the Web – <i>right when they're happening</i> Updated every ten seconds, the HotNow List
10			reveals the 50 web sites that HotNow users (like you!) find most interesting <i>right now</i> .")
12			(emphasis in original).
13			'682 patent co-applicant Michael Naimark's Web-site naimark.net ("In 1999, anticipating the explosion of live streaming video and other
14			media, an effort launched at Interval Research Corporation proposed a solution to finding live,
15			unscheduled events as they happen. This solution enabled people to alert other people in
16			real time to encourage propagation, and resulted in an Interval spinoff venture called Kundi.com.
17			Kundi was up and running until 2001. Three patents were allowed in 2003 and 2004.")
18			'682 patent co-applicant Michael Naimark, email to colleagues dated 03/21/2001
19			Kundi.com, the post-Interval webcam venture you've heard me mention, has launched a beta
20			version. It addresses a unique problem shared by webcams and other live media: finding
21			interesting events <i>as they happen</i> . Our solution is based on people alerting other people.
22			We've developed a 'HotNow Button,' that
23			people press when they see something interesting, and a 'HotNow List,' that links to
24			the top ranked HotNow sites. Pressing the HotNow Button counts as a big vote, linking to
25			a site from the HotNow List counts as a small vote, and time decays all values. The rest is
26			math. Our system updates every ten seconds, so things quickly propagate up or fall off.
27			

1 2	Claim Language (Disputed Terms in Bold)	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support ²	Defendants' Proposed Construction ³ and Evidence in Support ⁴
3	'682 patent		
4			Terveen expert report ⁵ , ¶¶ 23:
5			23. The system disclosed in the '682 application deals with 'dynamic' electronic
6			content available for transmission over the network that may be of great interest at one
7			moment, but of no interest shortly thereafter. The type of dynamic content that is the
8			object of the invention (e.g., '682 patent at 1:53-2:47 ("the presence of a rare or endangered animal at the watering hole"
9			which is being monitored by a webcam)) will be of interest only for short periods of
10			time
11			Interval Research "Project Narrative," on or about 08/06/1999 [INT00004442-43, Marked
12			"Confidential"]
13			The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language. 4th ed. 2000.
14			• Current: " 1b. Being in progress now: current negotiations."
15			 Indication: "1. The act of indicating. 2. Something that serves to indicate; a
16			• Indicate: "1. To show the way to or the
17			direction of; point out:"
18			Oxford English Dictionary, second edition (1989)
19			• Current: "3. a. Running in time; in course of passing; in progress."
20			 Indication: "1. a. The action of indicating, pointing out, or making
21			known; that in which this is embodied; a hint, suggestion, or piece of
22			information from which more may be inferred."
23			Indicate: "1. To point out, point to, make known, show (more or less)
24			distinctly)."
25			Oxford World Dictionary • Current: "belonging to the present
26			time; happening or being used or done

⁵ Expert Report and Declaration of Professor Loren Terveen Regarding U.S. Patent No. 6,757,682, signed and dated April 4, 2011.

Case 2:10-cv-01385-MJP Document 241-2 Filed 06/02/11 Page 9 of 27

1 2	Claim Language (Disputed Terms in Bold)	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support ²	Defendants' Proposed Construction ³ and Evidence in Support ⁴
3	'682 patent		
4			now: keep abreast of current events; I started my current job in 2001"
5			Webster's New World College Dictionary, 4th ed.
6 7			 Current: "2 a) now going on; now in progress [the current month, his current job] b) at the present time;
8			contemporary [current fashions] c) of most recent date [the current edition]"
9			
10	Term 2	[determine/determining] an intensity weight value	[determine/determining] an intensity weight value
11	[determine /		
12	determining] an intensity	Proposed Construction:	Proposed Construction:
13	weight value	"intensity weight value" = A value associated with an item to which an	This claim language is insolubly ambiguous and not amenable to any reasonable construction
14	Found in claims: 1, 2, and 3	indication pertains that represents the level of interest in that item	Intrinsic Evidence:
15		Intrinsic Evidence:	Application that lead to the '682 patent, including original claims of that application.
16		"intensity weight value" "The alert object also includes an	Exhibit B-1 at IL_DEFTS0008266-69.
17		LAST_RANK field 518 used to store a numerical ranking retrieved from the database	Original claims of purportedly incorporated U.S. Pat. Appl. No. 09/656,518 ("'518
18		that indicates the overall level or degree of current interest of an item as indicated by all	application")
19		of the alerts that have been submitted with respect to a URL during the current period of	Original claims of purportedly incorporated U.S. Pat. Appl. No. 09/658,346 ("'346
20		activity with respect to the URL through the most recent prior alert. The alert object also	application")
21		includes a LAST_WEIGHT field 520 used to store data retrieved from a database table, as	<u>'682 Patent Prosecution History</u> April 9, 2003 Office Action at 3 ("As to
22		described below, that represents the number of prior alerts received for the URL in the	wherein processing the indication comprises
23		interest category indicated by the current alert, as described more fully below. The alert	determining an intensity value (i.e. numerical value) for the indication based on
24		object also includes a LAST_INTENSITY_SUM field 522 in which	at least one attribute of the indication (see column 3, lines 29-38), the intensity value
25		the sum of the intensities of all prior alerts for the URL during the current period of activity	(i.e. numerical value) representing the weight that will be given to the indication
26		with respect to the URL, which sum is retrieved from a database table described more	(see column 3, lines 49-54).") Exhibit B-1 at IL_DEFTS0008328.
27		fully below, is stored." 6:31-47. "Next, in step 606, the intensity sum for the	September 16, 2003 Office Action (same) Exhibit B-1 at IL_DEFTS0008601.
		URL, which is the sum of the intensity values	Emilot D T tt IL_DEI 100000001.

1 2	Claim Language (Disputed Terms in Bold)	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support ²	Defendants' Proposed Construction ³ and Evidence in Support ⁴
3	'682 patent		
4		for all of the alerts with respect to the URL, is updated." 7:28-30.	Nov. 28, 2003 Amendment and Remarks (adding new language, "determining an intensity value to be associated with the
5 6		"In step 610, the interest weight value, which represents the number of alerts for a particular URL in which a particular category of interest	indication and an intensity weight value, and adjusting the intensity value based on a characteristic for the item provided by the
7		was indicated, is updated." 7:32-34. "As noted above, in one embodiment, the	source" and arguing that: "The rejection is respectfully traversed. As amended, claim 1 recites "determining an intensity value to
8		weight is the total number of alerts received within a given interest category for a URL."	be associated with the indication and an intensity weight value, and adjusting the
9		7:49-51.	intensity value based on a characteristic for the item provided by the source"
0		"Finally, the database table 700 includes a NORMALIZE table 712 used to store the sum of the intensities of the alerts submitted for a	Eichstaedt et al. discloses ranking categories and generating profiles, but based on feedback from the user following interaction
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$		URL (INTENSITY_SUM) and a time stamp indicating when the last normalization was	with an item. (Col. 3, lines 28-67). The weight of a category is based on the number
3		performed." 7:67-8:3.	of user clicks on a document or actions expressed by the user. (Col3, lines 52-54).
4		"FIG. 8A is a flowchart illustrating a process used in one embodiment to update the intensity sum for a URL, as in step 606 of	Eichstaedt et al. does not disclose an intensity value adjusted based on a characteristic for an item provided by a
5		FIG. 6. The process begins with step 802 in which the current intensity sum is retrieved	source, as in the claimed invention. Thus, claim 1 is allowable for the reasons stated
6		from the database, as in step 604 of FIG. 6. If there is no existing record for the URL in the NORMALIZE table (i.e., the alert being	above.") Exhibit B-1 at IL_DEFTS0008614 & IL_DEFTS0008620.
7		processed is the first alert for the URL), a URL_ID is assigned for the URL, a record for	
8		the URL is created in the NORMALIZE table, and the retrieved current intensity sum is set to	Extrinsic evidence:
$\begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$		zero. Next, in step 804, the intensity sum is incremented by the amount of the intensity of the current alert. For example, if the previous	Terveen Report, ¶ 30, 33. 30. In a November 24, 2003 amendment, the applicants added the following clause to
1		intensity sum was 4.7 and the intensity for the current alert was 0.5, the intensity sum would	all independent claims: [determining/determine] an intensity
22		be incremented to the value of 4.7+0.5=5.2. Finally, in step 806, the intensity sum time	value to be associated with the indication and an intensity weight
3		stamp stored in NORMALIZE table 712 shown in FIG. 7 (which is the same as the LAST_NORMAL_TIME stored in field 524	value, and adjusting the intensity value based on a characteristic for the item provided by the source
4		of FIG. 5) is updated to the time stamp of the current alert. In one embodiment, the intensity	E.g., issued '682 patent at claim 1.
5		sum is updated, and a normalization is performed as described more fully below, each time a new alert is received for a URL. In	33. A PHOSITA in 2000 could not determine a meaning for the term "intensity weight value" even with the aid of the
6		such an embodiment, the time stamp stored in the NORMALIZE table 712 of FIG. 7 will be	weight value," even with the aid of the application and prosecution history. In this regard, I note the following:
7		the same as the time stamp stored in the RANK table 708 of FIG. 7, as both the rank and the intensity sum are updated each time an	a. A PHOSITA in 2000 would not have understood this term to have an accepted meaning in the art.

1	Claim Language (Disputed Terms	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support ²	Defendants' Proposed Construction ³ and Evidence in Support ⁴
2	in Bold)		
3	'682 patent		
4		alert is received." 8:4-28.	b. Grammatically, the claim language fails to inform how the term
5		With respect to the "interest weight value": "The process then proceeds to step 850 in	"intensity weight value" relates to other terms in the claim—a PHOSITA
6		which the weight value is incremented for the URL with respect to the interests category by	would not know whether the claim required "determining [1] an intensity
7		increasing the value from zero to one for the new record." 10:12-15; see also 10:19-23.	value to be associated with the indication and [2] an intensity weight value;" or "determining an intensity
8		"As to claim 3, <u>Eichstaedt et al.</u> teaches a method, wherein processing the indication	value to be associated with [1] the indication and [2] an intensity weight
9		further comprises calculating an intensity rank for the item based at least in part on the	value." c. The amended claims do not
10		intensity value (i.e., numerical value) of the indication (see column 3, lines 28-64), the	specify how the "intensity weight value" is used, if at all, and are,
11		intensity rank indicating the level of current interest of the item relative to other items (see	therefore, silent concerning the role this concept should play in the rest of
12		column 3, lines 49-53; where 'intensity rank' is ready on 'weight.')." '682 Prosecution	the claimed method, system or product. d. The term "intensity weight
13		History, Office Action, April 9, 2003, at 3, Exhibit B-1 IL_DEFTS0008325 at 8334.	value" is not used anywhere in the application or its purportedly
14		Extrinsic Evidence	incorporated applications and, thus, there is no guidance that would allow one of skill in the art to determine its
15		Declaration of William Mangione-Smith:	meaning. e. In amending the claims to add
16		"Furthermore, I do find support for the meaning of 'intensity weight value' in the	this previously-unused language, the applicants provided no explanation for
17		filed application. The specification itself aligns precisely with the language of claim 1.	how it related to the alleged invention described in the original '682
18		Claim 1 requires determining (1) an intensity value and (2) an intensity weight value. As	application. f. The constituent words of this
19		described in the '682 specification at Figure 6 and 6:51-7:35, an intensity value is	term are used loosely in the '682 application, including sometimes
20		calculated at step 602 ('the intensity of the alert is determined'). At step 604, data values	interchangeably. As just one example, the '682 application states that "[t]he
21		are retrieved from the database. At step 606, the intensity sum is updated for the URL,	term intensity as used herein refers to the weight or value to be assigned to a
22		'which is the sum of the intensity values for all of the alerts with respect to the URL.'	particular alert regarding an item." ('682 patent at 6:54-56).
23		Intensity sum is an intensity <i>weight</i> value for the URL in the same manner as the 'interest	g. The '682 application describes two values that are updated
24		weight value' is for a particular category of interest for a particular URL. "682 patent at	after the "intensity value" for a particular alert has been determined:
25		7:32-34 ('[I]nterest <i>weight</i> value represents the number of alerts for a particular	an "intensity sum" and an "interest weight value." These two values are
26		URL in which a particular category of interest was indicated '); see also 7:50-51 ('As	described as being separately updated and each value carries different
27		noted above, in one embodiment, the weight is the total number of alerts received within a given interest category for a URL.'). At step 608, the intensity rank for the URL is updated.	information. (See '682 patent at 7:28-30 ("Next, in step 606, the intensity sum for the URL, which is the sum of the intensity values for all of the alerts
- 1		ooo, the intensity funk for the OKE is updated.	the intensity values for all of the alerts

Claim Language (Disputed Terms in Bold)	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support ²	Defendants' Proposed Construction ³ and Evidence in Support ⁴
'682 patent	See'682 patent at 8:29-10:57 (describing the various ways in which the intensity rank can	with respect to the URL, is updated.") (emphasis added); 7:32-35 ("In step
	be calculated). The intensity rank is a weighted sum of intensity values and thus is also an intensity weight value. Finally, at step 610, the interest weight value is updated, 'which represents the number of alerts for a particular URL in which a particular category of interest was indicated.' '682 patent at 7:32-34. The interest weight value is not an 'intensity weight value' because it is not based on intensity values. Instead, it is based purely on a summation of a specific class of alerts and is unaffected by the intensity value of any alert. I believe that one of ordinary skill in the art on or about the time of the filing of the application that issued as the '682 patent would understand that both the intensity sum and the intensity rank are 'intensity weight values' as that term is used in claim 1 of the '682 patent." ¶19; see also ¶20 (discussing use of "weight" in the procession history) and ¶21.	610, the interest weight value, which represents the number of alerts for a particular URL in which a particular category of interest was indicated, is updated.") (emphasis added)). A PHOSITA could not determine whether the "intensity weight value" in the claims corresponds to the "intensit sum" or the "interest weight value" described in the specification – or ever if it relates to either of these values. One of ordinary skill in the art would be left guessing as to which of the various values described in the specification, if any, corresponds to th "intensity weight value" recited in the amended claims.
Term 3	[determine/determining] an intensity value to be associated with the indication	[determine/determining] an intensity value to be associated with the indication
[determine / determining] an intensity value to	Proposed Construction:	Proposed Construction:
be associated with the indication	[determine/determining] a value to be associated with the indication that represents the strength of the indication	Calculate and assign to "the indication" a numerical value representing the reliability of the particular indication based on its source or content.
Found in claims: 1, 2, and 3	Intrinsic Evidence: "ALERT INTENSITY field 514 is used to store a number indicating the intensity or weight to be afforded to the incoming alert. The ALERT INTENSITY is determined as described below." 6:23-26.	Intrinsic Evidence: '682 Patent, Dependent claim 18 ("The method of claim 3, further comprising determining the weight to be given to the indication.") '682 Patent, 2:10-17 ("To meet this latter need there is a need to provide a way to become aware that dynamic web content or an electronic provide a way to be a second that dynamic web content or an electronic provide a way to be a second that dynamic web content or an electronic provide a way to be a second that dynamic web content or an electronic provide a way to be a second that dynamic web content or an electronic provide a way to be a second that dynamic web content or an electronic provide a way to be a second that dynamic web content or an electronic provide a way to be a second that dynamic web content or an electronic provide a way to be a second that dynamic web content or an electronic provide a way to be a second that dynamic web content or an electronic provide a way to be a second that dynamic web content or an electronic provide a way to be a second that dynamic web content or an electronic provide a way to be a second that dynamic web content or an electronic provide a way to be a second that dynamic web content or an electronic provide a way to be a second that dynamic web content or an electronic provide a way to be a second that dynamic web a second tha
	"The term intensity as used herein refers to the weight or value to be assigned to a particular alert regarding an item. In one embodiment, the value assigned for the intensity is higher if the alerting user selects an interest category for the alert than it would have been if the same alerting party had not selected an interest	resource other than web content is of interest at a given time, and to quantify the degree or leve of current interest.") '682 Patent, 6:23-26 ("ALERT INTENSITY field 514 is used to store a number indicating the intensity or weight to be afforded the

	Claim I an anna	Disingtiff's Duranged Construction and	Defendants' Discount Countries 3 and
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	Claim Language (Disputed Terms in Bold)	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support ²	Defendants' Proposed Construction ³ and Evidence in Support ⁴
3	'682 patent		
	Parent	caption for the alert than it would have been if	
5		the alerting party had not provided a caption. In one embodiment, the intensity of an alert is increased if it is determined that the alerting	'682 Patent, 6:51-7:24 ("FIG. 6 is a flowchart illustrating a process used in one embodiment to process as alerts as in step 304 of FIG. 3. The
6		party is a party that has provided particularly relevant or helpful alerts in the past, or is	process begins with step 602 in which the intensity of the alert is determined. The term
7		trusted for some other reason, such as expertise, academic credentials, or reputation within a particular community of interest. In	intensity as used herein refers to the weight or value to be assigned to a particular alert regarding an item. In one embodiment, the
8		one embodiment, the intensity of an alert is	intensity is a value between 0 and 1. In one
9		decreased if it is determined that the alerting party has provided unhelpful or erroneous	embodiment, the value assigned for the intensity is higher if the alerting user selects an interest
10		alerts in the past, or if it is determined that the alerting party cannot be trusted as much as other alerting parties for other reasons, such as	category for the alert than it would have been if the same alerting party had not selected an interest category. In one embodiment, the
11		reputation in the relevant community. In one embodiment, it is possible to provide both an	intensity value is higher if the alerting party provides a caption for the alert than it would
12		active alert by selecting an alert button and to provide a passive alert by merely accessing a	have been if the alerting party had not provided a caption. In one embodiment, the intensity of
13		URL with respect to which an alerting party previously submitted an active alert. In one	an alert is increased if it is determined that the alerting party is a party that has provided
14		embodiment, an active alert is assigned a higher intensity value than a passive alert."	particularly relevant or helpful alerts in the past, or is trusted for some other reason, such as
15		6:54-7:12.	expertise, academic credentials, or reputation within a particular community of interest. In one
16		"For example, a passive alert may be arbitrarily assigned a baseline intensity value of 0.3 and an active alert a baseline intensity	embodiment, the intensity of an alert is decreased if it is determined that the alerting party has provided unhelpful or erroneous alerts
17		value of 0.5. For an active alert, 0.1 could be added for each of the following conditions that	in the past, or if it is determined that the alerting party cannot be trusted as much as other alerting
18		is satisfied by the alert: an interest category selection was included in the alert; a caption	parties for other reasons, such as reputation in the relevant community. In one embodiment, it
19		was included in the alert; and/or the source of the alert is particularly trusted. Conversely,	is possible to provide both an active alert by selecting an alert button and to provide a passive
20		0.1 could be subtracted from the intensity of an alert from a source known to be unreliable.	alert by merely accessing a URL with respect to which an alerting party previously submitted an
21		Alternatively, alerts from sources known to be unreliable may be blocked and not assigned	active alert. In one embodiment, an active alert is assigned a higher intensity value than a
22		any intensity value." 7:13-23.	passive alert.
23		No extrinsic evidence identified.	For example, a passive alert may be arbitrarily assigned a baseline intensity value of 0.3 and an
24			active alert a baseline intensity value of 0.5. For an active alert, 0.1 could be added for each of
25			the following conditions that is satisfied by the alert: an interest category selection was included
26			in the alert; a caption was included in the alert; and/or the source of the alert is particularly
27			trusted. Conversely, 0.1 could be subtracted from the intensity of an alert from a source known to be unreliable. Alternatively, alerts from sources known to be unreliable may be

Case 2:10-cv-01385-MJP Document 241-2 Filed 06/02/11 Page 14 of 27

1 2	Claim Language (Disputed Terms in Bold)	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support ²	Defendants' Proposed Construction ³ and Evidence in Support ⁴
3	'682 patent		
4	1		blocked and not assigned any intensity value.
5			The process illustrated in FIG. 6 continues with
			step 604 ")
6			Original claims of purportedly incorporated '518 application
7 8			Original claims of purportedly incorporated '346 application
9			<u>'682 Patent Prosecution History</u> April 9, 2003 Office Action at 3 ("As to claim 2, <u>Eichstaedt et al.</u> teaches a method,
10 11			wherein processing the indication comprises determining an intensity value (i.e. numerical value) for the indication based on
12			at least one attribute of the indication (see column 3, lines 29-38), the intensity value
13			(i.e. numerical value) representing the weight that will be given to the indication
14			(see column 3, lines 49-54).") Exhibit B-1 at IL_DEFTS0008328.
15			September 16, 2003 Office Action (same)
16			Exhibit B-1 at IL_DEFTS0008601.
17			Nov. 28, 2003 Amendment and Remarks at 8: ("The rejection is respectfully traversed. As amended, claim 1 recites "determining"
18			an intensity value to be associated with the indication and an intensity weight value, and
19			adjusting the intensity value based on a characteristic for the item provided by the
20			source" <u>Eichstaedt et al.</u> discloses ranking categories and generating profiles, but based
21			on feedback from the user following interaction with an item. (Col. 3, lines 28-
22			67). The weight of a category is based on the number of user clicks on a document or
23			actions expressed by the user. (Col3, lines 52-54). Eichstaedt et al. does not disclose
24			an intensity value adjusted based on a characteristic for an item provided by a
25			source, as in the claimed invention. Thus, claim 1 is allowable for the reasons stated
26			above.") Exhibit B-1 at IL_DEFTS0008614 & IL_DEFTS0008620.
27			Extrinsic evidence: Webster's Ninth New Collegiate Dictionary © 1985

1 2	Claim Language (Disputed Terms in Bold)	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support ²	Defendants' Proposed Construction ³ and Evidence in Support ⁴
3	'682 patent		
4			determine:
5			authoritatively."
6			o "4a. to find out or come to a decision about by investigation, reasoning, or
7			calculation <~ the answer to
8			the problem> <~ a position at sea>"
9			The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language. 4th ed. 2000. • determine:
10			o "1a. To decide or settle (a dispute, for example)
11			conclusively and authoritatively."
12			o "2. To establish or ascertain definitely, as after
13			consideration, investigation, or calculation."
14			o "7. <i>Mathematics</i> To fix or
15			define the position, form, or configuration of."
16			Oxford English Dictionary, second edition (1989)
17			determine: "11. <i>trans</i> . To ascertain definitely by observation, examination, calculation, etc. (a
18			point previously unknown or uncertain); to fix as known."
19			Webster's New World College Dictionary, 4th ed. at 355
20			determine: "to find out exactly;
21			calculate precisely; ascertain [to determine a ship's position]"
22	Term 4	adjusting the intensity value based on a characteristic for the item provided by the	adjusting the intensity value based on a characteristic for the item provided by the
23	adjusting the intensity value	source	source
24	based on a characteristic	Proposed Construction:	Proposed Construction:
25	for the item provided by the	modifying the intensity value based on the source's activities pertaining to the item	Modifying the determined intensity value based upon a distinguishing trait, quality or property
26	source	_	of the "item" identified by the source.
27	Found in claims: 1, 2, and 3	Intrinsic Evidence:	Intrinsic Evidence:
		"ALERT INTENSITY field 514 is used to	'682 Patent, 6:51-7:24 ("FIG. 6 is a flowchart

1	Claim Language (Disputed Terms	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support ²	Defendants' Proposed Construction ³ and Evidence in Support ⁴
2	in Bold)		
3	'682 patent		
4		store a number indicating the intensity or	illustrating a process used in one embodiment to
		weight to be afforded to the incoming alert. The ALERT INTENSITY is determined as	process as alerts as in step 304 of FIG. 3. The process begins with step 602 in which the
5		described below." 6:23-26.	intensity of the alert is determined. The term intensity as used herein refers to the weight or
6		"In one embodiment, the value assigned for	value to be assigned to a particular alert
7		the intensity is higher if the alerting user selects an interest category for the alert than it	regarding an item. In one embodiment, the intensity is a value between 0 and 1. In one
		would have been if the same alerting party had	embodiment, the value assigned for the intensity
8		not selected an interest category. In one embodiment, the intensity value is higher if	is higher if the alerting user selects an interest category for the alert than it would have been if
9		the alerting party provides a caption for the	the same alerting party had not selected an
10		alert than it would have been if the alerting party had not provided a caption. In one	interest category. In one embodiment, the intensity value is higher if the alerting party
10		embodiment, the intensity of an alert is	provides a caption for the alert than it would
11		increased if it is determined that the alerting party is a party that has provided particularly	have been if the alerting party had not provided a caption. In one embodiment, the intensity of
12		relevant or helpful alerts in the past, or is	an alert is increased if it is determined that the
		trusted for some other reason, such as expertise, academic credentials, or reputation	alerting party is a party that has provided particularly relevant or helpful alerts in the past,
13		within a particular community of interest. In	or is trusted for some other reason, such as
14		one embodiment, the intensity of an alert is decreased if it is determined that the alerting	expertise, academic credentials, or reputation within a particular community of interest. In one
15		party has provided unhelpful or erroneous alerts in the past, or if it is determined that the	embodiment, the intensity of an alert is decreased if it is determined that the alerting
		alerting party cannot be trusted as much as	party has provided unhelpful or erroneous alerts
16		other alerting parties for other reasons, such as reputation in the relevant community. In one	in the past, or if it is determined that the alerting party cannot be trusted as much as other alerting
17		embodiment, it is possible to provide both an	parties for other reasons, such as reputation in
18		active alert by selecting an alert button and to provide a passive alert by merely accessing a	the relevant community. In one embodiment, it is possible to provide both an active alert by
		URL with respect to which an alerting party	selecting an alert button and to provide a passive
19		previously submitted an active alert. In one embodiment, an active alert is assigned a	alert by merely accessing a URL with respect to which an alerting party previously submitted an
20		higher intensity value than a passive alert."	active alert. In one embodiment, an active alert
21		6:57-7:12.	is assigned a higher intensity value than a passive alert.
		"For example, a passive alert may be	For example, a massive class may be subituatily
22		arbitrarily assigned a baseline intensity value of 0.3 and an active alert a baseline intensity	For example, a passive alert may be arbitrarily assigned a baseline intensity value of 0.3 and an
23		value of 0.5. For an active alert, 0.1 could be added for each of the following conditions that	active alert a baseline intensity value of 0.5. For an active alert, 0.1 could be added for each of
24		is satisfied by the alert: an interest category	the following conditions that is satisfied by the
		selection was included in the alert; a caption was included in the alert; and/or the source of	alert: an interest category selection was included in the alert; a caption was included in the alert;
25		the alert is particularly trusted. Conversely,	and/or the source of the alert is particularly
26		0.1 could be subtracted from the intensity of an alert from a source known to be unreliable.	trusted. Conversely, 0.1 could be subtracted from the intensity of an alert from a source
27		Alternatively, alerts from sources known to be	known to be unreliable. Alternatively, alerts
<i>21</i>		unreliable may be blocked and not assigned any intensity value." 7:13-23.	from sources known to be unreliable may be blocked and not assigned any intensity value.
		•	
- 11	-		

1 2	Claim Language (Disputed Terms in Bold)	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support ²	Defendants' Proposed Construction ³ and Evidence in Support ⁴
3	'682 patent		
4		No extrinsic evidence identified.	The process illustrated in FIG. 6 continues with step 604 ")
5			Original claims of purportedly incorporated '518 application
6 7			Original claims of purportedly incorporated '346 application
8			'682 Patent Prosecution History April 9, 2003 Office Action. Exhibit B-1 at
9			IL_DEFTS0008328.
10			July 7, 2003 Amendments and Remarks ("Therefore, claim 1 requires that the
11			indication that the item is of current interest come from a source other than the
12			participant who is informed that the item is of current interest, whereas Eichstaedt teaches learning from a user's own past
13			actions what is of interest to that user. See, e.g., and without limitation, Application at
14			p. 9, line 13 — p. 11, line 15;p. 13, lines 1-5; p. 24, lines 1-9; and Figure 1 (noting in
15			particular the distinction between the alerting user 102 and the participant 104).")
16			Exhibit B-1 at IL_DEFTS0008596.
17 18			September 16, 2003 Office Action at 9 ("In response, Examiner maintains that Eichstaedt discloses such wherein analyzer
19			and profile generator generates a profile used to provide customized information is
20			deemed to be from the profile as the source not directly from the participant in one
21			embodiment; See 3:8-25.") Exhibit B-1 at IL_DEFTS0008607.
22			Nov. 28, 2003 Amendment and Remarks at
23			8 ("The rejection is respectfully traversed. As amended, claim 1 recites "determining an intensity value to be associated with the
24			an intensity value to be associated with the indication and an intensity weight value, and adjustingthe intensity value based on a
25			characteristic for the item provided by the source" Eichstaedt et al. discloses ranking
26			categories and generating profiles, but based on feedback from the user following
27			interaction with an item. (Col. 3, lines 28-67). The weight of a category is based onthe number of user clicks on a document or
		16	actions expressed by the user. (Col3, lines

1	Claim Language (Disputed Terms	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support ²	Defendants' Proposed Construction ³ and Evidence in Support ⁴
2	in Bold)		
3	'682 patent		52-54). Eichstaedt et al. does not disclose
5			an intensity value adjusted based on a characteristic for an item provided by a source, as in the claimed invention. Thus,
6			claim 1 is allowable for the reasons stated above.") Exhibit B-1 at
7			IL_DEFTS0008620.
8			Extrinsic Evidence:
9			Webster's Ninth New Collegiate Dictionary © 1985
10			adjust: o 1a. to bring to a more
11			satisfactory state: (1): SETTLE RESOLVE (2):
12			RECTIFY o 1c. to bring the parts of to a
13			true or more effective relative position <~ a carburetor>
14			characteristic:1. a distinguishing trait,
			quality, or property
15 16			The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language. 4th ed. 2000. • adjust:
17			o 1. To change so as to match or
18			fit; cause to correspond. o 2. To bring into proper relationship.
19			o 4. To bring the components of
20			into a more effective or efficient calibration or state: adjust the timing of a car's
21			engine.
22			• characteristic: o 1. A feature that helps to
23			identify, tell apart, or describe recognizably; a distinguishing
			mark or trait.
24 25			Oxford English Dictionary, second edition (1989)
26			• adjust: "1. a. To arrange, compose, settle, harmonize (things that are or
			may be contradictory, differences, discrepancies, accounts). To adjust <i>an</i>
27			average"
			• characteristic: "1. A distinctive mark,

1 2	Claim Language (Disputed Terms in Bold)	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support ²	Defendants' Proposed Construction ³ and Evidence in Support ⁴
3	'682 patent		
4			trait, or feature; a distinguishing or essential peculiarity or quality."
5			Terveen Report, ¶¶ 31.
6			31. "The later-added claim language recites, in part: (i) "determining" an
7			intensity value to be associated with the indication and (ii) then "adjusting" that
8			intensity value. A PHOSITA in 2000 would not have understood "intensity value to be associated with the indication" to have an
9 10			accepted meaning in the art and, therefore, would also not have understood "determining" and "adjusting" of such an "intensity value" as having an accepted
11	Term 5	[inform/informing] the participant	meaning in the art. [inform/informing] the participant
12	[inform /		
13	informing] the	Proposed Construction:	Proposed Construction:
14	participant Found in claims:	No construction of "inform/informing" is needed.	Alert a user who has expressly requested such alerts.
15	1, 2, and 3	"participant" = the user who receives an indication that the item is of current interest	Intrinsic Evidence:
16		Intrinsic Evidence:	'682 Patent Title, 1:1-2 ("ALERTING USERS TO ITEMS OF CURRENT INTEREST")
17 18		Figs. 1, 2B, 5, 7, 11	'682 Patent 1:22-27 ("FIELD OF THE INVENTION: The present invention relates
19		"More specifically, [the invention relates to] alerting users to dynamic content accessible	generally to communications and computer networks. More specifically, alerting users to
20		via a communications or computer network that is of interest at the time of the alert is	dynamic content accessible via a communications or computer network that is of
21		disclosed." 1:25-28.	interest at the time of the alert is disclosed.")
22		"[T]his proliferation of content, such as audio, image, and video content, presents certain	'682 Patent 1:47-53 ("much of the content of potentially greatest interest, at least to many
23		challenges from the perspective of users seeking content of current interest. First, the	users, is dynamic. At certain times, a file or other electronic resource may be of great
24		shear volume of content available makes it difficult for users to find the content in which they are most interested in accessing at any	interest while at other times, or perhaps even most of the time, it is not of great interest or not interesting at all.")
25		given time." 1:41-46.	'682 Patent 1:58-2:6 ("A webcam might be
		"[T]here is a need to provide a way to become	used, for example, to provide images of a watering hole in Africa. Typically, users would
26		aware that dynamic web content or an electronic resource other than web content is	access a website associated with the webcam to
27		of interest at a given time, and to quantify the degree or level of current interest." 2:10-14.	view activity at the watering hole. However, there would be many periods during which nothing of particular interest (e.g., no animals,

1	Claim Language (Disputed Terms	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support ²	Defendants' Proposed Construction ³ and Evidence in Support ⁴
2	in Bold)		
3	'682 patent		
4		"A detailed description of a preferred embodiment of the invention is provided	etc.) would be happening at the watering hole. Conversely, there would be occasional periods
5		below. While the invention is described in conjunction with that preferred embodiment, it should be understood that the invention is not	when activity of great interest would be occurring, such as the presence of a rare or endangered animal at the watering hole. Users
6		limited to any one embodiment. On the contrary, the scope of the invention is limited	would have no way of knowing when such activity would be occurring, and might miss the
7		only by the appended claims and the invention encompasses numerous alternatives,	most interesting images if they did not happen to check the website at the right time. The same
8		modifications and equivalents. For the purpose of example, numerous specific details	problems arise with respect to files or other electronic resources other than webcam content
9		are set forth in the following description in order to provide a thorough understanding of	provided via the World Wide Web, including other media such as audio.")
10		the present invention. The present invention may be practiced according to the claims	'682 Patent 2:7-20 (" there is a need to
11		without some or all of these specific details" 3:62-4:6.	provide a way to become aware that dynamic content or an electronic resource other than web
12		Fig. 3. See also 5:57-63.	content is of interests at a given time, and to quantify the degree or level of current interest.
13		"In one embodiment, a request is sent to the	In addition, there is a need to consider the interests of a user when determining which web
14		application server automatically at predetermined intervals. The request contains the interest categories that are in the selected	content or other electronic resources likely will be of the greatest interest to the user.
15		state at the time the request is sent. In one	'682 Patent, 2:14-17 ("There is also a need to
16		embodiment, the display 1100 includes a submit button (not shown in FIG. 11) that,	insure that users receive alerts with respect to web content or other electronic resources that
17		when selected causes a request containing the interest categories selected by the participant at the time to be posted to the application	are of interest only to a relatively small community of users, or that are of interest on only relatively rare or infrequent occasions.")
18		server via the Internet." 11:40-47	'682 Patent 2:30-33 ("Accordingly, alerting
19		"Although the foregoing invention has been described in some detail for purposes of	users of items of current interest is disclosed. The level of current interest of a particular file
20		clarity of understanding, it will be apparent that certain changes and modifications may be	or other electronic resource is determined based on indications received from alerting users. One
21		practiced within the scope of the appended claims. It should be noted that there are many	or more users receive an alert that the item is of current interest.")
22		alternative ways of implementing both the process and apparatus of the present invention.	'682 Patent 2:48-53 ("Disseminating to a
23		Accordingly, the present embodiments are to be considered as illustrative and not	participant an indication that an item accessible by the participant via a network is of current
24		restrictive, and the invention is not to be limited to the details given herein, but may be	interest is disclosed. In one embodiment, an indication that the item is of current interest is
25		modified within the scope and equivalents of the appended claims." 14:12-21.	received in real time. The indication is processed. The participant is informed that the item is of current interest.")
26		"Accordingly, alerting users of items of current interest is disclosed. The level of	
27		current interest is disclosed. The level of current interest of a particular file or other electronic resource is determined based on indications received from alerting users. One	'682 Patent 3:9-12 ("to alert users to dynamic content of interest at the time of the alert (also referred to herein as an 'item of current interest')")
			, ,

	Claim Language	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and	Defendants' Proposed Construction ³ and
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	(Disputed Terms in Bold)	Evidence in Support ²	Evidence in Support ⁴
	'682 patent		
3	002 patent	or more users receive an alert that the item is	
4		of current interest." 2:30-34.	'682 Patent, 3:50-55 ("FIG. 10 is a flowchart illustrating a process used in one embodiment to
5		"Disseminating to a participant an indication that an item accessible by the participant via a	disseminate an alert to a participant, as in step 306 of FIG. 3. FIG. 11 shows an exemplary
6		network is of current interest is disclosed" 2:47-65.	participant display 1100 used in one embodiment to disseminate alert information to
7		"As indicated in FIG. 1, an alert sent by an	a participant.")
8		alerting user includes, in one embodiment, at least the URL of the web content considered	'682 Patent, 4:20-25 ("participant 104 provides an indication of the participant's interests and
9		by the alerting user to be of current interest the alerting user may provide text indicating	receives a list of URLs providing the location of dynamic content")
10		what the alerting user believes to be of current interest in the web content." 5:4-12.	'682 Patent, 4:55-56 ("In one embodiment,
11		'682 File History, April 9, 2003 Office	when a request from a participant for a list of URLs for items of current interest is received
12		Action, at 3 (noting that documents viewed in Eichstaedt were of current interest) Exhibit B-	.")
13		1 IL_DEFTS0008325 at 8327; see also September 16, 2003 Office Action, at 3 (same)	'682 Patent, Fig. 10 step 1002 ("Receive request with interest filter selections")
14		Exhibit B-1 IL_DEFTS0008598 at 8600.	'682 Patent 10:58-11:3 ("FIG. 10 is a flowchart
15		Provisional Application to the '682 Patent (No. 60/178627), at 3 ("In one embodiment, a	illustrating a process used in one embodiment to disseminate an alert to a participant, as in step
16		'Hot Now' virtual pushbutton is present on a user's web display. When the user sees	306 of FIG. 3. The process begins with step 1002 in which a request containing interest
17		something they feel is of interest, they press the button. Pressing the Hot Now button sends	category filter selections made by the participant is received Next, in step 1008, a list of hot
18		an alert message to everyone using the infrastructure who has indicated that such	URLs responsive to the request is built. Finally, in step 1010, the list of hot URLs responsive to
19		alerts are of interest to them (based upon factors described below). Along with the alert	the request is sent to the participant.")
20		message a link to the website of interest is provided, and alerted users can chose [sic] to	'682 Patent Figure 11
21		go there. If they also believe the site is currently interesting, they can press their Hot	'682 Patent 11:4-60 (" selection area 1106 in which interest categories are listed along with a
		Now button and further propagate the alert."); see also 9 ("For example, the system may be	check box for each category listed. The participant selects the check box for each
22		used to provide and alert when someone finds	interest category for which the participant would like URLs of current interest to be included in
23		anything on the Web that is timely and worthy of alerting others who have expressed interest,	the participant's hot list ")
24		such as auctions.") Exhibit B-1 page 122 non Bates.	D 14
25		Extrinsic evidence:	Provisional App Provisional App. at Summary ("While dozens of
26		Webster's New World College Dictionary, 4th	web cam portals and directories exist, none are capable of propagating an alert that 'something
27		ed. at 355 (defining "current" as "at the present time; contemporary")	interesting is happening now,' to the right people. To solve this problem, a real time metadata happening infrastructure allowing people
		The American Heritage Dictionary of the	who see interesting occurrences to alert other

1 2	Claim Language (Disputed Terms in Bold)	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support ²	Defendants' Proposed Construction ³ and Evidence in Support ⁴
3	'682 patent		
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	682 patent	English Language, 4th ed. (2000) at 446 (defining "current" as "belonging to the present time" or "prevalent, especially at the present time") Webster's Ninth New Collegiate Dictionary (1985) at 316 (defining "current" as "presenting elapsing" and "occurring in or existing at the present time"). Declaration of William Mangione-Smith, ¶ 5, 7 (opining that claims should not be limited to a preferred embodiment)	interested parties is disclosed. The system is referred to as "Hot Now."") Exhibit B-1 at IL_DEFTS0009125. Provisional App. at 2.1 ("Along with the alert message a link to the website of interest is provided, and alerted users can chose to go there. If they also believe the site is currently interesting, they can press their Hot Now button and further propagate the alert.") Exhibit B-1 at IL_DEFTS0009127. Provisional App. at Sec. 2.1 ("Hot Now is based around a unique meta-data infrastructure that allows people who are first to see an interesting web video event to propagate an alert to others who may find the event interesting, and to do it as fast as the Internet will allow.") Exhibit B-1 at IL_DEFTS0009127. Provisional App. at Sec. 2.1 ("Pressing the Hot Now button sends an alert message to everyone using the infrastructure who has indicated that such alerts are of interest to them (based upon factors described below)."). Exhibit B-1 at IL_DEFTS0009127. Provisional App. at Sec. 2.3.3 ("Heat Threshold has two components: "heat sensitivity" determines the number of alerts required to announce an event to the user; "cooling" determines the duration after which an event will no longer be announced to the user."). Exhibit B-1 at IL_DEFTS0009129. Provisional App. at Sec. 2.3.3 ("Each user selects a series of interest groups and sets a sensitivity threshold for each selected group."). Exhibit B-1 at IL_DEFTS0009130. Provisional App. at Sec. 5 ("For example, a Hot Now button on a remote control with 4 categories to select (e.g. nudity, funny moments, news flashes, and sports climaxes) and only 1 hierarchical level (top level is general interest) may be implemented.") Exhibit B-1 at IL_DEFTS0009133. Extrinsic evidence: The American Heritage Dictionary of the
			English Language. 4th ed. 2000.

1 2	Claim Language (Disputed Terms in Bold)	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support ²	Defendants' Proposed Construction ³ and Evidence in Support ⁴
3	'682 patent		
4			participant: One that participates, shares, or takes part in something.
5			 participate: To take part in something: participated in the festivities.
6			• current: "1a. Belonging to the present time: <i>current events</i> ; <i>current leaders</i> .
7			b. Being in progress now: current negotiations."
8			Webster's Ninth New Collegiate Dictionary © 1985
9			participant: one that participatesparticipate:
10			o 2a. to take part < always tried to ~ in class discussions>
11			o 2b. to have a part or share in something
12			• current: o 1b(1): presently elapsing
13			o 1b(2): occurring in or existing at the present time
14			Oxford World Dictionary
15			current: "belonging to the present time; happening or being used or done
16			now: keep abreast of current events; I started my current job in 2001"
17			Terveen expert report, ¶¶ 23, 25, 26: 23. Timeliness Requirement. The system
18			disclosed in the '682 application deals with "dynamic" electronic content available for
19			transmission over the network that may be of great interest at one moment, but of no
20			interest shortly thereafter. (E.g., '682 patent at 1:24-28, 1:46-52). It would have been
21			apparent to a PHOSITA in 2000 that the system must be able to compute and
22			disseminate "current interest" notifications concerning this dynamic content in a timely
23			manner. The type of dynamic content that is the object of the invention (e.g., '682 patent
24			at 1:53-2:47 ("the presence of a rare or endangered animal at the watering hole"
25			which is being monitored by a webcam)) will be of interest only for short periods of
26			time. The purpose of the alleged invention would be defeated if notifications are not
27			computed and disseminated during the brief
			period of time before a currently interesting item becomes uninteresting again.

Case 2:10-cv-01385-MJP Document 241-2 Filed 06/02/11 Page 24 of 27

1	Claim Language (Disputed Terms	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support ²	Defendants' Proposed Construction ³ and Evidence in Support ⁴
2	in Bold)		
3	'682 patent		
4			25. A PHOSITA in 2000 would have
5			understood that the "invention" of the '682 application necessarily processed new alerts
6			and sent new notifications as fast as the available computing resources and the
7			disclosed algorithms permitted in order to increase the chances that the event or content that led to the current-interest alert
8			would still be occurring when the notification participant accessed that
9			dynamic content over the network. (See, e.g., '682 patent at 1:64-2:1 (participants want to know when "activity of great
10 11			interest would be occurring" so they do not "miss the most interesting images") and 2:7-10 ("As a result there is a need for a way
12			to alert users to web content or other electronic resources available via a
13			communications or computer network that are of interest at a particular time.")). The
14			'682 application discloses no variation in which such processing and notifications are delayed for any reason.
15			26. The situation of the participant. It
16 17			would have been apparent to a PHOSITA in 2000 that the disclosed "participant" is connected to a computer network and is
18			interested in receiving notifications of items of current interest that are accessible over
19			the network (e.g., the abstract and Claim 1 in the '682 application), but is not already
20			aware that these items are of current interest. It also would have been apparent to a
21			PHOSITA in 2000 that the '682 application discloses that, before receiving any "current
22			interest" notifications, the "participant" must first indicate at least one "interest category."
23			(See, e.g., '682 patent at Figures 1 and 10-11, 4:20-22, 4:55-56, 10:58-11:3, 13:66-14:2).
24			
25			Webster's online dictionary: Participant: "one that participates"
26			participate: (a.) "to take part"; (b.) to have a part or share in something.
27	Term 6	a computer configured to receive in real time process the indication; determine	a computer configured to receive in real time process the indication; determine an
	a computer	an intensity value and adjusting the	intensity value and adjusting the intensity

1 2	Claim Language (Disputed Terms in Bold)	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support ²	Defendants' Proposed Construction ³ and Evidence in Support ⁴
3	'682 patent		
4	configured to receive in real	intensity value and inform the participant that the item is of current	valueand inform the participant that the item is of current interest
5	time process the indication;	interest	Proposed Construction:
6	determine an intensity value.	Proposed Construction:	This is a means-plus-function limitation.
7	and adjusting the intensity value and	Not governed by 112/6. No additional construction necessary.	<u>Function</u> : The entire body of claim 1 appearing after "a computer configured to" and before "a
8	inform the participant that	No extrinsic evidence identified.	database " is a recited function of the recited "computer."
9	the item is of current interest	No intrinsic evidence identified.	Structure, Material, or Act: The specification
10 11	Found in claims:		recites an "application server 106" configured to perform some of the claim-recited function, by implementing the algorithms disclosed in the following figures and text of the patent:
12			
13			Fig. 1 and 4:11-5:12, Fig. 2A, Fig. 2B and 5:44-55, Fig. 3 and 5:57-63 and Fig. 4 and 5:64-6:16) ("receive"); 4:44-47, Fig. 6 and 6:51-7:35
14			("process"); Fig. 6 (step 602) and 6::52-7:23 ("determining an intensity value"); and Fig. 1, 4:55-5:3, Figs. 10-11, 10:58-11:55
15			("inform"). The specification discloses no structure (algorithm) for the remaining portions
16 17			of the claim-recited function (e.g., " intensity weight value") (this claim thus violates Sec. 112, ¶¶ 2, 6).
18			See also Fig. 1 and 4:25-32
19			Intrinsic evidence: '682 patent, Figures 1, 2A, 2B, 3, 4, 6, 10-11
20 21			'682 patent, 4:11-5:12, 4:44-47, 4:55-5:3, 5:44-55, 5:57-63, 5:64-6:16, 6:51-7:35, 10:58-11:55
22	Term 7	computer instructions for receiving in real time processing the indication;	computer instructions for receiving in real time processing the indication;
23	computer instructions for	determining an intensity value and adjusting the intensity value and	determining an intensity value and adjusting the intensity value and
24	receiving in real time processing the	informing the participant that the item is of current interest	informing the participant that the item is of current interest
25	indication; determining an	Proposed Construction:	Proposed Construction:
26	intensity value and adjusting	Not governed by 112/6. No additional construction necessary.	This is a means-plus-function limitation.
27	the intensity value and informing the	No extrinsic evidence identified.	Function: The entire body of claim 2 after "computer instructions for" is a recited function of the recited "medium."

Case 2:10-cv-01385-MJP Document 241-2 Filed 06/02/11 Page 26 of 27

1	Claim Language (Disputed Terms	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support ²	Defendants' Proposed Construction ³ and Evidence in Support ⁴
2	in Bold)		
3	'682 patent		
4	participant that the item is of	No intrinsic evidence identified.	Structure, Material, or Act: A computer readable storage medium with instructions for
5	current interest		performing the algorithms depicted in the
6	Found in claims: 2		following Figures of the patent and described in the accompanying text of the patent specification::
7			
8			Fig. 1 and 4:11-5:12, Fig. 2A, Fig. 2B and 5:44-55, Fig. 3 and 5:57-63 and Fig. 4 and 5:64-6:16) ("receiving"); 4:44-47, Fig. 6 and 6:51-7:35
9			("processing"); Fig. 6 (step 602) and 6::52-7:23 ("determining an intensity value"); and Fig. 1, 4:55-5:3, Figs. 10-11, 10:58-11:55
10 11			("informing"). The specification discloses no structure (algorithm) for the remaining
12			portions of the claim-recited function (e.g., " intensity weight value") (this claim thus violates Sec. 112, ¶¶ 2, 6).
13			See also Fig. 1 and 4:25-32
14 15			Intrinsic evidence: '682 patent, Figures 1, 2A, 2B, 3, 4, 6, 10-11
16			'682 patent, 4:11-5:12, 4:44-47, 4:55-5:3, 5:44-55, 5:57-63, 5:64-6:16, 6:51-7:35, 10:58-11:55
17	Term 8	Claims 3-9, 11-13, 16-17, 20 as a whole.	Claims 3-9, 11-13, 16-17, 20 as a whole.
18	Claims 3-9, 11- 13, 16-17, 20 as a	Proposed Construction:	Proposed Construction:
19	whole.	The determination of whether a claim recites patentable subject matter is a matter of	These claims are directed to an abstract idea and do not require a particular machine or particular
20		statutory interpretation that is not properly resolved as part of the <i>Markman</i> briefing	transformation of a particular article. To the extent these claimed "methods" can be
21		process. See In re Bilski, 545 F.3d 943, 951 (Fed. Cir. 2008) (en banc). Defendants' "proposed construction"—which is not a	performed, each (except claim 17) could be performed by humans without using any machine or device.
22		claim construction at all—does not comply with Patent Local Rule 132 (Joint Claim Chart	"[C]laim construction is an important first
23		must include "[e]ach party's proposed construction of each disputed claim term,	step in a § 101 analysis" to determine whether "the claim as a whole" is directed to patent-
24 25		phrase, or clause") or the Court's Standing Order for Patent Cases (Joint Claim chart must include "each party's proposed construction of	eligible subject matter. <i>In re Bilski</i> , 545 F.3d 943, 951, 959 (Fed. Cir. 2008) (en banc), <i>aff'd sub nom</i> , <i>Bilski v. Kappos</i> , 130 S. Ct. 3218
		disputed terms"). Moreover, proposed	(2010); see generally Power Mosfet
26 27		constructions for many of the terms and phrases that are part of the "claims as a whole" are separately provided herein.	Technologies, L.L.C. v. Siemens AG, 378 F.3d 1396, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 2004) ("The terms in the Special Master Report were construed in
41		me a-familia, frontada natam	isolation, and at no other time did the district court or the Special Master construe the claims

Case 2:10-cv-01385-MJP Document 241-2 Filed 06/02/11 Page 27 of 27

Claim Language (Disputed Terms in Bold) '682 patent	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support ²	Defendants' Proposed Construction ³ and Evidence in Support ⁴
	No extrinsic evidence identified. No extrinsic evidence identified.	as a whole."); <i>id.</i> at 1410 (This "limited construction left substantial ambiguity as to the meaning of the claims as a whole"); <i>id.</i> at 1412 ("[A] construction of the claims as a whole would have been beneficial to the litigants."). Intrinsic evidence: '682 patent '682 patent '682 patent 1:23-28 ("FIELD OF THE INVENTION: The present invention relates generally to communications and computer networks. More specifically, alerting users to dynamic content accessible via a communications or computer network that is of interest at the time of the alert is disclosed.") '682 patent, claims 3-9, 11-13, 16-17, 20 '682 patent 14:15-17 ("It should be noted that there are many alternative ways of implementing both the process and apparatus of the present invention.")

- 26 -