EXHIBIT A

MORRISON | FOERSTER

425 MARKET STREET
SAN FRANCISCO
CALIFORNIA 94105-2482
TELEPHONE:415.268.7000
FACSIMILE:415.268.7522
WWW.MOFO.COM

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
NEW YORK, SAN FRANCISCO,
LOS ANGELES, PALO ALTO,
SAN DIEGO, WASHINGTON, D.C.
NORTHERN VIRGINIA, DENVER,
SACRAMENTO, WALNUT CREEK
TOKYO, LONDON, BRUSSELS,
BEIJING, SHANGHAI, HONG KONG

October 6, 2010

Writer's Direct Contact
415.268.6467
MKreeger@mofo.com

Justin A. Nelson, Esq.
Susman Godfrey L.L.P.
1201 Third Ave, Suite 3800
Seattle, WA 98101

Re: *Interval Licensing LLC v. AOL, Inc. et al.*, No. 2:10-cv-1385 (W.D. Wash.)

Dear Mr. Nelson:

Morrison & Foerster represents Yahoo! Inc. in the above-captioned case. Yahoo! is attempting to put in place a document hold notice, directing appropriate employees to retain documents that might be relevant to the lawsuit. Yahoo! finds itself unable to implement such a hold, however, given the lack of specificity in the complaint as to the infringement allegations against it.

For example, Interval Licensing alleges that Yahoo! infringes U.S. Patent No. 6,034,652 by "making, using, offering, providing and encouraging customers to use products that display information in a way that occupies the peripheral attention of the user as claimed in the patent." This allegation fails identify any particular Yahoo! product or service and merely paraphrases the title of the patent, "Attention Manager for Occupying the Peripheral Attention of a Person in the Vicinity of a Display Device," to describe the allegedly infringing activity. Given that Yahoo! has hundreds of products and services, Yahoo! cannot issue an adequate litigation hold notice to ensure that its employees preserve the appropriate documents.

The complaint is similarly vague with respect to the remaining three asserted patents. It alleges that Yahoo! infringes U.S. Patent No. 6,788,314 patent for the same reason, word-for-word, as its allegation for the '652 patent. For the two remaining patents, the complaint simply identifies the allegedly infringing Yahoo! product as websites, hardware, and software. The vast majority of Yahoo!'s hundreds of products and services involve websites, hardware, and/or software. Thus, the complaint's vague assertions leave Yahoo! in the dark as to what activity allegedly infringes, and thus which documents need to be preserved.

Please provide additional information as to which Yahoo! products and services allegedly infringe the asserted patents so that Yahoo! can implement an appropriate document hold notice.

Sincerely,

Matthew I. Kreeger