	Case 2:10-cv-01385-MJP Document 176	Filed 02/07/11 Page 1 of 8
1		Hon. Marsha J. Pechman
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	WESTERN DISTRIC	DISTRICT COURT T OF WASHINGTON
7	AT SEA	ATTLE
8	INTERVAL LICENSING LLC,	
9	Plaintiff,	Case No. 2:10-cv-01385-MJP
10	V.	
11		INTERVAL LICENSING LLC'S
12	AOL, INC.; APPLE, INC.; eBAY, INC.; FACEBOOK, INC.; GOOGLE INC.;	ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIMS
13	NETFLIX, INC.; OFFICE DEPOT, INC.; OFFICEMAX INC.; STAPLES, INC.;	JURY DEMAND
14	YAHOO! INC.; AND YOUTUBE, LLC,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	INTERVAL LICENSING LLC'S ANSWER TO STAPLES INC.'S COUNTERCLAIMS	
18	Plaintiff Interval Licensing LLC ("Interval"), by and through its attorneys, files this	
19	Answer to the counterclaims of Defendant and Counterclaimant Staples Inc. ("Staples") and	
20	respectfully answers as follows:	
21	Interval denies each and every averment set forth in the Counterclaims, except for those	
22	averments expressly and specifically admitted below. To the extent that the headings and non-	
23	numbered statements in the Counterclaims contain any averments, Interval denies each and	
24	every such averment.	
25	1. Paragraphs 1-75 do not contain any allegations that require an answer. To the extent	
26	necessary, Interval incorporates by reference and realleges the allegations in its First Amended	
27	Complaint.	
28		
	Interval's Answer to Staples's Counterclaims Case No. 2:10-cv-01385-MJP	Susman Godfrey, LLP 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800 Seattle WA 98101-3000

	Case 2:10-cv-01385-MJP Document 176 Filed 02/07/11 Page 2 of 8	
1		
1	<u>Affirmative Defenses</u>	
2	First Affirmative Defense: Non-Infringement	
3	2. Interval denies the allegations in $\P$ 1.	
4	Second Affirmative Defense: Invalidity	
5	3. Interval denies the allegations in $\P$ 2.	
6	Third Affirmative Defense: Failure to State a Claim	
7	4. Interval denies the allegations in ¶ 3.	
8	Fourth Affirmative Defense: Use/Manufacture By/For United States Government	
9	5. Interval denies the allegations in $\P$ 4.	
10	Fifth Affirmative Defense: Dedication to the Public	
11	6. Interval denies the allegations in $\P$ 5.	
12	Sixth Affirmative Defense: Equitable Defenses	
13	7. Paragraph 6 contains legal conclusions that require no response. To the extent a response	
14	is required, Interval denies the allegations in $\P$ 6.	
15	Seventh Affirmative Defense: Lack of Standing	
16	8. Interval denies the allegations in $\P$ 7.	
17	Eighth Affirmative Defense: Failure to Mitigate Damages	
18	9. Interval denies the allegations in $\P$ 8.	
19	Ninth Affirmative Defense: Sections 284-288	
20	10. Paragraph 9 contains legal conclusions that require no response. To the extent a response	
21	is required, Interval denies the allegations in $\P$ 9.	
22	11. Interval denies the allegations in ¶ 10. Interval, through its sister corporation, Vulcan Inc.,	
23	sent a letter to Staples prior to the commencement of this action informing Staples that Vulcan	
24	Inc. and its affiliated companies had patents that may be of interest to Staples, and invited Staples	
25	to contact Vulcan's intellectual property counsel to discuss further.	
26	12. Paragraph 11 contains legal conclusions that require no response. To the extent a	
27	response is required, Interval denies the allegations in $\P$ 11.	
28	2 Texternalia Answer to Stanlagia Counteralaine Supmon Codfroy, LLP	
	Interval's Answer to Staples's CounterclaimsSusman Godfrey, LLPCase No. 2:10-cv-01385-MJP1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800 Seattle WA 98101-3000	

	Case 2:10-cv-01385-MJP Document 176 Filed 02/07/11 Page 3 of 8	
1	Tauth Affina Diference Description of Francisco basta	
1	Tenth Affirmative Defense: Reverse Doctrine of Equivalents	
2	13. Interval denies the allegations in $\P$ 12.	
3	Eleventh Affirmative Defense: Prosecution History Estoppel	
4	14. Paragraph 13 contains legal conclusions that require no response. To the extent a	
5	response is required, Interval denies the allegations in $\P$ 13.	
6	Twelfth Affirmative Defense: No Entitlement to an Injunction	
7	15. Interval admits the allegations in $\P$ 14.	
8	16. Interval denies the allegations in $\P$ 15.	
9	17. Interval denies the allegations in $\P$ 16.	
10	18. Interval denies the allegations in $\P$ 17.	
11	19. Interval denies the allegations in $\P$ 18.	
12	20. Interval denies the allegations in $\P$ 19.	
13	Thirteenth Affirmative Defense: Indispensable Parties	
14	21. Interval denies the allegations in $\P$ 20.	
15	PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
16	22. In response to Staples's Prayer for Relief, Interval denies that Staples is entitled to relief	
17	of any kind.	
18	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
19	23. This paragraph sets forth Staples's request for a jury trial, to which no response is	
20	required.	
21	COUNTERCLAIMS FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT	
22	Parties	
23	24. Interval is without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations made in $\P$ 1, and	
24	therefore denies those allegations.	
25	25. Interval admits the allegations in $\P$ 2.	
26		
20		
27	3	
20	Interval's Answer to Staples's Counterclaims Susman Godfrey, LLP	
	Case No. 2:10-cv-01385-MJP 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800 1438627v1/011873 Seattle WA 98101-3000	

	Case 2:10-cv-01385-MJP Document 176 Filed 02/07/11 Page 4 of 8	
1	JURISDICTION	
2	26. Interval admits the allegations in ¶ 3 that Staples's counterclaims constitute an action	
3	seeking a declaration of non-infringement and invalidity of the '507 and '682 patents and that this	
4	Court has jurisdiction over the counterclaims.	
5	27. Interval admits the allegations in $\P$ 4.	
6	28. Interval admits the allegations in ¶ 5 that an actual case and controversy exists between	
7	Interval and Staples relating to the '507 and '682 patents.	
8	<u>COUNT ONE</u>	
9	(Declaration of Non-Infringement of the '507 Patent)	
10	29. Interval incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1-5 as if fully set forth	
11	herein.	
12	30. Interval denies the allegations in $\P$ 7.	
13	31. Interval denies the allegations in $\P$ 8.	
14	<u>COUNT TWO</u>	
15	(Declaration of Non-Infringement of the '682 Patent)	
16	32. Interval incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1-8 as if fully set forth	
17	herein.	
18	33. Interval denies the allegations in $\P$ 10.	
19	34. Interval denies the allegations in $\P$ 11.	
20	COUNT THREE	
21	(Declaration of Invalidity of the '507 Patent)	
22	35. Interval incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1-11 as if fully set forth	
23	herein.	
24	36. Interval denies the allegations in $\P$ 13.	
25	37. Interval denies the allegations in $\P$ 14.	
26		
27		
28	4	
	Interval's Answer to Staples's CounterclaimsSusman Godfrey, LLPCase No. 2:10-cv-01385-MJP1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800Case No. 2:10-cv-01385-MJP2000	
	1438627v1/011873 Seattle WA 98101-3000	

	Case 2:10-cv-01385-MJP Document 176 Filed 02/07/11 Page 5 of 8		
1	<u>COUNT FOUR</u>		
2	(Declaration of Invalidity of the '682 Patent)		
3	38. Interval incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1-14 as if fully set forth		
4	herein.		
5	39. Interval denies the allegations in $\P$ 16.		
6	40. Interval denies the allegations in $\P$ 17.		
7	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL		
8	41. This paragraph sets forth Staples's request for a jury trial, to which no response is		
9	required.		
10	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
11	42. In response to Staples's Prayer for Relief, Interval denies that Staples is entitled to relief		
12	of any kind.		
13	REQUEST FOR RELIEF		
14	43. WHEREFORE, Interval respectfully requests judgment of the Court against Staples as		
15	follows:		
16	(a) Dismissal of Staples's counterclaims with prejudice;		
17	(b) Declaration that Staples has infringed U.S. Patent Nos. 6,263,507 and 6,757,682;		
18	(c) Awarding the damages arising out of Staples's infringement of U.S. Patent Nos.		
19	6,263,507 and 6,757,682, to Interval, together with prejudgment and post-judgment interest, in an		
20	amount according to proof;		
21	(d) Permanently enjoining Staples and its respective officers, agents, employees, and		
22	those acting in privity with them, from further infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,263,507 and		
23	6,757,682, or in the alternative, awarding a royalty for post judgment infringement;		
24	(e) Awarding attorney's fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285 or as otherwise permitted by		
25	law; and		
26	(f) Awarding such other costs and further relief as the Court may deem just and		
27	proper.		
28	5		
	Interval's Answer to Staples's CounterclaimsSusman Godfrey, LLPCase No. 2:10-cv-01385-MJP1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800		
	1438627v1/011873		

	Case 2:10-cv-01385-MJP	Document 176 Filed 02/07/11 Page 6 of 8
1		
2	Dated: February 7, 2011	/s/ Matthew R. Berry
3		Justin A. Nelson WA Bar No. 31864
4		E-Mail: jnelson@susmangodfrey.com
5		Edgar G. Sargent WA Bar No. 28283
6		E-Mail: esargent@susmangodfrey.com Matthew R. Berry
7		WA Bar No. 37364 E-Mail: mberry@susmangodfrey.com
8		SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
9		1201 Third Ave, Suite 3800 Seattle, WA 98101
10		Telephone: (206) 516-3880 Facsimile: (206) 516-3883
11		Max L. Tribble, Jr.
12		E-Mail: mtribble@susmangodfrey.com SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
13		1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 5100
14		Houston, Texas 77002 Telephone: (713) 651-9366
15		Facsimile: (713) 654-6666
16		Michael F. Heim E-mail: mheim@hpcllp.com
17		Eric J. Enger
18		E-mail: eenger@hpcllp.com Nathan J. Davis
19		E-mail: ndavis@hpcllp.com HEIM, PAYNE & CHORUSH, L.L.P.
20		600 Travis, Suite 6710
21		Houston, Texas 77002 Telephone: (713) 221-2000
22		Facsimile: (713) 221-2021
23		Attorneys for INTERVAL LICENSING LLC
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	   <u></u>	6
	Interval's Answer to Staples's Cou Case No. 2:10-cv-01385-MJP	1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3
	1438627v1/011873	Seattle WA 98101-300

	Case 2:10-cv-01385-MJP Docume	nt 176 Filed 02/07/11 Page 7 of 8
1		
2	<u>CERTIF</u>	ICATE OF SERVICE
3	I hereby certify that on February	7 2011 L electronically filed the foregoing with the
4	I hereby certify that on February 7, 2011, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the	
5	following counsel of record:	
	Attorneys for AOL, Inc.	
6	Aneelah Afzali	aneelah.afzali@stokeslaw.com
7	Cortney Alexander Robert Burns	cortney.alexander@finnegan.com robert.burns@finnegan.com
0	Elliot Cook	elliot.cook@finnegan.com
8	Gerald Ivey	gerald.ivey@finnegan.com
9	Scott Johnson	scott.johnson@stokeslaw.com
	Shannon Jost	shannon.jost@stokeslaw.com
10		
11	Attorneys for Apple, Inc.	
	David Almeling Brian Berliner	dalmeling@omm.com
12	George Riley	bberliner@omm.com griley@omm.com
13	Jeremy Roller	jroller@yarmuth.com
15	Scott Wilsdon	wilsdon@yarmuth.com
14	Neil Yang	nyang@omm.com
15		<b>J B C C C C C C C C C C</b>
15	Attorneys for eBay, Inc., Netflix, Inc., a	
16	Chris Carraway Kristin Cleveland	chris.carraway@klarquist.com
17	Klaus Hamm	Kristin.cleveland@klarquist.com Klaus.hamm@klarquist.com
1/	Arthur Harrigan, Jr.	arthurh@dhlt.com
18	John Vandenberg	john.vandenberg@klarquist.com
10	Christopher Wion	chrisw@dhlt.com
19		
20	Attorneys for Facebook, Inc.	
<u>.</u>	Christen Dubois	cdubois@cooley.com
21	Heidi Keefe	hkeefe@cooley.com
22	Michael Rhodes	mrhodes@cooley.com
	Elizabeth Stameshkin Mark Weinstein	lstameshkin@cooley.com mweinstein@cooley.com
23		mwemstem@coolcy.com
24	Attorneys for Google, Inc. and YouTub	
25	Aneelah Afzali	aneelah.afzali@stokeslaw.com
25	Aaron Chase	achase@whitecase.com
26	Dimitrios Drivas	ddrivas@whitecase.com
20	John Handy Warman Hait	jhandy@whitecase.com
27	Warren Heit Scott Johnson	wheit@whitecase.com
20	Scott Johnson Shannon Jost	scott.johnson@stokeslaw.com shannon.jost@stokeslaw.com
28	Interval's Answer to Staples's Counterclaims	Susman Godfrey, LLP
	Case No. 2:10-cv-01385-MJP	1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800
		Seattle WA 98101-3000
	l	

	Case 2:10-cv-01385-MJP D	ocument 176 Filed 02/07/11 Page 8 of 8
1 2	Kevin McGann Wendi Schepler	kmcgann@whitecase.com wschepler@whitecase.com
	Attorneys for Office Depot, Inc.	
3	Chris Carraway	chris.carraway@klarquist.com
4	Kristin Cleveland Klaus Hamm	Kristin.cleveland@klarquist.com Klaus.hamm@klarquist.com
5	Arthur Harrigan, Jr.	arthurh@dhlt.com
6	John Vandenberg Christopher Wion	john.vandenberg@klarquist.com chrisw@dhlt.com
7	Attorneys for OfficeMax, Inc.	
8	Kevin Baumgardner	kbaumgardner@corrcronin.com
9	Steven Fogg	sfogg@corrcronin.com letchinger@wildman.com
10	John Letchinger Douglas Rupert	rupert@wildman.com
11	Attorneys for Yahoo! Inc.	
12	Francis Ho Richard S.J. Hung	fho@mofo.com rhung@mofo.com
13	Michael Jacobs	mjacobs@mofo.com
	Matthew Kreeger Dario Machleidt	mkreeger@mofo.com dmachleidt@flhlaw.com
14	Eric Ow	eow@mofo.com
15	Mark Walters	mwalters@flhlaw.com
16		
17		By: <u>/s/ Bianca Nealious</u> Bianca Nealious
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		ii
	Interval's Answer to Staples's Counter Case No. 2:10-cv-01385-MJP	claims Susman Godfrey, LLP 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800
		Seattle WA 98101-3000