Exhibit A

ТО

IBM'S OPPOSITION TO SCO'S MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME AND CROSS-MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

Shaughnessy, Todd

From: Sent:	Shaughnessy, Todd Wednesday, November 01, 2006 9:16 AM	
То:	'Sashi Bach Boruchow'; David Marriott	
Cc:	Ted Normand	
Subject:	RE: stipulation and proposed order re extension	

Sashi,

These look fine, though I think the title of each needs to be changed -- the stip and order address more than just opp'ns to SJ motions. Subject to that, you can attach my name and e-file.

Todd

Snell & Wilmer L.L.P. 15 West South Temple, Suite 1200 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101 801.257.1937 (direct) 801.257.1900 (general) 801.257.1800 (fax)

This message and any attachments to it may contain privileged and confidential attorney client information and/or attorney work product. Please do not forward or distribute to anyone else.

-----Original Message-----From: Sashi Bach Boruchow [mailto:sbach@BSFLLP.com] Sent: Tuesday, October 31, 2006 3:04 PM To: David Marriott; Shaughnessy, Todd Cc: Ted Normand Subject: RE: stipulation and proposed order re extension

Attached please find the revised stipulation and proposed order. Please let me know if you agree.

Thanks, Sashi

Sashi Bach Boruchow, Esq. BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 401 East Las Olas Blvd., Suite 1200 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301 Phone: 954-356-0011 ext. 118 Alt. Phone: 404-634-3763 Cell Phone: 305-336-3934 Fax: 954-356-0022 http://www.bsflp.com

IRS Circular 230 disclosure:

To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, unless we expressly state otherwise, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

The information contained in this electronic message is confidential information intended only for the use of the named recipient(s) and may contain information that, among other protections, is the subject of attorney-client privilege, attorney work product or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this electronic message is not the named recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the named recipient, you are hereby notified that any

i

dissemination, distribution, copying or other use of this communication is strictly prohibited and no privilege is waived. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify the sender by replying to this electronic message and then deleting this electronic message from your computer. [v.1]

Brent O. Hatch (5715) Mark F. James (5295) HATCH, JAMES & DODGE 10 West Broadway, Suite 400 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101 Telephone: (801) 363-6363 Facsimile: (801) 363-6666

Stuart H. Singer (admitted pro hac vice) BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 401 East Las Olas Boulevard – Suite 1200 Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33301 Telephone: (954) 356-0011 Facsimile: (954) 356-0022 Facsimile: (305) 539-1307 Attorneys for The SCO Group, Inc. Robert Silver (admitted pro hac vice) Edward Normand (admitted pro hac vice) BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 333 Main Street Armonk, New York 10504 Telephone: (914) 749-8200 Facsimile: (914) 749-8300

Stephen N. Zack (admitted pro hac vice) BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP Bank of America Tower – Suite 2800 100 Southeast Second Street Miami, Florida 33131 Telephone: (305) 539-8400

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH

THE SCO GROUP, Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant v.	STIPULATION AND JOINT MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE OPPOSITION TO SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTIONS
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION,	
Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff	Case No. 2:03CV0294DAK Honorable Dale A. Kimball Magistrate Judge Brooke C. Wells

The parties, through their counsel of record, hereby stipulate and jointly move the

Court for an Order enlarging deadlines as follows:

Both parties' memoranda in opposition to the pending motions for summary

judgment, currently due November 1, 2006, shall be due no later than November 8, 2006.

Both parties' response to all outstanding requests for admission, currently due November 8, 2006, shall be due no later than November 15, 2006.

IBM's memorandum in opposition to SCO's motion for relief regarding spoliation, currently due November 1, 2006, shall be due no later than November 8, 2006.

SCO's reply memorandum in support of its motion for relief regarding spoliation, currently due November 24, 2006, shall be due no later than December 1, 2006.

Both parties' reply memoranda in support of their motions for summary judgment, currently due December 8, 2006, shall be due no later than December 15, 2006.

The parties stipulate that they will not seek any further extensions of the deadline for memoranda in opposition to the pending summary judgment motions. The parties submit concurrently herewith a proposed Order confirming these deadlines. DATED this 25th day of October, 2006.

> HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, P.C. Brent O. Hatch Mark F. James

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP Robert Silver Stuart H. Singer Stephen N. Zack Edward Normand Sean Eskovitz

By_____ Counsel for The SCO Group, Inc.

2

DATED this 25th day of October, 2006

SNELL & WILMER L.L.P. Alan L. Sullivan Todd M. Shaughnessy Amy F. Sorenson

CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE Evan R. Chesler David R. Marriott

By

Counsel for Defendant International Business Machines Corporation

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant, The SCO Group, Inc., hereby certifies that on the 25th day of October, 2006, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Stipulation and Joint Motion for Extension of Time was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court and delivered by CM/ECF system to the, to the following:

David Marriott, Esq. Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP Worldwide Plaza 825 Eighth Avenue New York, New York 10019

Donald J. Rosenberg, Esq. 1133 Westchester Avenue White Plains, New York 10604

Todd Shaughnessy, Esq. Snell & Wilmer LLP 1200 Gateway Tower West 15 West South Temple Salt Lake City, Utah 84101-1004

By_

4

Brent O. Hatch (5715) Mark F. James (5295) HATCH, JAMES & DODGE 10 West Broadway, Suite 400 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101 Telephone: (801) 363-6363 Facsimile: (801) 363-6666

Stuart H. Singer (admitted pro hac vice) BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 401 East Las Olas Boulevard – Suite 1200 Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33301 Telephone: (954) 356-0011 Facsimile: (954) 356-0022

Attorneys for The SCO Group, Inc.

Robert Silver (admitted pro hac vice) Edward Normand (admitted pro hac vice) Sean Eskovitz (admitted pro hac vice) BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 333 Main Street Armonk, New York 10504 Telephone: (914) 749-8200 Facsimile: (914) 749-8300

Stephen N. Zack (admitted pro hac vice) BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP Bank of America Tower – Suite 2800 100 Southeast Second Street Miami, Florida 33131 Telephone: (305) 539-8400 Facsimile: (305) 539-1307

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH

THE SCO GROUP, INC.	ORDER RE EXTENSION OF
Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant,	DEADLINE FOR OPPOSITION TO
v.	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTIONS
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS	Case No. 2:03CV0294DAK
MACHINES CORPORATION,	Honorable Dale A. Kimball
Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff.	Magistrate Judge Brooke C. Wells

Based upon the stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the parties shall have an extension of time as follows:

Both parties' memoranda in opposition to the pending motions for summary judgment, currently due November 1, 2006, shall be due no later than November 8, 2006.

Both parties' response to all outstanding requests for admission, currently due November 8, 2006, shall be due no later than November 15, 2006.

IBM's memorandum in opposition to SCO's motion for relief regarding spoliation,

currently due November 1, 2006, shall be due no later than November 8, 2006.

SCO's reply memorandum in support of its motion for relief regarding spoliation, currently due November 24, 2006, shall be due no later than December 1, 2006.

Both parties' reply memoranda in support of their motions for summary judgment, currently due December 8, 2006, shall be due no later than December 15, 2006.

The parties will not seek any further extensions of the deadline for memoranda in opposition to the pending summary judgment motions.

IT IS SO ORDERED

DATED this _____ day of _____

DALE A. KIMBALL UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE