SNELL & WILMER L.L.P. Alan L. Sullivan (3152) Todd M. Shaughnessy (6651) Amy F. Sorenson (8947) 15 West South Temple, Suite 1200 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101-1004 Telephone: (801) 257-1900 Facsimile: (801) 257-1800

CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP Evan R. Chesler (admitted pro hac vice) David R. Marriott (7572) Worldwide Plaza 825 Eighth Avenue New York, New York 10019 Telephone: (212) 474-1000 Facsimile: (212) 474-3700

Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff International Business Machines Corporation

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH

THE SCO GROUP, INC.,

Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant,

v.

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION,

Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff.

EX PARTE MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE OVERLENGTH MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF IBM'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON ITS CLAIM FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF NON-INFRINGEMENT (IBM'S TENTH COUNTERCLAIM)

Civil No. 2:03CV-0294 DAK

Honorable Dale A. Kimball

Magistrate Judge Brooke C. Wells

Pursuant to DUCivR 7-1(e), Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff International Business Machines Corporation ("IBM") respectfully submits this Ex Parte Motion for Leave to File Overlength Memorandum in Support of IBM's Motion for Summary Judgment on its Claim for Declaratory Judgment of Non-Infringement (IBM's Tenth Counterclaim) consisting of approximately twenty-six pages of argument, exclusive of face sheet, table of contents and authorities, preliminary and fact statements, and appendices and exhibits.

In its Memorandum in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment, IBM demonstrates that Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant The SCO Group, Inc.'s ("SCO") claims of infringement fail as a matter of law for at least five independent reasons, any one of which justifies the entry of summary judgment in favor of IBM.

In order to fully address these important issues in its memorandum, IBM required approximately one additional page of argument beyond the twenty-five pages allotted by DUCivR 56. Accordingly, IBM respectfully requests that it be granted leave to file a Memorandum in Support of IBM's Motion for Summary Judgment on its Claim for Declaratory Judgment of Non-Infringement (IBM's Tenth Counterclaim) consisting of approximately twenty-six pages of argument. DATED this 25th day of September, 2006.

SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.

/s/ Amy F. Sorenson Alan L. Sullivan Todd M. Shaughnessy Amy F. Sorenson

CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP Evan R. Chesler David R. Marriott

Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff International Business Machines Corporation

Of Counsel:

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION Alec S. Berman 1133 Westchester Avenue White Plains, New York 10604 (914) 642-3000

Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff International Business Machines Corporation

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 25th day of September, 2006, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court and delivered by CM/ECF system to the following:

Brent O. Hatch Mark F. James HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, P.C. 10 West Broadway, Suite 400 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101

Stephen N. Zack Mark J. Heise BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 100 Southeast Second Street, Suite 2800 Miami, Florida 33131

and by U.S. Mail, postage pre-paid to:

Robert Silver Edward Normand BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 333 Main Street Armonk, New York 10504

/s/ Amy F. Sorenson