

Brent O. Hatch (5715)
Mark F. James (5295)
HATCH, JAMES & DODGE
10 West Broadway, Suite 400
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101
Telephone: (801) 363-6363
Facsimile: (801) 363-6666

Robert Silver (admitted pro hac vice)
Edward Normand (admitted pro hac vice)
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
333 Main Street
Armonk, New York 10504
Telephone: (914) 749-8200
Facsimile: (914) 749-8300

Stuart H. Singer (admitted pro hac vice)
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
401 East Las Olas Boulevard – Suite 1200
Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33301
Telephone: (954) 356-0011
Facsimile: (954) 356-0022

Stephen N. Zack (admitted pro hac vice)
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
Bank of America Tower – Suite 2800
100 Southeast Second Street
Miami, Florida 33131
Telephone: (305) 539-8400
Facsimile: (305) 539-1307

Attorneys for The SCO Group, Inc.

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH**

<p>THE SCO GROUP, INC.</p> <p>Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION,</p> <p>Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff.</p>	<p>EX PARTE MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE OVERLENGTH REPLY MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF SCO'S OBJECTIONS TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE WELLS' ORDER OF JUNE 28, 2006</p> <p>Case No. 2:03CV0294DAK</p> <p>Honorable Dale A. Kimball Magistrate Judge Brooke C. Wells</p>
--	--

Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant The SCO Group ("SCO") hereby moves the Court pursuant to District Court Rule 7-1(e) for an Order granting it leave to file its Reply

Memorandum in Support of SCO's Objections to Magistrate Judge Wells' Order of June 28, 2006 (the "Reply Memorandum") that consists of 50 pages, exclusive of face sheet, table of contents and authorities, appendixes and exhibits.

SCO's Motion is made upon the grounds that the Reply Memorandum of the indicated length is necessary given the complex nature of the issues raised by Judge Wells' Order to which the Objections were raised. The complexity of this matter also is evident in that IBM's brief in opposition also exceeded the page limit causing IBM to move the Court for Leave to File an Overlength Memorandum which was granted by the Court.

SCO has endeavored to be as concise as possible, but respectfully submits that the excess length is necessary to fully and fairly address the issues being placed before the Court.

SCO respectfully requests that it be allowed to file its Reply Memorandum containing 50 pages, exclusive of face sheet, table of contents and authorities, appendixes and exhibits.

DATED this the 5th day of September, 2006.

HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, P.C.
Brent O. Hatch
Mark F. James

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
Robert Silver
Stuart H. Singer
Stephen N. Zack
Edward Normand

By: /s/ Brent O. Hatch
Counsel for The SCO Group, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 5th day of September, 2006, I caused to be served a true and correct copy of the foregoing EX PARTE MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE OVERLENGTH REPLY MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF SCO'S OBJECTIONS TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE WELLS' ORDER OF JUNE 28, 2006 by CM/ECF or U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, to the following:

David Marriott, Esq.
Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP
Worldwide Plaza
825 Eighth Avenue
New York, New York 10019

Todd Shaughnessy, Esq.
Snell & Wilmer LLP
1200 Gateway Tower West
15 West South Temple
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101-1004

Donald J. Rosenberg, Esq.
1133 Westchester Avenue
White Plains, New York 10604

/s/ Brent O. Hatch