FILEDIEM

SNELL & WILMER L.L.P. Alan L. Sullivan (3152) Todd M. Shaughnessy (6651) Amy F. Sorenson (8947) 15 West South Temple, Suite 1200

Salt Lake City, Utah 84101-1004 Telephone: (801) 257-1900 Facsimile: (801) 257-1800

CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP Evan R. Chesler (admitted pro hac vice) David R. Marriott (7572) Worldwide Plaza 825 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 474-1000

Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff International Business Machines Corporation

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH

THE SCO GROUP, INC.

Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant,

v.

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION,

Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff.

STIPULATION AND JOINT MOTION OF THE PARTIES REGARDING REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION

Civil No. 2:03CV0294 DAK

Honorable Dale A. Kimball

Magistrate Judge Brooke C. Wells

The parties, through their counsel of record, hereby stipulate and jointly move the Court for an Order enlarging the time for the parties to respond to recently served Requests for Admission as follows:

- 1. IBM shall have an extension of time, to and including March 23, 2006, within with to respond to SCO's Second Set of Requests for Admission, served by SCO on January 20, 2006.
- 2. SCO shall have an extension of time, to and including March 23, 2006, within which to respond to IBM's Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, Eighth, Ninth, and Tenth Requests for Admission, served by IBM on January 20, 2006.
- 3. SCO shall have an extension of time, to and including March 23, 2006, within which to respond to IBM's Second Requests for Admission, served by IBM on January 20, 2006, with the exception of Request Nos. 106 to 118. SCO's responses to Requests Nos. 106 to 118 shall be due no later than February 27, 2006.
 - 4. IBM shall have until March 10, 2006, to serve any final Requests for Admission.
- 5. In addition to the foregoing, the parties continue to attempt to resolve certain objections regarding the Requests for Admission. If the parties are unable to reach agreement on such objections, however, they anticipate that motions relating to the Requests for Admissions may be filed.

The parties submit concurrently herewith a proposed Order confirming these deadlines attached as Exhibit A.

DATED this 17th day of February, 2006.

SNELL & WILMER L.L.P. Alan L. Sullivan Todd M. Shaughnessy Amy F. Sorenson

CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE Evan R. Chesler

David R. Marriott

By

Counsel for Defendant International **Business Machines Corporation**

DATED this 17th day of February, 2006.

HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, P.C.

Brent O. Hatch Mark F. James

Counsel for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 17th day of February, 2006, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was sent by U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, to the following:

> Brent O. Hatch Mark F. James HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, P.C. 10 West Broadway, Suite 400 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101

Stephen N. Zack Mark J. Heise BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 100 Southeast Second Street, Suite 2800 Miami, Florida 33131

Robert Silver **Edward Normand** BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 333 Main Street Armonk, NY 10504

Amy F. Sorenson

EXHIBIT A

SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.

Alan L. Sullivan (3152)

Todd M. Shaughnessy (6651)

Amy F. Sorenson (8947)

15 West South Temple, Suite 1200

Salt Lake City, Utah 84101-1004

Telephone: (801) 257-1900 Facsimile: (801) 257-1800

CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP

Evan R. Chesler (admitted pro hac vice)

David R. Marriott (7572)

Worldwide Plaza

825 Eighth Avenue

New York, New York 10019

Telephone: (212) 474-1000 Facsimile: (212) 474-3700

Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff International Business Machines Corporation

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH

THE SCO GROUP, INC.

Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant,

V.

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION,

Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff.

[PROPOSED]
ORDER RE REQUESTS FOR
ADMISSION

Civil No. 2:03CV0294 DAK

Honorable Dale A. Kimball

Magistrate Judge Brooke C. Wells

Based upon the stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

- 1. IBM shall have an extension of time, to and including March 23, 2006, within with to respond to SCO's Second Set of Requests for Admission, served by SCO on January 20, 2006.
- 2. SCO shall have an extension of time, to and including March 23, 2006, within which to respond to IBM's Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, Eighth, Ninth, and Tenth Requests for Admission, served by IBM on January 20, 2006.
- 3. SCO shall have an extension of time, to and including March 23, 2006, within which to respond to IBM's Second Requests for Admission, served by IBM on January 20, 2006, with the exception of Request Nos. 106 to 118. SCO's responses to Requests Nos. 106 to 118 shall be due no later than February 27, 2006.
 - 4. IBM shall have until March 10, 2006, to serve any final Requests for Admission.

 DATED this ____ day of February, 2006.

BY THE COURT

U.S. District Court Judge

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:

HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, P.C.

Brent O. Hatch

Mark F. James

Counsel for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 17th day of February, 2006, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was sent by U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, to the following:

Brent O. Hatch Mark F. James HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, P.C. 10 West Broadway, Suite 400 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101

Stephen N. Zack Mark J. Heise BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 100 Southeast Second Street, Suite 2800 Miami, Florida 33131

Robert Silver Edward Normand BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 333 Main Street Armonk, NY 10504

Amy F. Sorenson

384673.1