

SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.  
Alan L. Sullivan (3152)  
Todd M. Shaughnessy (6651)  
Amy F. Sorenson (8947)  
15 West South Temple, Suite 1200  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101-1004  
Telephone: (801) 257-1900  
Facsimile: (801) 257-1800

CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP  
Evan R. Chesler (admitted pro hac vice)  
David R. Marriott (7572)  
Worldwide Plaza  
825 Eighth Avenue  
New York, New York 10019  
Telephone: (212) 474-1000  
Facsimile: (212) 474-3700

*Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff  
International Business Machines Corporation*

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH**

THE SCO GROUP, INC.

Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant,

v.

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES  
CORPORATION,

Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff.

**IBM'S EX PARTE MOTION FOR  
LEAVE TO FILE OVERLENGTH SUR-  
REPLY MEMORANDUM IN FURTHER  
OPPOSITION TO SCO'S MOTION FOR  
LEAVE TO FILE A THIRD AMENDED  
COMPLAINT**

Civil No. 2:03CV0294 DAK

Honorable Dale A. Kimball

Magistrate Judge Brooke C. Wells

RECEIVED CLERK  
**FILED**  
2005 FEB 18 P 7:16

U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF UTAH



404

Pursuant to DUCivR 7-1(e), Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff International Business Machines Corporation (“IBM”) respectfully submits this Ex Parte Motion for Leave to File Overlength Sur-Reply Memorandum in Further Opposition to SCO’s Motion for Leave to File a Third Amended Complaint, consisting of approximately fourteen pages of argument, exclusive of face sheet and preliminary statement.

In support of its motion to amend, SCO submitted an overlength memorandum some twenty-five pages long. On December 29, 2004, SCO filed an overlength reply memorandum in support of its motion to amend, some eighteen pages long, in which it advanced new arguments. IBM has endeavored to address SCO’s arguments succinctly in its sur-reply memorandum, but doing so nevertheless resulted in the need for approximately fourteen pages of legal argument, four pages beyond the limit set forth in DUCivR 7-1(3).

For the foregoing reasons, IBM respectfully requests that it be granted leave to file its Sur-reply Memorandum, consisting of approximately fourteen pages of argument.

DATED this 18<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2005.

SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.



Alan L. Sullivan  
Todd M. Shaughnessy  
Amy F. Sorenson

CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP

Evan R. Chesler  
David R. Marriott

*Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim-  
Plaintiff International Business Machines  
Corporation*

Of counsel:

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION

Donald J. Rosenberg  
Alec S. Berman  
1133 Westchester Avenue  
White Plains, New York 10604  
(914) 642-3000

*Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff  
International Business Machines Corporation*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the 18<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2005 a true and correct copy of the foregoing was sent by U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, to the following::

Brent O. Hatch  
Mark F. James  
HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, P.C.  
10 West Broadway, Suite 400  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101

Stephen N. Zack  
Mark J. Heise  
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP  
100 Southeast Second Street, Suite 2800  
Miami, Florida 33131

Robert Silver  
Edward Normand  
Sean Eskovitz  
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP  
333 Main Street  
Armonk, New York 10504

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Amy F. Sorenson