

FILED
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT

2004 NOV 30 P 4:46

SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.
Alan L. Sullivan (3152)
Todd M. Shaughnessy (6651)
Amy F. Sorenson (8947)
15 West South Temple, Suite 1200
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101-1004
Telephone: (801) 257-1900
Facsimile: (801) 257-1800

CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP
Evan R. Chesler (admitted pro hac vice)
David R. Marriott (7572)
Worldwide Plaza
825 Eighth Avenue
New York, New York 10019
Telephone: (212) 474-1000
Facsimile: (212) 474-3700

*Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff
International Business Machines Corporation*

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH**

THE SCO GROUP, INC.

Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant,

v.

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES
CORPORATION,

Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff.

**DEFENDANT/COUNTERCLAIM
PLAINTIFF IBM'S EX PARTE MOTION
FOR LEAVE TO FILE OVERLENGTH
OPPOSITION TO SCO'S MOTION FOR
LEAVE TO FILE A THIRD AMENDED
COMPLAINT**

Civil No. 2:03CV0294 DAK

Honorable Dale A. Kimball

Magistrate Judge Brooke C. Wells

338

Pursuant to DUCivR 7-1(e), Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff International Business Machines Corporation (“IBM”) respectfully submits this Ex Parte Motion for Leave to File Overlength Opposition to SCO’s Motion for Leave to File a Third Amended Complaint Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 15(a) and 16(b), consisting of approximately fifteen pages of argument, exclusive of face sheet, preliminary statement, declarations and exhibits.

In support of its motion to amend, SCO submitted an overlength memorandum some twenty-five pages long, asserting that such length was necessary for SCO to fully address for the Court the importance of the proposed amendment to SCO. Although IBM endeavored to address each of SCO’s arguments succinctly in its opposition memorandum, doing so nevertheless resulted in the need for approximately fifteen pages of legal argument, five additional pages of argument beyond the ten pages allotted by DUCivR 7-1(3).

For the foregoing reasons, IBM respectfully requests that it be granted leave to file the opposition memorandum submitted concurrently herewith, consisting of approximately fifteen pages of argument.

DATED this 30th day of November, 2004.

SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.



Alan L. Sullivan
Todd M. Shaughnessy
Amy F. Sorenson

CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP
Evan R. Chesler
David R. Marriott

*Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim-
Plaintiff International Business Machines
Corporation*

Of counsel:

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION

Donald J. Rosenberg
Alec S. Berman
1133 Westchester Avenue
White Plains, New York 10604
(914) 642-3000

*Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff
International Business Machines Corporation*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 30th day of November, 2004, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was hand delivered to the following:

Brent O. Hatch
Mark F. James
HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, P.C.
10 West Broadway, Suite 400
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101

and was sent by U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, to the following:

Stephen N. Zack
Mark J. Heise
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
100 Southeast Second Street, Suite 2800
Miami, Florida 33131

Robert Silver
Edward Normand
Sean Eskovitz
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
333 Main Street
Armonk, New York 10504



Amy F. Sorenson