SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.

Alan L. Sullivan (3152)

Todd M. Shaughnessy (6651)

Amy F. Sorenson (8947)

15 West South Temple, #1200

Salt Lake City, Utah 84101-1004

Telephone: (801) 257-1900 Facsimile: (801) 257-1800

CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP

Evan R. Chesler (admitted pro hac vice)

David R. Marriott (7572)

Worldwide Plaza

825 Eighth Avenue

New York, NY 10019

Telephone: (212) 474-1000 Facsimile: (212) 474-3700

Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff International Business Machines Corporation FILED
2004 AUG -4 P 5: 47

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH

THE SCO GROUP, INC.,

Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant,

v.

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION,

Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff.

DECLARATION OF AMY F. SORENSON IN SUPPORT OF IBM'S MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO SCO'S "RENEWED" MOTION TO COMPEL

Civil No. 2:03CV-0294 DAK

Honorable Dale A. Kimball

Magistrate Judge Brooke C. Wells

ORIGINAL

29

- I, Amy F. Sorenson, declare as follows:
- 1. I represent IBM in the lawsuit brought by SCO against IBM, entitled <u>The SCO</u>

 <u>Group, Inc. v. International Business Machines Corporation</u>, Civil No. 2:03CV-0294 DAK (D.

 Utah 2003). This declaration is submitted in support of Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff IBM's Memorandum in Opposition to SCO's "Renewed" Motion to Compel.
 - 2. Attached hereto are true and correct copies of the following documents:
 - (a) Exhibit 1 is a letter from Peter Ligh to Mark J. Heise, dated June 16, 2004.
 - (b) Exhibit 2 is a letter from Mark J. Heise to Peter Ligh, dated July 6, 2004.
- (c) Exhibit 3 is SCO's Memorandum in Support of Its Motion to Compel, dated November 4, 2003.
- (d) Exhibit 4 is SCO's First Request for Production of Documents and First Set of Interrogatories, dated June 24, 2003.
- (e) Exhibit 5 is IBM's Second Supplemental Responses and Objections to SCO's First Set of Interrogatories, dated April 19, 2004.
- (f) Exhibit 6 is a letter from Peter Ligh to Mark J. Heise, dated April 19, 2004.
- (g) Exhibit 7 is SCO's Second Set of Interrogatories and Second Request for Production for Production of Documents, dated December 4, 2003.
- (h) Exhibit 8 is a letter from Peter Ligh to Mark J. Heise, dated March 9, 2004.

- (i) Exhibit 9 is a letter from Mark J. Heise to Peter Ligh, dated March 26, 2004.
 - (j) Exhibit 10 is a letter from Mark J. Heise to Peter Ligh, dated June 4, 2004.
- (k) Exhibit 11 is SCO's Supplemental Answer to IBM's Interrogatory Number Ten, dated October 10, 2003.
- (l) Exhibit 12 is a chart identifying individuals from whom SCO produced documents but did not identify in its interrogatory responses.
- (m) Exhibit 13 is Attachments A and F from IBM's Third Supplemental Responses and Objections to SCO's First Set of Interrogatories, served on August 4, 2004.
- (n) Exhibit 14 is a letter from Peter Ligh to Mark J. Heise, dated August 4, 2004.
 - 3. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed: August 4, 2004.

Salt Lake City, Utah

Amy F. Sorenson

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the $\frac{4^{12}}{4^{12}}$ day of August, 2004, a true and correct copy of the

foregoing was hand delivered to the following:

Brent O. Hatch (w/ exhibits) Mark F. James HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, P.C. 10 West Broadway, Suite 400 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101

and was sent by U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, to the following:

Stephen N. Zack (w/o exhibits) Mark J. Heise BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 100 Southeast Second Street, Suite 2800 Miami, Florida 33131

Robert Silver (w/ exhibits) BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 333 Main Street Armonk, New York 10504

Amy F. Sorenson

309545.1