

Brent O. Hatch (5715)
Mark F. James (5295)
HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, PC
10 West Broadway, Suite 400
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101
Telephone: (801) 363-6363
Facsimile: (801) 363-6666

Stephen N. Zack (admitted pro hac vice)
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
Bank of America Tower – Suite 2800
100 Southeast Second Street
Miami, Florida 33131
Telephone: (305) 539-8400
Facsimile: (305) 539-1307

David Boies (admitted pro hac vice)
Robert Silver (admitted pro hac vice)
Edward Normand (admitted pro hac vice)
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
333 Main Street
Armonk, New York 10504
Telephone: (914) 749-8200
Facsimile: (914) 749-8300

Stuart Singer (admitted pro hac vice)
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
401 East Las Olas Blvd.
Suite 1200
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301
Telephone: (954) 356-0011
Facsimile: (954) 356-0022

Devan V. Padmanabhan (admitted pro hac vice)
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP
50 South Sixth Street, Suite 1500
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
Telephone: (612) 340-2600
Facsimile: (612) 340-2868
Attorneys for Plaintiff, The SCO Group, Inc.

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH**

THE SCO GROUP, INC.

Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant,

v.

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS
MACHINES CORPORATION,

Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff.

**SCO'S MEMORANDUM IN
OPPOSITION TO IBM'S MOTION
FOR EXTENSION OF DEADLINES IN
MAY 29, 2007 ORDER**

Case No. 2:03CV0294DAK

Honorable Dale A. Kimball
Magistrate Judge Brooke C. Wells

SCO respectfully submits this Memorandum in Opposition to IBM's Motion for Extension of Deadlines in May 29, 2007 Order.

As indicated in IBM's memorandum in support of its Motion, SCO opposes IBM's request for a 30-day extension on the grounds that the extension will put the deadlines in conflict with the pretrial and trial dates in SCO v. Novell, which is scheduled to proceed to trial on September 17, 2007.

Although SCO is prepared to meet the deadlines set forth in the Court Order of May 29, 2007, considering IBM's Motion, SCO does not oppose continuing the pretrial obligations until after conclusion of the trial in SCO v. Novell.

Accordingly, SCO proposes a pretrial schedule to follow the resolution of the trial in SCO v. Novell. IBM proposed such a schedule to SCO prior to the filing of its Motion, and SCO will seek to reach agreement on such a schedule with IBM.

DATED this 16th day of July, 2007.

HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, P.C.

Brent O. Hatch

Mark F. James

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP

David Boies

Robert Silver

Stuart H. Singer

Stephen N. Zack

Edward Normand

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

Devan V. Padmanabhan

Counsel for The SCO Group, Inc.

By: _____ /s/ Edward Normand _____

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Plaintiff, The SCO Group, Inc., hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Memorandum in Opposition to IBM's Motion for Extension of Deadlines in May 29, 2007 Order was served on Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff, International Business Machines Corporation, on this 16th day of July, 2007, via CM/ECF to the following:

David Marriott, Esq. (dmarriott@cravath.com)
Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP
Worldwide Plaza
825 Eighth Avenue
New York, New York 10019

Todd Shaughnessy, Esq. (tshaughnessy@swlaw.com)
Snell & Wilmer LLP
1200 Gateway Tower West
15 West South Temple
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101-1004

/s/ Edward Normand
